2024-2027 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program Public Notification of Submitted New and Proposed Amended Existing Projects Public Notification and Opportunity to Comment Notice



### Metro is in receipt of the 2024-27 MTIP December FFY 2024 Formal Amendment Bundle for Resolution 24-5380

Amendment Purpose Statement for Resolution 24-5380 (Amendment Number JA24-04-JAN)

### FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADDING OR AMENDING EIGHT PROJECTS TO THE MTIP TO MEET FEDERAL DELIVERY REQUIREMENTS

### Public Notification Comment Opportunities for the January FFY 2024 Formal MTIP Amendment for Resolution 24-5380

The public review period for this project amendment is **1/3/2024** and concludes on **2/2/2024**, at 5:00 pm. Requests to submit comments or concerns about this amendment should be submitted to Summer Blackhorse, via email at <u>summer.blackhorse@oregonmetro.gov</u>. Subject to revisions to address comments received during the public comment period, the 2021-24 MTIP as revised by the proposed amendment will be the final program unless amended, and a final notice will not be published.

### Added Notes

Public comment also may occur by attending one of the two Metro approval committees required for the formal amendment to complete: (1) Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee (TPAC), or (2) at the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT). Public comment can also occur at the Metro Council where the formal amendment receives final Metro approval. Please check the Metro website for the specific committee to see if the amendment is included on that month's agenda.

### About MTIP Formal/Full Amendments

Formal MTIP amendments represent a significant change to a project from the original funding or scope of improvement activities. The various required process steps are intended to demonstrate that the required changes have no significant impact upon air conformity, plus fiscal constraint is still maintained, and/or the priorities, policies, and goals established in the approved Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) have not changed. Formal MTIP amendments normally fall into one or more of the following categories: (1) Significantly adds, revises, reduces, or changes the project scope of improvement activities. (2) Requires a significant change to the project limits (greater than 1 mile). (3) Involves a significant cost change (increase or decrease normally 20% or 30% greater). (4) Adds or cancels a project from the MTIP.

### January FFY 2024 Formal/Full MTIP Amendment Summary Formal Amendment #: JA24-04-JAN

The January Federal Fiscal Year 2024 Formal MTIP Amendment adds or amends eleven projects for various reasons to meet federal transportation delivery requirements. A summary of the changes includes the following:

- **Beaverton School District Electric Chargers:** The formal amendment cancels the project and removes from the MTIP.
- <u>New Metro 82<sup>nd</sup> Ave Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Project Development:</u> The formal amendment adds the new Metro led 82<sup>nd</sup> Ave BRT project development planning effort to assist TriMet as they move forward to secure an FTA Small Starts grant to funds the BRT project. TriMet also will be adding a similar project development project in support of the 82<sup>nd</sup> Ave BRT (expected in February) that will complete environmental and preliminary design actions. The Metro funded portion is from the new Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) allocation and STBG from the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) Transit Corridor Development project grouping bucket in Key 23229.
- <u>Keys 23239 and 23229</u>: These two project grouping buckets via a split and transfer action are providing the \$5 million of federal Carbon funds (from Key 23239) and \$1 million of federal STBG (from Key 23229) for the new 82<sup>nd</sup> Ave BRT Project Development planning project.
- Standard Formal Amendment Updates (e.g. Cost increases, scope and limit changes, name and description changes, etc.):
  - Key 22435 ODOT OR47/OR8/US30 Curb Ramps: Cost increase.
  - Keys 22185 and 23188 TriMet Formula 5310 programs: Update FTA estimates and add overmatch.
  - Key 20329 West Linn OR43: Willamette Dr at Marylhurst Dr (West Linn): Adjust project name and description for scope change impacts.

The Exhibit A Tables starting on the next page to Resolution 24-5380 (or MTIP Worksheets) provide the additional details about the changes and programming actions for the included projects.

	2024-2027 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program Exhibit A to Resolution 24-5380									
	January FFY 2024 Formal Transition Amendment Bundle Contents Amendment Type: Formal/Full Amendment #: JA24-04-JAN Total Number of Projects: 8									
Key Number & MTIP ID	Lead Agency	Project Name	Project Description	Amendment Action						
Category: Project Cancelations:										
(#1) ODOT Key # <b>23462</b> MTIP ID 71394	Beaverton School District	Beaverton School District EV Chargers	Purchase and install electric wall mount chargers.	<b>CANCEL PROJECT:</b> The formal MTIP amendment cancels Key 23462 from the 2024-27 MTIP. DEQ has determined the project will not proceed forward and has requested ODOT to cancel the project.						
Category: 82	<sup>nd</sup> Ave Bus Rap	oid Transit (BRT) Project	Development Related							
(#2) ODOT Key # <b>NEW - TBD</b> MTIP ID TBD	Metro	82nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit Project Development: Sunnyside-PDX	Complete project development activities in support of the planned new 82nd Ave BRT including preliminary design, addressing NEPA requirements, development of FTA rating materials and other activities needed to assist TriMet obtain a Small Starts grant.	ADD NEW PROJECT: The formal amendment adds the new project tot eh 2024-27 MTIP which will support the completion of various project development activities as TriMet moves forward to secure a Small Starts grant for the project as well.						
(#3) ODOT Key # <b>23239</b> MTIP ID 71331	Metro	Carbon Reduction Program Reserve	Funding to focus on transportation activities which reduce the emissions of carbon and greenhouse gases from transportation sources.	<b>SPLIT PROJECT:</b> The formal amendment splits \$5 million of CRP funds (plus match) and transfers them to the new Metro 82 <sup>nd</sup> Ave BRT project.						

(#4) ODOT Key # <b>23229</b> MTIP ID 71304 Remaining Sta	Metro andard Proje	Transit Corridor Development (FFY 2026) ct Amendments (e.g. cost	Metro UPWP RFFA Step 1 funds to study and evaluate the development of future transit transportation corridors in the Metro region increases, scope, limit changes, etc.)	SPLIT PROJECT Split \$1 million of STBG-U (and match) and transfer it to the new Metro BRT Project Development study.
(#5) ODOT Key # <b>22435</b> MTIP ID 71257	ODOT	OR47/OR8/US30 Curb Ramps	Construct to American Disabilities Act (ADA) standards, curbs and ramps at multiple locations along OR47, OR8, and US30 to reduce mobility barriers and make state highways more accessible to disabled persons	<b><u>COST INCREASE</u></b> : Add a small Utility Relocation (UR) phase and increase construction to address the revised construction phase estimate
(#6) ODOT Key # <b>22185</b> MTIP ID 71214	TriMet	Enhanced Seniors Mobility/Individuals w/Disabilities (2024) 5310	Supports mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area	ADD FUNDS: Update FTA based 5310 formula fund annual estimates and add overmatch to the project in FFY 2024
(#7) ODOT Key # <b>23188</b> MTIP ID 71317	TriMet	Enhanced Seniors Mobility/ Individuals w/Disabilities (2025)	Supports mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area in FFY 2025	ADD FUNDS: Update FTA based 5310 formula fund annual estimates and add overmatch to the project in FFY 2025

			Construct a new cycle track and	SCOPE CHANGE:
			sidewalk along OR-43 from Cedar Oaks	The formal amendment revises the
(#0)		OR43: Marylhurst Dr	to Hidden Springs Rd. Install a new	project scope to primarily be located at
(#8) ODOT Key #		Hidden Springs Rd (West	traffic signal at OR43 and Hidden	the Marylhurst Dr intersection and will be
20329	West Linn	Linn)	Springs Rd.	limited to intersection safety
MTIP ID	West Linn	OR43: Willamette Dr at	Construct a new protected signalized	improvements plus upgrades to the
70882		Marylhurst Dr (West	intersection at OR43/Marylhurst that	traffic signal. Revise project limits and
70002		Linn)	provides separation for vehicles,	scope based on adjusted cost estimates.
			pedestrians, and bicyclists and	Change project name and description as
			improves safety for all roadway users.	a result.

