

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, March 19, 2020 11:29:18 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, March 19, 2020 12:03:49 PM
Time Spent: 00:34:31
IP Address: Personal

Page 1: Intro

Q1 Your name

Tim Knapp

Q2 Your email address

knapp@ci.wilsonville.or.us

Page 2: Highway 217 Corridor Proposals

Q3 Having reviewed the revised proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this revised proposal?

Make it easier to get around.
Drive economic growth.

Q4 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Make major streets safer.
Support communities of color.
Support clean air & water.
Leverage regional & local investments....

Q5 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Construction (phase 3) on 217 appears to be in conflict with committee stated intent on not using bond funds to build on the interstate freeway system. Phase 1 & 2, maybe or maybe not.

Regional proportionality of projects appears to get skewed if we add all of Washington Tier 2 requests.

Page 3: Highway 99W Corridor Study

Q6 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Revised proposal adds worthwhile commenity engagement, but does not genuinely consider whether the proposed 217 construction is what we should be funding with the proposed bond funds.

Q7 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Even in the revised proposal, the focus is on building on 217. There is no real commitment to ways to take some of the volume off of 217 by providing alternative ways to get around, reduce need to get around, or incenting other transportation alternatives.

Q8 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

As stated in answers this page and last page.

Page 4: Sunset Highway Corridor Study

Q9 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Potentially, if study outcomes go this way:

Make it easier to get around.

Address climate change...

Q10 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Support communities of color.

Support clean air & water.

Leverage regional & local investments.

Q11 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

This is study money only, and there is a long term lag between the 2020 vote and when this proposal would actually result in anything voters can see on the ground. It is not certain that the non-vehicle and non-freeway alternatives will be given priority once the studies are done. If they are not, then the outcome will not really support the values and priorities set by T2020.

Page 5: Highway 43 Multimodal Improvement Project

Q12 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Makes major streets safer.

Makes it easier to get around.

Addresses climate change..

Supports clean air and water..

Q13 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Support communities of color (tho it may well support the economically disadvantaged who live south of corridor and commute to the north).

Drive economic growth.

Q14 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

This project is a responsible example of the kind of investment the region should do to build “complete streets” that enable and invite alternative mode usage. It would be used by both local residents, and by people commuting north-south, and be one of the ONLY N-S links available between I-205 and I-5, west of the river.

#2

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, March 20, 2020 3:29:44 PM
Last Modified: Friday, March 20, 2020 3:57:45 PM
Time Spent: 00:28:01
IP Address: Personal

Page 1: Intro

Q1 Your name

Michael Alexander

Q2 Your email address

Personal email

Page 2: Highway 217 Corridor Proposals

Q3 Having reviewed the revised proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this revised proposal?

Drive economic growth
Leverage regional investments...
Drive economic growth
Make major streets safer for all

Q4 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Prioritize Investments supporting communities of color
Address Climate change and support resiliency

Q5 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Support with reservations that if falls short of intended scope. State funding to first address interventions focused on the gaps.

Page 3: Highway 99W Corridor Study

Q6 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Streets safer for all
Easier to get around
Drive economic growth
Investments supporting communities of color

Q7 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Support clean air and water, healthy ecosystems

Q8 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Support its passage.

Page 4: Sunset Highway Corridor Study

Q9 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Ease in getting around for all
Major streets safer for all

Q10 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

prioritize investments supporting communities of color

Q11 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

I'm not in support. Primarily because it is a large amount of money given all that we have to consider funding.

Page 5: Highway 43 Multimodal Improvement Project

Q12 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Streets safer for all
Easier to get around

Q13 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Drive economic growth
clear air/water and healthy ecosystems

Q14 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Support its adoption

#3

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, March 24, 2020 2:32:42 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, March 24, 2020 3:32:34 PM
Time Spent: 00:59:51
IP Address: Personal

Page 1: Intro

Q1 Your name

Nolan Lienhart

Q2 Your email address

Personal email

Page 2: Highway 217 Corridor Proposals

Q3 Having reviewed the revised proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this revised proposal?