Proposed Amendment Review and Approval Steps:

- Wednesday, January 3, 2024: Post amendment & begin 30-day notification/comment period.
- Friday, January 5, 2024: TPAC meeting (Required Metro amendment notification)
- Thursday, January 18, 2024: JPACT meeting.
- Friday, February 2, 2024: End 30-day Public Comment period.
- Thursday, February 8, 2024: Final approval from Metro Council anticipated.
- Early March 2024: Estimated final USDOT amendment approvals occur.

### 2024-2027 Constrained MTIP Formal Amendment: Exhibit A



Metro 2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET MTIP Formal Amendment
CANCEL PROJECT

Cancel and remove the project from the MTIP

Proje	ect #1								
	Project Details Summary								
ODOT Key #	23462	RFFA ID:	N/A	RTP ID:	N/A	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023		
MTIP ID:	71394	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #: N/A		FTA Flex & Conversion Code	No		
MTIP Amendment ID: JA24		JA24-04-JAN		STIP Amendment ID:		24-27-0575			

Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:

The formal amendment cancels and removes the project from the 2024-27 MTIP. DEQ awarded the CMAQ funds to the Beaverton School District to support the procurement of EV chargers for their buses. However, the will not now move forward and DEQ has authorized ODOT to cancel the project.

Project Name:	Beaverton Sch	eaverton School District EV Chargers							
Lead Agency:	Beaverton Sch	nool District	Applicant:	Beaverton So	chool District	Administrator:	D	EQ	
Certified Agency Delivery: No		Non-Certified Ag	gency Delivery: No		Delivery as Direct Recipient:		Yes		

### Short Description:

Purchase and install electric wall mount chargers.

### MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

In the Beaverton School District in Washington County, add 22 electric charging stations to the existing 8 charge stations at its Transportation Service Center (TSC) in Beaverton (DEQ CMAQ award, EMRED - VOC: 0.108, NOX: 2.585, CO: 1.114, CO2: 100,786, PM10: 0.107, PM2.5: 0.027).

**STIP Description**: Purchase and install electric wall mount chargers for electric vehicles (EV).

	Project Classification Details							
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type					
Other	System Management & Operations	Other	Other					
ODOT Work Type:	CMAQ							

				Phase Fundi	ng and Progra	mming			
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other	Total
Feder	al Funds								
CMAQ	<del>¥400</del>	<del>2023</del>					<del>\$ 70,312</del>		\$
<b>CMAQ</b>	<del>¥400</del>	<del>2023</del>						<del>\$ 98,795</del>	\$
									\$
	Federa	al Totals:	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ ·
0									
State	Funds								
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
									\$
									\$
	Stat	te Totals:	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$
			•	•	•	•	•	•	
Loca	Funds								
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
-Other-	-OTHO-	<del>202</del> 4		<del>\$ 62,791</del>					\$
-Local-	-Match-	<del>2023</del>					\$ 8,048		\$
-Other-	-OTHO-	<del>2023</del>					<del>\$ 358,212</del>		\$
-Local-	-Match-	<del>2023</del>						\$ 11,308	\$
									\$
	Loc	al Totals:	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$
Phas	e Totals		Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Existing Prog		otals:	\$ -		<u>\$</u>	- <del>\$</del>	<del>\$ 436,572</del>		
Amended Pro	-		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
. included 110	0		Ť	<b>Y</b>	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>		ated Project Cost	
							I OTAL ESTIMA	ared Project Cost	

Programming Summary	Yes/No			Reason if sh	ort Programmed		
Is the project short programmed?	No	The project is no	t short program	imed			
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Totals
Phase Programming Change:	\$-	\$ (62,791)	\$-	\$-	\$ (436,572)	\$ (110,103)	\$ (609,466)
Phase Change Percent:	0.0%	-100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-100.0%	-100.0%	-100.0%
Amended Phase Matching Funds:	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Amended Phase Matching Percent:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Phase Program	mming Summar	ry Totals			
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-
State	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Local	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Total	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
		Phase Com	position Percen	itages			
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		Phase Prog	ramming Perce	ntage			
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Project Ph	ase Obligation Hi	story			
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated							Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:							N/A
EA Number:							FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:							N/A
EA End Date:							FMIS or TRAMS
Known Expenditures:							N/A
				Estimate	ed Project Comple	tion Date:	Not Applicable
Completion Date Notes:							
Are federal funds being flex transfe	red to FTA?	No	lf yes, expe	ected FTA conv	ersion code:	N/A	
Notes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) infor	mation pertains pri	imarily to projects	under ODOT Local	Delivery oversig	ght.		

### Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review

1. What is the source of funding? **DEQ awarded CMAQ.** 

2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes. The awarded DEQ CMAQ is being removed from the MTIP.

3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? **Yes.** 

4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? **ODOT review and DEQ approval to cancel the project.** 

5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes.

			Project Location Referen	ces				
On State Highway	Yes/No	Route MP Begin		MP End		Length		
	No	Not Applicable Not Applicable		Not Applicable		Not Applicable		
Cross Streets	Route or Arterial		Cross Street	Cross Street		Cross Street		
Closs streets		Not Applicable	Not Applicable		Not Applicable			

Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification									
1st Year	2023	Years Active	1	Project Status	0		No activity.		
Programmed	2023	Tears Active	L L	FIOJECT Status	Ject status 0		NO activity.		
Total Prior	2	Last	Administrative	Date of Last	August 2022	Last MTIP	AM23-24-AUG3		
Amendments	2	Amendment	Auministrative	Amendment	August 2023	Amend Num	AWI25-24-AUU5		
Last Amendment	PHASE SLIP:								
Action	Slip PE phase to FF	( 2024							

Anticipated Required Performance Measurements Monitoring									
Metro RTP Performance	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes		
Measurements	Not Applicable								

RTP Air Quality Conformity an	nd Transportation Modeling Designations
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing project?	Non-capacity enhancing project
Is the project exempt from a conformity determination per 40 CER 93,126, Table 2 or 40 CER 93,127, Table 37	Vac. par Tabla 2. Mass Transit
per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2 or 40 CFR 93.127, Table 3?	, per lable 2, mass fransit
	Reconstruction or renovation of transit buildings and structures (e.g., rail or bus
Exemption Reference:	: buildings, storage and maintenance facilities, stations, terminals, and ancillary
	structures).
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?	No. The project is not located on any of the Metro transportation networks. The project is not capacity enhancing and removing it does not impact the transportation demand modeling network.
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed	
as part of RTP inclusion?	
RTP Constrained Project ID and Name	Not Applicable
RTP Project Description:	Not Applicable

	Project L	ocation in the Metro Transportation Network
Yes/No	Network	Designation
No	Motor Vehicle	Not Applicable. Planning project grouping bucket (PGB)
No	Transit	
No	Freight	
No	Bicycle	
No	Pedestrian	

	National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations									
System	Y/N	Route	Designation							
NHS Project	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable							
Functional Classification	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable							
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable							

### Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas

- 1. Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? No.
- 2. Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? No.
- 3. Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? No.
- 3a. If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? No.
- 3b. Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.
- 3c. What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)? Not applicable.

### 4. Applicable RTP Goal: None.

5. Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No. The project is not capacity enhancing nor does it exceed \$100 million in total project cost.

### Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement

1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? Yes.

- 2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? Estimated to be January 3, 2024 to February 2, 2024
- 3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan? Yes.
- 4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? Yes.
- 5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? **Not expected.**
- 6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? Not expected.
- 7. Added notes: The decision to cancel ad remove the project was made by DEQ, fund awarding agency.