Potentially:

- Make it easier to get around – (for auto users)
 - Drive economic growth
-

Q4 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

- Make major streets safer for everyone
 - Make it easier to get around – (for transit users and pedestrians)
 - Address climate change and support resiliency
 - Prioritize investments supporting communities of color
 - Support clean air and water, and healthy ecosystems
 - Leverage regional and local investments in housing, transportation and parks and nature
-

Q5 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Given that this project is centered on freeway improvements, I believe that traditional sources of transportation funding, including gas tax, are more appropriate sources. I don't see it meeting enough of our stated values to compete with other projects in the T2020 package.

Page 3: Highway 99W Corridor Study

Q6 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Yes:

- Make major streets safer for everyone
- Make it easier to get around – however you get around
- Prioritize investments supporting communities of color

Potentially:

- Support clean air and water, and healthy ecosystems
- Drive economic growth

Unclear:

- Leverage regional and local investments in housing, transportation and parks and nature
-

Q7 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Potentially:

- Support clean air and water, and healthy ecosystems
 - Leverage regional and local investments in housing, transportation and parks and nature
 - Make major streets safer for everyone
-

Q8 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

I would be concerned about the impacts to safety, air and water, if the projects in this corridor increase speed and throughput in a way that encourages longer commutes to and from cities outside the UGB.

Page 4: Sunset Highway Corridor Study

Q9 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

The study, as described, appears to address or advance most of the task force values:

- Make major streets safer for everyone
 - Make it easier to get around – however you get around
 - Address climate change and support resiliency
 - Support clean air and water, and healthy ecosystems
 - Drive economic growth
 - Leverage regional and local investments in housing, transportation and parks and nature
-

Q10 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

It is not clear that independent from this request, the project is focused on:

- Prioritize investments supporting communities of color
-

Q11 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Involvement of TriMet and the City of Portland in this study is critical

Page 5: Highway 43 Multimodal Improvement Project

Q12 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

- Make major streets safer for everyone
 - Make it easier to get around – however you get around
 - Address climate change and support resiliency
 - Support clean air and water, and healthy ecosystems
 - Drive economic growth
 - Leverage regional and local investments in housing, transportation and parks and nature
-

Q13 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

- Prioritize investments supporting communities of color
-

Q14 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response. **Respondent skipped this question**

#4

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, March 26, 2020 8:18:51 AM
Last Modified: Thursday, March 26, 2020 8:47:09 AM
Time Spent: 00:28:17
IP Address: Personal

Page 1: Intro

Q1 Your name

Mark Gamba

Q2 Your email address

gambam@milwaukieoregon.gov

Page 2: Highway 217 Corridor Proposals

Q3 Having reviewed the revised proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this revised proposal?

I think they have dolled up a freeway congestion fix to try to fit more of our values. I do not believe that it does much other than congestion relief.

Q4 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Climate, Safety, Equity...

Q5 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

I do not feel like this is a worthy project. There are a hundred projects all over the region that are not being funded that DO advance most or all of our values.

Page 3: Highway 99W Corridor Study

Q6 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

It seems like this corridor planning would lead to improvements on equity, safety and non SOV travel.

Q7 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Hard to say.

Q8 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

I would fund this planning project

Page 4: Sunset Highway Corridor Study

Q9 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

hard to say, it's too vague even geographically.

Q10 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

again hard to say, potentially some of them.

Q11 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

This is a hard no. They need to figure out what they are doing, I know that's what they want the money for, but it seems like it could be narrowed without this size of a budget

Page 5: Highway 43 Multimodal Improvement Project

Q12 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

All of them. This is the only remaining Tier 2 project that I'm actually excited about.

Q13 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Equity is it's weakest, but believe it or not there is some poverty in WL. That is a critical route that can only be driven in an SOV right now. It is an important missing link

Q14 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Fully fund it.

#5

INCOMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, March 27, 2020 2:39:52 PM
Last Modified: Friday, March 27, 2020 3:45:36 PM
Time Spent: 01:05:44
IP Address: Personal

Page 1: Intro

Q1 Your name

Vivian Satterfield

Q2 Your email address

Personal email

Page 2: Highway 217 Corridor Proposals

Q3 Having reviewed the revised proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this revised proposal?

I'm not quite sure any of the values are well advanced by this project. If invested in, I'm sure contracts will be made and some set of businesses will directly benefit from jobs created in the construction projects, but I cannot fully see how this project can drive economic growth and *sustain* it for the longer term, especially for minority owned and women owned firms which directly employ women and people of color, adding to a diverse workforce.

Q4 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

I am concerned this project does not meet any of the Task Force values adequately.

Q5 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

I have even more concerns after the most recent virtual Task Force meeting in which my question about the degree of influence additional community engagement would result in any changes to the current project proposal was unclear and didn't give me any indication that community engagement would result in any changes or modifications to the project in response to feedback.

Page 3: Highway 99W Corridor Study

Q6 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

The proposal has the potential to address all the Task Force values to some degree.

Q7 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Respondent skipped this question

Q8 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

In order for Task Force values to be actualized, planning must prioritize the needs of marginalized communities, prioritize sustainable multimodal investments, and demonstrate how planning recommendations will reduce vehicle miles traveled on the corridor. There's a great opportunity to leverage housing investments in this area as well, and look forward to the programmatic discussion at the Task Force to really deliver a corridor approach equitably here.

Page 4: Sunset Highway Corridor Study

Q9 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Respondent skipped this question

Q10 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Highway 43 Multimodal Improvement Project

Q12 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Respondent skipped this question

Q14 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

#6

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, March 28, 2020 11:53:11 AM
Last Modified: Saturday, March 28, 2020 1:15:48 PM
Time Spent: 01:22:36
IP Address: Personal

Page 1: Intro

Q1 Your name

Linda Simmons

Q2 Your email address

Personal email

Page 2: Highway 217 Corridor Proposals

Q3 Having reviewed the revised proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this revised proposal?

Safety, Climate, Equity, Access to Jobs and Affordability

Q4 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

None

Q5 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Include all 3 phases in Tier 1

I have first hand experience in this area and it definitely needs to be addressed within T2020

Page 3: Highway 99W Corridor Study

Q6 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Safety, Equity, Climate, Access to Jobs and Economic Growth, Affordability

Q7 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

None

Q8 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Fund \$5 million in Tier 1

99W must have a multi-jurisdictional planning and vision to address all the communities of Tigard, King City, Sherwood and Tualatin needs.

Page 4: Sunset Highway Corridor Study

Q9 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Leveraging funds already committed

Q10 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

No case made for Safety, Climate, Equity, Access to Jobs or Affordability

Q11 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Deny the request

Page 5: Highway 43 Multimodal Improvement Project

Q12 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Safety, Climate through better transit and multimodal improvements.

Q13 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Equity, Access to Jobs and Affordability don't seem to be emphasized.

Q14 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

\$66 Million seems high even when projected not to start for 5 years and I believe the ask was reduced to \$56 Million. 40% contingency is also high. I would support at least the design engineering. \$8.3 M

#7

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, March 27, 2020 4:27:12 PM
Last Modified: Saturday, March 28, 2020 1:50:44 PM
Time Spent: 21:23:32
IP Address: Personal

Page 1: Intro

Q1 Your name

Sheila Greenlaw-Fink

Q2 Your email address

Personal email

Page 2: Highway 217 Corridor Proposals

Q3 Having reviewed the revised proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this revised proposal?

I continue to believe that the 217 corridor is a critical resource for low income and communities of color, making it easier for them to move between work and school opportunities, often with multiple part-time jobs, and necessity to be in car. Economic growth depends on flexibility between where people live and work, and the increased opportunity that flows from new jobs (i.e. for someone living in Beaverton who sees a new job opportunity in Tualatin). Having led an organization with hundreds of workforce members living close to and using 217, I'm familiar with its importance, and its current problems that result in congestion and poor safety statistics, both on the highway itself, and the many roads/ramps that connect with it. I do believe that the many regulated and low cost market rentals that exist on the many corridors served along 217 make it a critical resource, and an opportunity in the future to link to additional housing bond projects.

Q4 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

To the extent that we do not add high capacity transit to 217, the only environmental benefits we will see are perhaps in reduced pollution related to congestion or backups because of safety/accidents. I haven't studied it closely enough to understand connection opportunities for trails or parks.