	Fund Codes References
Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds
CMAQ	Congestion Mitigation Air Quality (CMAQ) funds. CMAQ funds are a federal funding source (FHWA based) that provide a flexible funding source to State and local governments for transportation projects and programs to help meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act. Funding is available to reduce congestion and improve air quality for areas that do not meet the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for ozone, carbon monoxide, or particulate matter (nonattainment areas) and for former nonattainment areas that are now in compliance (maintenance areas). The funds are normally apportioned to the eligible states and then potentially sub-allocated to MPOs or other eligible agencies based on a formula allocation.
Other	Additional local funds committed by the lead agency above and beyond the minimum match requirement to the federal funds to cover the costs of the project phase.



Project Location Map



Phase	Fund Code	Description	Percent of Phase	Total Amount	Federal Percent	Federal Amount	State Percent	State Amount	Local Percent	Local Amount
	OTH0	OTHER THAN STATE OR	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	100.00%	0.00
PE	PE Totals		0.00%	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
	OTH0	OTHER THAN STATE OR	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	100.00%	0.00
CN	¥400	CMAQ congestion mitigation air quality IIJA	0.00%	0.00	89.73%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	10.27%	0.00
	CN Totals		0.00%	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
от	¥400	CMAQ congestion mitigation air quality IIJA	0.00%	0.00	89.73%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	10.27%	0.00
	OT Totals		0.00%	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
	Grand Totals			0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00

### 2024-2027 Constrained MTIP Formal Amendment: Exhibit A



Metro 2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET

# MTIP Formal Amendment ADD NEW PROJECT

Add the new Metro awarded CRP project development project

Proje	ect #2									
Project Details Summary										
ODOT Key #	New-TBD	RFFA ID:	N/A	RTP ID:	12029	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023			
MTIP ID:	New-TBD	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #:	N/A	FTA Flex & Conversion Code	Yes, 5307			
М	TIP Amendment ID:	JA24-04-JAN		STIP Amer	ndment ID:	TBD				

### **Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:**

The formal amendment adds the new Metro Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) award to the MTIP in support of the planned new 82nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project. The project development activities will complete pre-design and pre-NEPA actions in support TriMet's effort to obtain a FTA Small Starts grant. Metro's funding includes a \$5 million CRP award and a \$1 million UPWP Next Corridor study grant award. The January Formal Amendment bundle includes a separate similar project for TriMet to complete project development activities in support of their effort to secure the Small Starts grant. The split programing approach is necessary and has been approved by FTA.

Project Name:	ne: 82nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit Project Development: Sunnyside-PDX											
Lead Agency:	Met	ro	Applicant:	Me	etro	Administrator:		FTA				
Certified Age	rtified Agency Delivery: No Delivery as Direct Recipient: Yes											

### Short Description:

Complete project development activities in support of the planned new 82nd Ave BRT including preliminary design, addressing NEPA requirements, development of FTA rating materials and other activities needed to assist TriMet obtain a Small Starts grant

### MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

In Portland proposed on 82nd Ave from Sunnyside Ave in the south and then north to Airport Way/PDX, complete project development activities in support of the new 82nd Ave BRT including preliminary design, addressing NEPA requirements, development of FTA rating materials and other activities needed to support TriMet's effort to obtain a Small Starts grant for the project.

### STIP Description: TBD

	Project Classification Details										
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type								
Transit	Transit Capital	Capital Bus Rapid Transit	Capital Improvement								
ODOT Work Type:	TBD										

				Phase Fundi	ng and Progra	mming			
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other	Total
Feder	al Funds								
Carbon	Y601	2024	\$ 5,000,000	)					\$ 5,000,000
STBG-U	Y230	2024	\$ 1,000,000	)					\$ 1,000,000
									\$ -
	Feder	al Totals:	\$ 6,000,000	) \$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ ·	\$ 6,000,000
State	e Funds								
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
									\$ -
									\$-
									- ب

Local	Funds								
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Local (Carbon)	Match	2024	\$ 572,272						\$ 572,272
Local (STBG)	Match	2024	\$ 114,454						\$ 114,454
									\$ -
	Loc	al Totals:	\$ 686,727	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 686,727

Phase Totals	P	lanning	PE		ROV	V	U	R	Сог	ns	Otl	her		Total
Existing Programming Totals:	<u>\$</u>	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	
Amended Programming Totals	\$	6,686,727	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,686,72
									Tota	l Estima	ated Proj	ect Cost	\$	300,000,0
								1	otal Cost	t in Yeai	r of Expe	nditure:	\$	300,000,0
te: The Estimated total Project cost and YOE	cost re	eflect the total	estimated co	nstructed	d project co	st as ide	ntified in	the RTP fo	or ID 12029					
Programming Summary	Ņ	Yes/No					Reas	on if sho	ort Progra	ammed				
Is the project short programmed?		Yes	The project for now.	ct is on	ly prograr	nming	pre-PE	project (	developm	nent act	ivities via	a a Planı	ning	project pha
Programming Adjustments Details	Р	lanning	PE		ROV	V	U	IR	Сог	าร	Otl	her		Totals
Phase Programming Change:	\$	6,686,727	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,686,7
Phase Change Percent:		100.0%		0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%		0.0%		100.
Amended Phase Matching Funds:	¢	686,727	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	686,7
Amenaca matering rands.	ų ų	000,727	Ŷ		ر د								<b>Y</b>	
Amended Phase Matching Percent:	· ·	10.27%		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	T	N/A	-	-
ç	· ·				ې mming Su			-		N/A	• 	N/A	-	-
ç		10.27%		Program		mmar Way		lity	Constru		Oth		-	•
Amended Phase Matching Percent:		10.27%	Phase F Prelimin	Program	nming Su Right of	mmar Way	y Totals Uti	lity					-	10.2 Total
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category	P	10.27%	Phase F Prelimir Engineerir	Program	mming Su Right of (ROW	mmar Way	y Totals Uti Reloc	lity	Constru		Oth			10.2 Total
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category Federal	PI \$	10.27%	Phase I Prelimir Engineerir \$	Program nary ng (PE) -	nming Su Right of (ROW \$	mmar Way V)	y Totals Util Reloc \$	lity ation -	<b>Constru</b> \$	iction -	Oth \$	ner -	\$	10.2
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category Federal State	P1 \$ \$	10.27% lanning 6,000,000	Phase F Prelimir Engineerir \$ \$	Program nary ng (PE) -	mming Su Right of (ROW \$ \$	Immar Way /) -	y Totals Util Reloc \$ \$	lity ation -	Constru \$ \$	iction - -	Oth \$ \$	ner -	\$\$	10.2 Total 6,000,0
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category Federal State Local	PI \$ \$ \$	10.27% lanning 6,000,000 - 686,727	Phase F Prelimir Engineerir \$ \$ \$	Program nary ng (PE) -	mming Su Right of (ROW \$ \$ \$ \$	Immar Way /) -	y Totals Uti Reloc \$ \$ \$	lity ation -	Constru \$ \$ \$	iction - -	Oth \$ \$ \$	ner -	\$ \$ \$	10.2 Total 6,000,0 686,7
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category Federal State Local	PI \$ \$ \$	10.27% lanning 6,000,000 - 686,727	Phase F Prelimin Engineerin \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Program nary ng (PE) - - -	mming Su Right of (ROW \$ \$ \$ \$	mmar Way /) - - - -	y Totals Util Reloc \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	lity ation -	Constru \$ \$ \$	iction - -	Oth \$ \$ \$	ner -	\$ \$ \$	10.2 Total 6,000,0 686,7
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category Federal State Local	PI \$ \$ \$ \$	10.27% lanning 6,000,000 - 686,727	Phase F Prelimin Engineerin \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Program nary ng (PE) - - -	mming Su Right of (ROW \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Immar Way /) _ _ _ _ Percen	y Totals Util Reloc \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	lity ation - - -	Constru \$ \$ \$	- - - -	Oth \$ \$ \$	ner	\$ \$ \$	10.2 Total 6,000,0 686,7
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category Federal State Local Total	PI \$ \$ \$ \$ P	10.27% lanning 6,000,000 - 686,727 6,686,727	Phase F Prelimir Engineerir \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Phas	Program hary hg (PE) - - - - - -	mming Su Right of (ROW \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	mmar Way /) - - - - - - - - /	y Totals Util Reloc \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	lity ation - - - R	Constru \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - -	<b>Oth</b> \$ \$ \$ \$	her - - - -	\$ \$ \$	10.2 Total 6,000,0 686,7 6,686,7
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category Federal State Local Total Fund Type	PI \$ \$ \$ \$ PI	10.27% lanning 6,000,000 - 686,727 6,686,727 lanning	Phase F Prelimin Engineerin \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Phas PE	Program hary hg (PE) - - - - - - -	mming Su Right of (ROW \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ position P ROW	mmar Way /) - - - - - - - /	y Totals Uti Reloc \$ \$ \$ \$ tages U	lity ation - - - R 0%	Constru \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Cor	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Oth \$ \$ \$ \$ Oth	her	\$ \$ \$	10.2 Total 6,000,0 686, 6,686,7 Total
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category Federal State Local Total Fund Type Federal Federal	PI \$ \$ \$ \$	10.27% Ianning 6,000,000 - 686,727 6,686,727 Ianning 89.7%	Phase F Prelimir Engineerir \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Phas PE 0.0%	Program hary hg (PE) - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	mming Su Right of (ROW \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ position P ROW 0.0%	Mmar Way /) - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	y Totals Util Reloc \$ \$ \$ \$ tages U 0.0	lity ation - - - - R 0%	Constru \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Cor 0.0	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Oth \$ \$ \$ \$ Oth 0.0	ner - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$	10.2 Total 6,000,0 686,7 6,686,7 Total 89.7%

	Phase Programming Percentage											
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total					
Federal	89.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	89.7%					
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
Local	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.3%					
Total	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%					

Project Phase Obligation History								
ltem	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal	
Total Funds Obligated							Aid ID	
Federal Funds Obligated:								
EA Number:	Not Applicable						FHWA or FTA	
Initial Obligation Date:							FTA	
EA End Date:	Not Applicable						FMIS or TrAMS	
Known Expenditures:							TrAMS	
	Estimated Project Completion Date:							
Completion Date Notes:	The completion da	te is for the project	t development pla	nning study effo	rt only			
Are federal funds being flex transfe	Are federal funds being flex transferred to FTA? YES If yes, expected FTA conversion code: 5307							
Notes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) info	rmation pertains pr	imarily to projects	under ODOT Loca	l Delivery oversig	ght. This project will	be under FTA ov	ersight.	

### Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review

1. What is the source of funding? Metro allocated CRP awarded to the project and prior approved UPWP Next Corridor funds.

2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes. This is a new project being added to the MTP. The CRP awarded funds are from a ODOT allocation to Metro which Metro Council awarded to the project on 6-15-2023.

3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes, via Council agenda item awarding the funds.

4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? No OTC or ODOT approval was required. Metro Council approval for the CRP funds was required.

5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes.

	Project Location References									
On State Highway	res/No Route MP Be		MP Begin	Begin MP End		Length				
	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable		Not Applicable				
Cross Streets	Route or Arterial		Cross Street		Cross Street					
Cross Streets	82nd Ave SE Powell Blvd					NE Airport Way				

Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification								
1st Year	2024	2024 Years Active 0 Project Status		a at Status T22	Programming actions in progress or programmed			
Programmed	2024	rears Active	U	Project Status	T22	in current MTIP		
Total Prior	0	Last	None	Date of Last	Not	Last MTIP	Not Applicable	
Amendments	0	Amendment	NOTE	Amendment	Applicable	Amend Num	Not Applicable	
Last Amendment	Not Not Applicable							
Action	Not. Not Applicable							

		Anticipate	ed Required Perf	ormance Meas	urements Monit	oring			
Metro RTP Performance	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes Equity Focus Areas: The project limits cross over several EFAs. Most are "yes".		
Measurements	Х			Х	Х	Х	Overall People of Color (POC), Limited English (LE), and Limited Income(LI) = Yes		
	DDOT (federal) Performance Measurements         Passenger Rail Ridership       Walkways/Bikeways       Traffic Congestion       Transit Rides								
Mobility					X		Х		
Preservation	Pavement Condition		Bridge Condition		Public Transit Vehicle Condition				
Safety Fatalities/Injuries Reduction									
Stewardship	Construction Projects On-Time		Construction Projects On- Budget		Disadvantage Business Enterprise Utilization		ODOT Customer Service		
							X		

RTP Air Quality Conformity an	d Transportation Modeling Designations
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing project?	The project development portion is considered a planning effort and is not capacity enhancing. The full BRT project is considered capacity enhancing and requires transportation demand modeling analysis.
	The project development portion (this project) is exempt for a conformity determination. Exemption reference is 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2, Other
Exemption Reference:	Other - Planning and technical studies.
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?	Yes, the full constructed project requires transportation demand modeling analysis. The project development portion (this project) does not require modeling analysis.
	Yes, the full constructed project has completed transportation demand modeling analysis as part of the new 2023 RTP base don the LPA.
RTP Constrained Project ID and Name:	ID#: 12029 - HCT: 82nd Ave Transit Project
RTP Project Description:	Contingent on federal, state and local funding commitments, the 82nd Ave Transit Project will improve travel between Clackamas Town Center and important destinations in NE Portland with easier, faster and more reliable bus service as well as necessary safety and accessibility improvements, paving and signals.

	Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network						
Yes/No	Network	Designation					
Yes	Yes Motor Vehicle Proposed LPA on 82nd Ave in the project limits is designated as a Major Arterial.						
Yes	es Transit Proposed LPA on 82nd Ave in the project limits is designated as a Frequent Bus area.						
Yes	Freight	Generally no designation. However, a small portion for 82nd Ave near the airport is designated as a					
res		Regional Intermodal Connector.					
Yes	Bicycle	In some portions on 82nd Ave in the projects, the arterial is designated as a Regional Bikeway					
Vac	Destanting	For most of the project limits, 82nd Ave is designated as a Pedestrian Parkway. Near the airport, the					
Yes	Pedestrian	designation changes to be a Regional Pedestrian Corridor.					

System Y/N Route Designation						
NHS Project	Yes	82nd Ave	MAP 21 NHS Principal Arterials and near the airport, the designation changes to be a Intermodal Connector			
Functional Classification	Yes	82nd Ave	Urban Other Principal Arterial			
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	Yes	82nd Ave	FHWA Functional Classification Code: 3 (Other Principal Arterial)			

### Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas

- 1. Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? **No**.
- 2. Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? Yes
- 3. Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? Yes.
- 3a. If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? The SFY 2025 UPWP is being updated to include the project.
- 3b. Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.
- 3c. What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)? Metro Funded Stand-Alone project.

### 4. Applicable RTP Goals:

### Goal #11 - Transparency and Accountability:

Objective 11.2 Performance-Based Planning – Make transportation investment decisions using a performance-based planning approach that is aligned with the RTP goals and supported by meaningful public engagement, multimodal data and analysis.

### Goal #9 - Equitable Transportation:

Objective 9.1 Transportation Equity – Eliminate disparities related to access, safety, affordability and health outcomes experienced by people of color and other historically marginalized communities.

### Goal #3 - Transportation Choices:

Objective 3.3 Access to Transit – Increase household and job access to current and planned frequent transit service.

5. Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No and Yes. The planning project portion (this project) is not subject to the special performance assessment requirement. The full project once funded will be subject to a special performance assessment evaluation in total project cost and is capacity enhancing.