Q5 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

YES. I believe the public partners DO have existing connections and methods to achieve the additional community engagement and planning which they discuss.

Page 3: Highway 99W Corridor Study

Q6 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

ALL 8 TF goals are better achieved by adding this corridor. From the start, I assumed it was only left out because the types of improvements suggested would somehow be wrapped into the SW Corridor plans. I asked this question of individuals from Tigard and WashCo many times. I live on this corridor and in a prior job, housed hundreds of households within close proximity of it (1/4 to 1/2 mile). There are hundreds of new units now being produce by public (The Fields, Housing Authority WashCo, 264 units), nonprofit (CPAH, Red Rock, 48 units) and private partners (CDP, 81 units) in the Tigard Triangle and just outside it. There are additional projects in close proximity in the works (CPAH, Tualatin, 114 units), and an attempt to preserve an LIHTC property (Woodspring, 172 units) in Tigard.

Q7 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

None!

Q8 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Absolutely should be included.

Page 4: Sunset Highway Corridor Study

Q9 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

I don't have helpful comments on this one, other than, if it would help facilitate high frequency bus transit somehow, that would be spectacular.

Q10 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q11 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

It does impact many commuters everyday, and could help passage of the package overall.

Page 5: Highway 43 Multimodal Improvement Project

Q12 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

I have not studied the demographics to understand how it impacts communities of color and low income in terms of existing housing, proposed housing, and access to jobs.

Q13 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q14 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

It seems that many like this for the active transportation benefits it provides, and it helps provide some distribution of benefits to Clackamas County. The suburban areas should not be "stuck" where they are because density has historically been lower, and therefore transit coverage, as well.

#8

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, March 28, 2020 6:36:10 PM
Last Modified: Saturday, March 28, 2020 7:08:03 PM
Time Spent: 00:31:53
IP Address: Personal

Page 1: Intro

Q1 Your name

Martine Coblentz

Q2 Your email address

Personal email addresses

Page 2: Highway 217 Corridor Proposals

Q3 Having reviewed the revised proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this revised proposal?

Safety, makes it easier to get around

Q4 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

supports clean air, benefits communities of color

Q5 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

While 217 is often congested, this proposed tier 2 corridor should not be prioritized. Improving on highways does not seem to be the kind of corridors that GetMoving 2020 is intended to fund.

Page 3: Highway 99W Corridor Study

Q6 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Safety for everyone, benefits communities of color, supports economic growth, makes it easy to move around, leverages regional and local investments

Q7 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

none

Q8 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

This proposed tier 2 should be prioritized as it touches many of the core values of the transportation task force. There is good collaboration with the SW cities, the county and ODOT.

Page 4: Sunset Highway Corridor Study

Q9 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Make it easier to get around, leverage regional and local investments

Q10 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

I am concerned about the environmental impact especially since there is a possibility of expanding the tunnel through Forest Park. It is hard to determine whether to promote this proposal since they are at the preliminary stages with conducting a study.

Q11 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

I'm less inclined to support this proposal in that there are so many jurisdictions that would be impacted by it and only a handful are involved at this time.

Page 5: Highway 43 Multimodal Improvement Project

Q12 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Improves safety for everyone, supports clean air, water and healthy ecosystems, makes it easier to get around, leverages regional and local investments

Q13 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

This does not benefit communities of color. Also, I'd want to be ensure that improvements on the corridor does not mean displacement of the marginalized population identified in the project area (people with disabilities and low income).

Q14 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

I'd recommend moving forward with this corridor in that it improves safety tremendously and the people with disabilities would benefit from those improvements. I'd want to make sure there are measures in place so that housing that is low income remains that way and people are not displaced.

#9

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, March 24, 2020 2:26:10 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, March 29, 2020 11:34:11 AM
Time Spent: Over a day
IP Address: Personal

Page 1: Intro

Q1 Your name

Karylinn Echols

Q2 Your email address

karylinn.echols@greshamoregon.gov

Page 2: Highway 217 Corridor Proposals

Q3 Having reviewed the revised proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this revised proposal?

All task force values are addressed in the proposal and there is opportunity to advance all values through this project.

Q4 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

I would like to learn more detail about how this project supports equity. Socio-demographic statistics are given in the responses but I'm not seeing details about how the project directly results in retaining and supporting existing racially diverse and low-income residents as well as those who might locate along the corridor.

Q5 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

If this project is included in the measure, consider funding for the planning phase only. As discussed at the most recent Task Force meeting, there is a need for more information about the public engagement approach, and whether the scope of the project can change based on community feedback.

I fail to see how this project advances equity more substantially than other Tier 2 projects that have already been removed from consideration. If this project advances in any form, additional Tier 2 projects should equitably be considered and with a consistent evaluation process.

Adding Tier 2 projects to the measure when some Tier 1 projects were not fully recommended seems unwarranted and inequitable. There has been a substantial amount of analysis completed and public engagement for Tier 1 projects. Not fully funding Tier 1 projects before adding any Tier 2 projects is not recommended.

Page 3: Highway 99W Corridor Study

Q6 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

All task force values are addressed in the original proposal and the replies submitted by Washington County.

Q7 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q8 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

I fail to see how this project advances equity more substantially than other Tier 2 projects that have already been removed from consideration. If this project advances in any form, additional Tier 2 projects should equitably be considered and with a consistent evaluation process.

Adding Tier 2 projects to the measure when some Tier 1 projects were not fully recommended seems unwarranted and inequitable. There has been a substantial amount of analysis completed and public engagement for Tier 1 projects. Not fully funding Tier 1 projects before adding any Tier 2 projects is not recommended.

Page 4: Sunset Highway Corridor Study

Q9 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

As this is a corridor study with a broad scope, it is hard to fully understand, or measure, how the project meets the all the values.

Q10 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

As discussed at the meeting, there is concern about adding road capacity in Forest Park. Even if that is not contemplated, there are potential environmental impacts throughout this area that would need to be studied. This project feels to be the least well-developed of the projects that have been discussed. There are still a lot of details to be worked out. Not clear on the public engagement approach, how the study relates to housing, parks, and other regional investments.

Q11 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

I fail to see how this project advances equity more substantially than other Tier 2 projects that have already been removed from consideration. If this project advances in any form, additional Tier 2 projects should equitably be considered and with a consistent evaluation process.

Adding Tier 2 projects to the measure when some Tier 1 projects were not fully recommended seems unwarranted and inequitable. There has been a substantial amount of analysis completed and public engagement for Tier 1 projects. Not fully funding Tier 1 projects before adding any Tier 2 projects is not recommended.

Page 5: Highway 43 Multimodal Improvement Project

Q12 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

All task force values are addressed in the proposal and there is opportunity to advance all values through this project.

Q13 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

While this facility can provide safety benefits, it would be helpful to understand the overall impact to safety; it is clear from the design of the current facility that there is a need for additional bike, pedestrian, and safety features on this road. How many people use this facility now, and what would be the demand in the future? There are likely other areas of the region with a higher number of bike, walking, and transit dependent users, and/or with higher incidents of crashes, that are in more need of investment.

Q14 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

While 3 of the 4 Phase 2 projects include planning phases, the \$66.1 requested for Hwy 43 is significant, and more than what is available to Tier 1 corridors. If this is to be advanced, it should be considered as a planning phase first. Also, it would be helpful to have consistent demographics and other equity information for this corridor.

I fail to see how this project advances equity more substantially than other Tier 2 projects that have already been removed from consideration. If this project advances in any form, additional Tier 2 projects should equitably be considered and with a consistent evaluation process.

Adding Tier 2 projects to the measure when some Tier 1 projects were not fully recommended seems unwarranted and inequitable. There has been a substantial amount of analysis completed and public engagement for Tier 1 projects. Not fully funding Tier 1 projects before adding any Tier 2 projects is not recommended.

#10

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, March 23, 2020 1:57:24 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, March 29, 2020 12:35:10 PM
Time Spent: Over a day
IP Address: Personal

Page 1: Intro

Q1 Your name

Richa Poudyal

Q2 Your email address

Personal email

Page 2: Highway 217 Corridor Proposals

Q3 Having reviewed the revised proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this revised proposal?