- 1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? Yes.
- 2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? Estimated to be January 3, 2024 to February 5, 2024
- 3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan? Yes.
- 4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? Yes.
- 5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? Some comments are expected.
- 6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? Yes. For this specific project amendment, Metro may receive a sufficient number of comments that may require a comment log.
- 7. Added notes: The amendment public comment process will be expanded a little to compensate for the holidays. The comment period will be completed prior to the proposed Metro Council approval date of February 8, 2024. If a significant number of comments (positive or negative) are received, then the project amendment may be pulled from the proposed February Council approval date and push out to a later date if required.

	Fund Codes References						
Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds						
STBG	Surface Transportation Block Grant funds. A federal funding source (FHWA based) appropriated to the State DOT. The Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) promotes flexibility in State and local transportation decisions and provides flexible funding to best address State and local transportation needs.						
STBG-U	STBG funds that ODOT suballocates to Metro for use of eligible projects in urban areas						



ortland, OR 97232-2736


Council meeting agenda

Thursday, June 15, 2023 10:30 AM Metro Regional Center, Council Chamber, https://www.youtube.com/live/vSo1bJifjSI? feature=share, https://zoom.us/j/615079992 Webinar ID: 615 079 992 or 888-475-4499 (toll free)

Exhibit A to Staff Report of Resolution 23-5337 Project Allocation List and Project Descriptions

TPAC Recommended Investment Package						
Tualatin Valley Highway Bus Rapid Transit	\$5,000,000					
82 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue Bus Rapid Transit	\$5.000.000					
Line 33 McLoughlin Transit Signal Priority	\$4,000,000					
Climate Smart Implementation Program	\$1,800,000					
Transportation System Management & Operations	\$3,000,000					
Subtotal:	\$18,800,000					

il meeti:	ng	Agenda	June 15, 2023
5.1	\$18.8 Million o	23-5337, For the Purpose of Distributing f Carbon Reduction Program Funds for the 6, Pending Inclusion in the 2024-2027	<u>RES 23-5337</u>
	Presenter(s):	Ted Leybold (he/him), Metro Grace Cho. Metro	
	Attachments:	Resolution No. 23-5337 Exhibit A Exhibit B	
		<u>Staff Report</u> <u>Attachment 1</u>	



# 82nd Avenue Transit Project: Discussion Draft Locally Preferred Alternative

### 2024-2027 Constrained MTIP Formal Amendment: Exhibit A



Metro 2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET MTIP Formal Amendment
SPLIT PROJECT

Split and transfer \$5 million CRP to the 82nd Ave BRT project

Proje	ect #3						
	Project Details Summary						
ODOT Key #	23239	RFFA ID:	50440	RTP ID:	11103	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023
MTIP ID:	71331	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #:	N/A	FTA Flex & Conversion Code	Yes, 5307
MTIP Amendment ID: JA		JA24-04-JAN		STIP Amei	ndment ID:	TBD	

### **Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:**

The formal amendment splits \$5 million of Carbon funds (plus match) and transfers them to the new Metro 82nd Ave Bus Rapid Transit project. Metro is using awarded Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) funds to support the project. The project development activities will complete pre-design and pre-NEPA actions in support TriMet's effort to obtain a FTA Small Starts grant. Metro's funding includes a \$5 million CRP award and a \$1 million UPWP Next Corridor study grant award. TriMet will be submitting a separate similar project for then to complete project development activities in support of their effort to secure the Small Starts grant as well. The split programing approach is necessary and has been approved by FTA.

Project Name:	Carbon Reduc	Carbon Reduction Program Reserve							
Lead Agency:	Met	ro	Applicant:	Me	etro		Administrator:	F	TA
Certified Age	ency Delivery:	Yes	Non-Certified Ag	gency Delivery:	N/A	A	Delivery as Dir	ect Recipient:	N/A

### Short Description:

Funding to focus on transportation activities which reduce the emissions of carbon and greenhouse gases from transportation sources.

### MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

Created by the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, a new funding program created to focus on transportation activities which reduces the emissions of carbon and greenhouse gases from transportation sources. Federal rules and guidance outlines state and metropolitan planning organization requirements for allocating and spending dollars, in addition to defining overarching eligible activities for funds. The Metro allocation of funding for the Carbon Reduction Program is still to be determined but will be guided by the region's Climate Smart Strategy.

STIP Description: Funding to focus on transportation activities to reduce the emissions of carbon and greenhouse gases from transportation sources.

Project Classification Details						
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type			
Other	Other	Other	Other			
ODOT Work Type:	OP-Carbon					

				Phase Fundi	ng and Progra	mming				
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other		Total
Feder	al Funds									
<del>Carbon</del>	<del>¥601</del>	<del>2027</del>	_	_				<del>\$ 17,039,213</del>	\$	
Carbon	Y601	2027						\$ 12,038,213	\$	12,038,2
									\$	
	Feder	ral Totals:	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 12,038,213	\$	12,038,2
State	e Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other		Total
	coue			0 0 0	• •					
	Code								\$	
									\$ \$	

Local	Funds								
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
-Local (Carbon)-	-Match-	<del>2027</del>						<del>\$ 1,950,214</del>	\$-
Local (Carbon)	Match	2027						\$ 1,377,827	\$ 1,377,827
									\$-
	Loc	al Totals:	\$-	- <b>\$</b> -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ 1,377,827	\$ 1,377,827

Phase Totals	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other		Total
Existing Programming Totals:	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	<del>\$ 18,989,427</del>	\$	18,989,42
Amended Programming Totals	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ 13,416,040	\$	13,416,04
					Total Estim	ated Project Cost	\$	13,416,04
					Total Cost in Yea	r of Expenditure:	\$	13,416,04
Programming Summary	Yes/No			Reason if she	ort Programmed			
Is the project short programmed?	No	The project is a programmed.	PGB bucket sup	porting specific	carbon reductio	n projects. The pr	oject	is not sho
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other		Totals
Phase Programming Change:	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ (5,573,387)	\$	(5,573,3
Phase Change Percent:	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-29.3%		-29.
Amended Phase Matching Funds:	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 1,377,827	\$	1,377,8
-	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	. 10.27%		
-	N/A		N/A mming Summar		N/A	10.27%		10.2
-	N/A Planning				N/A Construction	0ther		
Amended Phase Matching Percent:		Phase Program Preliminary	mming Summar Right of Way	ry Totals Utility			\$	10.2 Total
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category	Planning	Phase Program Preliminary Engineering (PE)	mming Summar Right of Way (ROW)	ry Totals Utility Relocation	Construction	Other		10.2 Total
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category Federal	Planning \$ -	Phase Program Preliminary Engineering (PE) \$ -	mming Summar Right of Way (ROW) \$ -	ry Totals Utility Relocation \$ -	Construction \$ -	<b>Other</b> \$ 12,038,213	\$	10.2 Total 12,038,2
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category Federal State	Planning \$ - \$ -	Phase Program Preliminary Engineering (PE) \$ - \$ -	mming Summar Right of Way (ROW) \$ - \$ -	ry Totals Utility Relocation \$ - \$ -	Construction \$ - \$ -	<b>Other</b> \$ 12,038,213 \$ -	\$ \$	10.2 Total 12,038,2 1,377,8
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category Federal State Local	Planning           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -	Phase Program Preliminary Engineering (PE) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	mming Summar Right of Way (ROW) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	ry Totals Utility Relocation \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Construction \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Other           \$ 12,038,213           \$ -           \$ 1,377,827	\$ \$ \$	10.2 Total 12,038,2 1,377,8
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category Federal State Local Total	Planning           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -           \$         -	Phase Program Preliminary Engineering (PE) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - Phase Com	mming Summar Right of Way (ROW) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	ry Totals Utility Relocation \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Construction \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Other           \$ 12,038,213           \$ -           \$ 1,377,827	\$ \$ \$	10.2 Total 12,038,2 1,377,8
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category Federal State Local Total Fund Type	Planning       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         Planning       -	Phase Program Preliminary Engineering (PE) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	mming Summar Right of Way (ROW) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	ry Totals Utility Relocation \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Construction \$- \$- \$- \$- \$- \$-	Other           \$ 12,038,213           \$ 12,038,213           \$ 1,377,827           \$ 13,416,040           Other	\$ \$ \$	10.2 Total 12,038,2 1,377,8 13,416,0 Total
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category Federal State Local Total Fund Type Federal	Planning         \$         -         \$         -         \$         -         \$         -         \$         -         \$         -         \$         -         Planning         0.0%	Phase Program Preliminary Engineering (PE) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	mming Summar Right of Way (ROW) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$	ry Totals Utility Relocation \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Construction         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       0.0%	Other           \$ 12,038,213           \$ 1,377,827           \$ 13,416,040           Other           89.73%	\$ \$ \$	10.2 Total 12,038,2 1,377,8 13,416,0 Total 89.7%
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category Federal State Local Total Fund Type Federal State State	Planning       -         \$       -	Phase Program Preliminary Engineering (PE) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	mming Summar Right of Way (ROW) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$	ry Totals Utility Relocation \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Construction \$- \$- \$- \$- \$- \$- \$- \$-	Other           \$ 12,038,213           \$ 12,038,213           \$ 1,377,827           \$ 13,416,040           Other           89.73%           0.0%	\$ \$ \$	10.2 Total 12,038,2 1,377,8 13,416,0 Total 89.7% 0.0%
Amended Phase Matching Percent: Fund Category Federal State Local Total Fund Type Federal	Planning         \$         -         \$         -         \$         -         \$         -         \$         -         \$         -         \$         -         Planning         0.0%	Phase Program Preliminary Engineering (PE) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	mming Summar Right of Way (ROW) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$	ry Totals Utility Relocation \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Construction         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       -         \$       0.0%	Other           \$ 12,038,213           \$ 1,377,827           \$ 13,416,040           Other           89.73%	\$ \$ \$	10.2 Total 12,038,2 1,377,8 13,416,0 Total 89.7%

Phase Programming Percentage							
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	89.73%	89.7%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.27%	10.27%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		Project Pha	ase Obligation H	istory			
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated							Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:							
EA Number:							FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:							N/A
EA End Date:							FMIS or TrAMS
Known Expenditures:							N/A
				Estimate	d Project Comple	tion Date:	12/31/2027
Completion Date Notes:	The completion da	te is for the project	t development pla	nning study effor	rt only		
Are federal funds being flex transfe	rred to FTA?	YES	If yes, exp	ected FTA conv	ersion code:	5307	
Notes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) infor	mation pertains pr	imarily to projects	under ODOT Loca	l Delivery oversig	ht. This project will	be under FTA ov	ersight.

### Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review

1. What is the source of funding? Metro allocated Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) Funds.

2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes. The formal amendment splits off \$5 million of CRP funds and reprograms them to the new Metro 82nd Ave BRT project.

3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes, via Council agenda item awarding the funds.

 Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? No OTC or ODOT approval was required. Metro Council approval for the CRP funds was required.

5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes.

Project Location References							
On State Highway	Yes/No	Route	MP Begin	MP	End	Length	
	No Not Applicable		Not Applicable	Not Applicable Not Applicable			
Curran Churanta		Route or Arterial	Cross Street		Cross Street		
Cross Streets	Not Applicable		Not Applicable		Not Applicable		
Note: Key 23239 is a	non specific	c project grouping bucket (PGB)	supporting Carbon Reduction Prog	ram projects whic	ch once approved	are split off as separate stand-alor	
projects in the MTIP.							

	Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification								
1st Year	2027	Years Active	0	Project Status	Active	Programming actions in progress or programmed			
Programmed	2027	reals Active			Active	in current MTIP			
Total Prior	1	Last	Administrative	Date of Last	December	Last MTIP	AM24-04-DEC2		
Amendments	L	Amendment	Auministrative	Amendment	2023	Amend Num	AIVIZ4-04-DECZ		
Last Amendment	ast Amendment Split \$1.8 million off the PGB to support the Metro Climate Smart Implementation Program								
Action	ομπι ότιο πημηση οι	i the FGB to supp		mate smart imp	iementation Pr	Ugrann			

Anticipated Required Performance Measurements Monitoring							
Metro RTP Performance	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes Other performance measure goals may apply depending on specific
Measurements		X					projects the funds are committed

RTP Air Quality Conformity an	d Transportation Modeling Designations
	The project development portion is considered a planning effort and is not capacity enhancing. The full BRT project is considered capacity enhancing and requires transportation demand modeling analysis.
	The project development portion (this project) is exempt for a conformity determination. Exemption reference is 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2, Other
Exemption Reference:	Other - Planning and technical studies.

Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?	Yes, the full constructed project requires transportation demand modeling analysis. The project development portion (this project) does not require modeling analysis.
	Yes, the full constructed project has completed transportation demand modeling analysis as part of the new 2023 RTP base don the LPA.
RTP Constrained Project ID and Name:	ID#: 11103 - Regional MPO Activities for 2023-2030
RTP Project Description:	Transportation planning, programming, monitoring and federal reporting that Metro must conduct in order to remain certified as an metropolitan planning organization (MPO) by the federal government for the region and be eligible to receive federal transportation funding dollars.

		Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network
Yes/No	Network	Designation
Yes	Motor Vehicle	Proposed LPA on 82nd Ave in the project limits is designated as a Major Arterial.
Yes	Transit	Proposed LPA on 82nd Ave in the project limits is designated as a Frequent Bus area.
Yes	Freight	Generally no designation. However, a small portion for 82nd Ave near the airport is designated as a Regional Intermodal Connector.
Yes	Bicycle	In some portions on 82nd Ave in the projects, the arterial is designated as a Regional Bikeway
Yes	Pedestrian	For most of the project limits, 82nd Ave is designated as a Pedestrian Parkway. Near the airport, the designation changes to be a Regional Pedestrian Corridor.

System	Y/N	Route	Designation
NHS Project	Yes	82nd Ave	MAP 21 NHS Principal Arterials and near the airport, the designation changes to be a Intermoda Connector
Functional Classification	Yes	82nd Ave	Urban Other Principal Arterial
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	Yes	82nd Ave	FHWA Functional Classification Code: 3 (Other Principal Arterial)

### Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas

1. Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? **No**.

2. Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? No

3. Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? No

3a. If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? **No** 

3b. Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.

3c. What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)? Not applicable for the generic PGB.

4. Applicable RTP Goals:

### Goal #11 - Transparency and Accountability:

Objective 11.2 Performance-Based Planning – Make transportation investment decisions using a performance-based planning approach that is aligned with the RTP goals and supported by meaningful public engagement, multimodal data and analysis.

### Goal #9 - Equitable Transportation:

Objective 9.1 Transportation Equity – Eliminate disparities related to access, safety, affordability and health outcomes experienced by people of color and other historically marginalized communities.

### Goal #3 - Transportation Choices:

Objective 3.3 Access to Transit – Increase household and job access to current and planned frequent transit service.

5. Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No and Yes. The planning project portion (this project) is not subject to the special performance assessment requirement. The full project once funded will be subject to a special performance assessment evaluation in total project cost and is capacity enhancing.

- 1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? Yes.
- 2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? Estimated to be January 3, 2024 to February 2, 2024
- 3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan? Yes.
- 4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? Yes.
- 5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? Some comments are expected.
- 6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? Yes. For this specific project amendment, Metro may receive a sufficient number of comments that may require a comment log.
- 7. Added notes: The amendment public comment process will be expanded a little to compensate for the holidays. The comment period will be completed prior to the proposed Metro Council approval date of February 8, 2024. If a significant number of comments (positive or negative) are received, then the project amendment may be pulled from the proposed February Council approval date and push out to a later date if required.