None

Q4 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Making streets safer
Making it easier to get around
Addressing Climate change
Prioritizing investments that benefit communities of color
Support clean air and water, healthy ecosystems

Q5 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Do not include. Even with the phased revised proposal, it is unclear how the proposed community engagement would inform the rest of the project. As the planned project stands, this is not a project that address values of safety, climate, transit and should be removed from consideration in the measure.

Page 3: Highway 99W Corridor Study

Q6 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Making streets safer
Easier to get around
Address climate change
Prioritizing investments supporting communities of color
Support clean air, etc

Q7 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q8 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Support inclusion in the measure.
Would like to see how the planning recommendations will reduce VMT on this corridor.

Page 4: Sunset Highway Corridor Study

Q9 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Unclear

Q10 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q11 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Do not include.

Page 5: Highway 43 Multimodal Improvement Project

Q12 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Safety
Climate

Q13 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Prioritizing investments in communities of color

Q14 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Would support inclusion, but when we prioritize would prefer other projects that more clearly demonstrate benefit to marginalized communities.

#11

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, March 29, 2020 2:49:29 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, March 29, 2020 2:58:49 PM
Time Spent: 00:09:20
IP Address: Personal email

Page 1: Intro

Q1 Your name

jim bernard

Q2 Your email address

jbernard@clackamas.uc

Page 2: Highway 217 Corridor Proposals

Q3 Having reviewed the revised proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this revised proposal?

Yes community outreach \$2 million

Q4 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

needs further community outreach

Q5 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Community outreach

Page 3: Highway 99W Corridor Study

Q6 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

yes

Q7 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

none

Q8 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

% million corridor planning

Page 4: Sunset Highway Corridor Study

Q9 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

1.3 corridor planning

Q10 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

none

Q11 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

corridor planning for active transportation

Page 5: Highway 43 Multimodal Improvement Project

Q12 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

yes

Q13 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

addresses all values

Q14 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

build the project

#12

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, March 29, 2020 5:26:30 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, March 29, 2020 7:04:51 PM
Time Spent: 01:38:20
IP Address: Personal

Page 1: Intro

Q1 Your name

Denny Doyle

Q2 Your email address

ddoyle@beavertonoregon.gov

Page 2: Highway 217 Corridor Proposals

Q3 Having reviewed the revised proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this revised proposal?

Make major streets safer; make it easier to get around; prioritize investments that support communities of color; drive economic growth; and leverage regional and local investments

Q4 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q5 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Based on what we heard from Task Force members, Beaverton and Washington County revised the proposal to create a three-phased project that looks at the limited access highway and adjacent arterials as a cohesive corridor.

It starts with a comprehensive engagement and outreach process that asks diverse and historically marginalized communities throughout the corridor what their priorities are for transportation safety and connection. This planning process will give us opportunities to leverage investments currently being made in affordable housing, parks and trails, job creation, and planned transportation investments while consistently applying an equity, safety and climate lens throughout the planning process. The second phase covers an environmental assessment and preliminary engineering for the 217 corridor that can include additional active transportation and transit improvements that come out of the Phase 1 planning process. Finally, the proposal includes a final engineering and construction phase.

I hope we can move forward with funding for at least Phase 1 and 2.

Page 3: Highway 99W Corridor Study

Q6 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Make major streets safer; make it easier to get around; prioritize investments supporting communities of color; Leverage regional and local investments.

Q7 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q8 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

99W is similar in character to other notable Tier 1 corridors, TV Hwy and 82nd Avenue. Adding 99W to the Get Moving 2020 package just makes sense. This segment of 99W is in need of significant investments to improve safety for all users, particularly pedestrians. Allocating at least \$1M will create a community vision for the corridor so that project development can occur.

Page 4: Sunset Highway Corridor Study

Q9 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q10 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q11 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

While the goal of creating consensus around the future of the US 26 corridor is compelling, this project idea needs further refinement and the City of Hillsboro did not offer up a phasing strategy for this study.