	Fund Codes References
Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds
STBG	Surface Transportation Block Grant funds. A federal funding source (FHWA based) appropriated to the State DOT. The Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) promotes flexibility in State and local transportation decisions and provides flexible funding to best address State and local transportation needs.
STBG-U	STBG funds that ODOT suballocates to Metro for use of eligible projects in urban areas



tland OR 97232-2736

Council meeting agenda

Thursday, June 15, 2023	10:30 AM	Metro Regional Center, Council Chamber,
		https://www.youtube.com/live/vSo1bJifjSI?
		feature=share,
		https://zoom.us/j/615079992 Webinar ID:
		615 079 992 or 888-475-4499 (toll free)

Exhibit A to Staff Report of Resolution 23-5337 Project Allocation List and Project Descriptions

TPAC Recommended Investment Package	
Tualatin Valley Highway Bus Rapid Transit	\$5,000,000
82 <sup>nd</sup> Avenue Bus Rapid Transit	\$5.000.000
Line 33 McLoughlin Transit Signal Priority	\$4,000,000
Climate Smart Implementation Program	\$1,800,000
Transportation System Management & Operations	\$3,000,000
Subtotal:	\$18,800,000

il meeti:	ng	Agenda	June 15, 2023
5.1	\$18.8 Million o	23-5337, For the Purpose of Distributing f Carbon Reduction Program Funds for the 6, Pending Inclusion in the 2024-2027	<u>RES 23-5337</u>
	Presenter(s):	Ted Leybold (he/him), Metro Grace Cho. Metro	
	Attachments:	Resolution No. 23-5337 Exhibit A Exhibit B	
		<u>Staff Report</u> <u>Attachment 1</u>	

## Original Programming for Key 23239 Wetro Transportation tracker | Welcome Ken Lobeck (Admin) | Logout | Glossary | Documentation home admin RTP RFA MTIP FUND search details costs programming map amendments obligations earmarks comments rffa ODOT Key: 23239 | MTIP ID: 71331

Carbon Reduction Program (Bucket) - Cycle 2024-29

### Current Programming

phase	year	fund type	federal amount	minimum local match	other amount	total	hold from mtip
Other (explain)	2027		\$18,839,213	\$2,156,232		\$20,995,445	
	2027	Carbon Reduction - Urban	\$18,839,213	\$2,156,232		\$20,995,445	
Totals >>			\$18,839,213	\$2,156,232	<mark>\$0</mark>	\$20,995,445	

### Reduction maded via December 2024 #2 Admin Mod splitting \$1.8 million of STBG from the PGB.

				Phase Fundir	ng and Progra	amming			
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other	Total
Feder	al Funds								
Carbon	¥601	2027						<del>\$ 18,839,213</del>	\$
Carbon	Y601	2027						\$ 17,039,213	\$ 17,039,21
									\$ .
	Feder	al Totals:	\$ -	\$ -	<b>\$</b> -	<b>\$</b> -	\$ -	\$ 17,039,213	\$ 17,039,213
e: HSIP in PE an	a constructi	on is 100%	rederal						
State	e Funds						a		
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
									Ś
							-		Ś
	Stat	te Totals:	Ś -	<b>\$</b> -	Ś -	\$ -	Ś -	Ś -	Ś
								i	
Loca	Funds							-	
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Land	Match	2027						\$ 2,156,232	\$ -
Local				1			12 3		
Local	Match	2027						\$ 1,950,214	\$ 1,950,214
	Match	2027						\$ 1,950,214	\$ 1,950,214 \$ -

xisting Programming Totals: \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - <del>\$ 20,995,445</del> <del>\$ 20,95</del>	
	995,445
nended Programming Totals \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 18,989,427 \$ 18,9	989,427

After the December #2 Admin Mod the revised Carbon funds for 23239 is \$17,039,213. \$5 million for the new 82nd Ave BRT planning study project is split through the formal amendment resulting in a revised Carbon amount of \$12,039,213 and required local match.



Metro 2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET MTIP Formal Amendment **SPLIT PROJECT** Split \$1 million of STBG funds for the 82nd Ave BRT Study

Proje	ect #4						
			Project	Details Summa	ry		
ODOT Key #	23229	RFFA ID:	50436	RTP ID:	11664	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023
MTIP ID:	71304	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #: N/A		FTA Flex & Conversion Code	No
M	ITIP Amendment ID:	JA24-04-JAN		STIP Amer	ndment ID:	TBD	

### Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:

The formal amendment transfers the prior approved UPWP funds supporting Transit Corridor Development activities to the new 82nd BRT Project Development planning project which will focus on project development activities (also being programmed as part of this amendment). Key 23229 functions as a prior approved UPWP project grouping bucket (PGB). The funds support UPWP approved Transit Corridor Development study efforts. When the UPWP approves the specific eligible projects, the funds are split of from the PGB and reprogrammed to the new approved project.

Project Name:	Transit Corrido	or Developme	ent (FFY 2026)	nt (FFY 2026)				
Lead Agency:	Met	ro	Applicant:	licant: Metro		Administrator:	Not App	olicable
Certified Age	Certified Agency Delivery:		Non-Certified Ag	ency Delivery:	No	Delivery as Direct	Recipient:	No

# Short Description: Metro UPWP RFFA Step 1 funds to study and evaluate the development of future transit transportation corridors in the Metro region MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only): RFFA Step1 UPWP special allocation supporting planning efforts to identify, study, and evaluate the associated opportunity costs for the development of future transit transportation corridors in the Metro Region STIP Description: Study and evaluate the development of future transit transportation corridors in the Metro region.

	Project Classification Details							
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type					
Planning	Planning - Corridor/Area Planning		Planning					
ODOT Work Type:	Safety							

				Phase Fundi	ng and Progra	mming			
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other	Total
Feder	al Funds								
STBG-U	<del>Y230</del>	<del>2026</del>	<del>\$ 2,500,000</del>	-					\$
STBG-U	Y230	2026	\$ 1,500,000						\$ 1,500,0
	Feder	al Totals:	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$
State	e Funds								
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
									\$

Loca	l Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other		Total
-Local-	-Match-	<del>2026</del>	<del>\$ 286,136</del>	-					\$	-
Local	Match	2026	\$ 171,682						\$	171,682
	Loc	al Totals:	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$	171,682
Phas	e Totals		Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other		Total
Existing Prog	ramming To	otals:	<del>\$ 2,786,136</del>	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	<u>\$</u>	2,786,136
Amended Pro	gramming 1	Fotals	\$ 1,671,682	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$	1,671,682
							Total Estim	ated Project Cost	\$	1,671,682
							Total Cost in Yea	r of Expenditure:	\$	1,671,682

Programming Summary	Yes/No			Reason if sh	ort Programmed		
Is the project short programmed?	No	The project is no	t short program	med			
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Totals
Phase Programming Change:	\$ (1,114,454	)\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ (1,114,454
Phase Change Percent:	0.0%	6 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-40.0%
Amended Phase Matching Funds:	\$ 171,682	2 \$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 171,682
Amended Phase Matching Percent:	10.27%	S N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.27%
		Phase Progra	nming Summar	y Totals			
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	\$ 1,500,000	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 1,500,000
State	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Local	\$ 171,682	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 171,682
Total	\$ 1,671,682	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 1,671,682
			position Percen				
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Federal	89.73%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	89.73%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	10.27%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.27%
Total	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		Phase Prog	ramming Perce	ntage			
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	89.73%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	89.73%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	10.27%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.27%
Total	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

		Project Ph	ase Obligation H	istory			
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated	N/A						Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:							N/A
EA Number:							FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:							N/A
EA End Date:							FMIS or TRAMS
Known Expenditures:	•						N/A
				Estimate	ed Project Comple	tion Date:	12/31/2027
Completion Date Notes:							
Are federal funds being flex transferr	ed to FTA?	No	If yes, expected FTA conversion code: N/A				
Notes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) inform	ation pertains pri	marily to project	s under ODOT Loc	al Delivery overs	ight.		