Page 5: Highway 43 Multimodal Improvement Project

Q12 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Make major streets safer; make it easier to get around; supports clean air and water, and healthy ecosystems; supports resiliency; leverage regional and local investments

Q13 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q14 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

This project will provide a significant investment in walking and biking infrastructure and will serve as an early example of the changes possible through ODOT's Blueprint for Urban Design. West Linn has offered that the project can be done in phases -- it's an option worth exploring further.

#14

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, March 20, 2020 11:47:09 AM
Last Modified: Monday, March 30, 2020 4:38:42 PM
Time Spent: Over a week
IP Address: Personal

Page 1: Intro

Q1 Your name

Chloe Eudaly

Q2 Your email address

chloe.eudaly@portlandoregon.gov

Page 2: Highway 217 Corridor Proposals

Q3 Having reviewed the revised proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this revised proposal?

- I continue to have concerns that this project is not consistent with the Task Force values – the jurisdictional response has not changed my opinion

Q4 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

- I continue to be concerned that this proposal does not meet climate, equity, and safety values
- I have concern that the additional public process will be confusing to public that is only provide input on parts of the proposed project

Q5 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

- I continue to believe that this proposal is inconsistent with the Task Force values and I recommend that Metro Council not include it in any 2020 package

Page 3: Highway 99W Corridor Study

Q6 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

- Support for walking, biking, and transit
- Support for safety, climate, and transit goals

Q7 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

- I have some concern that other Tier 1 projects may do a better job of serving low income and communities of color.
 - I have some concern that this project has not provided enough detail on overall impact of vehicle miles traveled
-

Q8 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

- I would recommend that Metro Council consider a first phase focused on the highest safety and equity needs
-

Page 4: Sunset Highway Corridor Study

Q9 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

- I continue to have concerns that this project is not consistent with the Task Force values – the jurisdictional response has not changed my opinion
-

Q10 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

- I continue to be concerned that this proposal does not meet climate, equity, and safety values
-

Q11 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

- I continue to believe that this proposal is inconsistent with the Task Force values and I recommend that Metro Council not include it in any 2020 package
-

Page 5: Highway 43 Multimodal Improvement Project

Q12 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

- Support for walking, biking, and transit
 - Support for safety, climate, and transit goals
-

Q13 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

- I have some concern that other Tier 1 projects may do a better job of serving low income and communities of color.
-

Q14 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

- I would recommend that Metro consider this recommendation only if it doesn't take resources away from other Tier 1 projects that better meet equity needs.
-

#16

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, March 31, 2020 6:19:54 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, March 31, 2020 6:27:00 PM
Time Spent: 00:07:06
IP Address: Personal

Page 1: Intro

Q1 Your name

Dave Robertson

Q2 Your email address

Personal email

Page 2: Highway 217 Corridor Proposals

Q3 Having reviewed the revised proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this revised proposal?

Safety, climate (reduces congestion), equity (diverse communities in corridor).

Q4 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

Non-road mobility (e.g., bikes). North-south bikeways in this corridor are dangerous and inefficient, if not non-existent.

Q5 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

This proposal seems to need more time to bake. Providing money for engineering and design with instructions to improve north-south bike and other mode traffic could improve the project's scoring. Safety is an issue so something should be done, but the team needs more time to develop the proposal.

Page 3: Highway 99W Corridor Study

Q6 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Equity, safety, climate

Q7 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

n/a

Q8 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Fund this design and engineering study! This corridor needs improvement.

Page 4: Sunset Highway Corridor Study

Q9 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Equity, Safety, Environmental, multi modal

Q10 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any?

n/a

Q11 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Include the design and engineering proposal in the package. Express concerns about expanding any non-existing route through forest park. Though improvements to existing road corridors should be on the table (Germantown, Corn. Pass Rd.).

Page 5: Highway 43 Multimodal Improvement Project

Q12 Having reviewed the proposal and jurisdiction responses, what Task Force values do you think are advanced by this proposal?

Safety, multi modal improvements

Q13 What Task Force values are you concerned are not well advanced by this proposal, if any? **Respondent skipped this question**

Q14 What advice would you give the Metro Council about including this proposal in the Get Moving 2020 package? Please include possible phased approaches in your response.

Fund this project. The entire Hwy 43 corridor is super inconsistent and unsafe for peds and bikers.