### Fiscal Constraint Consistency Review

1. What is the source of funding? **Prior approved Metro RFFA Step 1 federal funds.** 

2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes. \$1 million of prior approved STBG funds from this PGB are now being committed to the new 82nd Ave BRT planning project supporting project development requirements.

3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes. Review and approvals received through Metro's CFO.

4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? Metro CFO approval to commit the funds.

5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes.

			Project Location Referen	ices		
On State Highway	Yes/No	Route	MP Begin MP End		' End	Length
	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Applicable Not App		Not Applicable
Cross Streets	F	Route or Arterial	Cross Street		Cross Street	
No	Not Applicable		Not Applicable			Not Applicable
Note: Routes or arter	rials with mu	ultiple site improvement locat	tions shown as an aggregate total.			

	Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification										
1st Year	2026	Years Active	0	Project Status	0	No activity					
Programmed	2020	reals Active	0	Project Status	0	NO activity					
Total Prior	0	Last	Not	Date of Last	Not	Last MTIP	Not Applicable				
Amendments	0	Amendment	Applicable	Amendment	Applicable	Amend Num	Not Applicable				
Last Amendment	Not Applicable										
Action	Not Applicable										

		Anticipate	ed Required Perf	ormance Meas	urements Monite	oring	
Metro RTP Performance	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes
Measurements	Not Applicable –						

Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing project	
Is the project exempt from a conformity determinatior	Yes. The project is exempt per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2
per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2 or 40 CFR 93.127, Table 3?	
Exemption Reference	: Other - Planning and Technical Studies
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?	
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not applicable. The project is not capacity enhancing
as part of RTP inclusion?	Not applicable. The project is not capacity enhancing
RTP Constrained Project ID and Name	ID# 11664 - Corridor Investment Areas Activities for 2023-2030
RTP Project Description	he RTP identifies mobility corridors and future high capacity transit capital investments needed to support the 2040 Growth Concept. Corridor investment areas activities focus on aligning investments around specific outcomes to support local and regional goals in locations with multijurisdictional interests. Investment areas activities include completing corridor refinement planning a developing multimodal projects in major transportation corridors identified in the RTP as well as developing shared investment strategies to align local, regional and state investments in economic investment areas that support th region's growth economy. Activities include ongoing involvement in local and regional transit and roadway project conception, funding, and design. Metro provides assistance to local jurisdictions for the development of specific project as well as corridor-based programs identified in the RTP.

Key 23229 is a prior approved RFFA Step 1 project grouping bucket supporting Metro's UPWP Transit Corridor Development planning efforts.

	Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network									
Yes/No	Network	Designation								
No	Motor Vehicle	Not Applicable. Planning project grouping bucket (PGB)								
No	Transit									
No	Freight									
No	Bicycle									
No	Pedestrian	•								

National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations								
System	Y/N	Route	Designation					
NHS Project	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable					
Functional Classification	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable					
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable					

### Additional RTP Consistency Check Areas

- 1. Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? **No**.
- 2. Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? No.
- 3. Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? Yes
- 3a. If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? No.
- 3b. Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.

3c. What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)?**Not applicable. However,** the project funds are being committed to the new 82nd Ave BRT Planning Study. The 82nd Ave BRT Project Development planning effort project is an approved UPWP project and will end up being Metro funded regionally significant stand-alone project in the MTIP.

4. Applicable RTP Goal:

### Goal # 11 - Transparency and Accountability:

Objective 11.2 Performance-Based Planning – Make transportation investment decisions using a performance-based planning approach that is aligned with the RTP goals and supported by meaningful public engagement, multimodal data and analysis.

5. Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No. The project is not capacity enhancing nor does it exceed \$100 million in total project cost.

## Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement

- 1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? Yes.
- 2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? Estimated to be January 3, 2024 to February 2, 2024
- 3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan?Yes.
- 4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? Yes.
- 5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? Not expected.
- 6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? Not expected.
- 7. Added notes:

	Fund Codes References									
Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds									
STBG	Surface Transportation Block Grant funds. A federal funding source (FHWA based) appropriated to the State DOT. The Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) promotes flexibility in State and local transportation decisions and provides flexible funding to best address State and local transportation needs.									
STBG-U	STBG funds that ODOT suballocates to Metro for use of eligible projects in urban areas									



#### ODOT Key: 23229 | MTIP ID: 71304

Transit Corridor Development (FFY 2026) - Cycle 2024-29

#### Current Programming

phase	year	fund type	federal amount	minimum local match	other amount	total	hold from mtip
Planning	2026		\$2,500,000	\$286,136		\$2,786,136	
	2026	STBG-URBAN	\$2,500,000	\$286,136		\$2,786,136	
Totals >>			\$2,500,000	\$286,136	\$0	\$2,786,136	

### 2024-2027 Constrained MTIP Formal Amendment: Exhibit A



Metro 2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET MTIP Formal Amendment **COST INCREASE** Create an small UR phase and

increase Construction

Proje	Project #5								
Project Details Summary									
ODOT Key #	22435	RFFA ID:	N/A	RTP ID:	12095	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023		
MTIP ID:	71257	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #:	N/A	FTA Flex & Conversion Code	No		
M	TIP Amendment ID:	JA24-04-JAN		STIP Amei	ndment ID:	24-27-0586			

## Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:

The formal amendment adds a Utility Relocation phase and adds funds to the construction phase. The changes are above the thresholds for administrative modifications. The net cost change is 26.6% which is above the 20% threshold for administrative cost changes to MTIP projects. The project requires the addition of a Utility phase (UR) to cover utility reimbursements and an increase in Construction phase (CN) to cover additional and increased project costs. The project will construct approximately 250 curb ramps along OR47, OR8, and US 30 in Forest Grove, Gaston, Vernonia, and Ranier. Construction cost bids are higher due to: 1) an abundance of work and firms experiencing resource and staffing limitations, 2) design and delivery are being outsourced with increases in temporary traffic features, 3) more locations than projected were found to require 3D modeling, 4) more signal and utility relocations, and 5) extensive earthwork to get slopes within allowable limits.

Project Name: OR47/OR8/US30 Curb Ramps										
Lead Agency:	ODC	T	Applicant:	OD	OT	Administrator:	01	ТОТ		
Certified Age	d Agency Delivery: N/A Non-Certified Agency Delivery: N/A Delivery as Direct Recipient: N/A									

## Short Description:

Construct to American Disabilities Act (ADA) standards, curbs and ramps at multiple locations along OR47, OR8, and US30 to reduce mobility barriers and make state highways more accessible to disabled persons

## MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

At approximately 22 locations on OR47, OR8, and US30, construct to ADA standards curbs and ramps as part of the ODOT/AOCIL settlement to help reduce mobility barriers and make state highways more accessible to disable persons (RTP ID: 12095), (PGB = Yes, Safety & Ops) (OTC approval: March 2021, Item G), (Exempt 40 CFR93.126, Table 2, Air Quality - Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements)

# STIP Description:

Construct curb ramps to meet compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) standards.

	Project Classification Details											
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type									
Active Transportation Complete Streets	Active Trans - Pedestrian	Sidewalks - Reconstruction	Capital Improvement									
ODOT Work Type:	ADAP											

				Phase Fundi	ng and Progra	mming				
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	Other		Fotal
Feder	al Funds									
State STBG	Z24E Y240	2022		\$ 3,768,660					\$	-
State STBG	Z24E Y240	2023			\$ 1,356,718				\$	1,356,718
AC-STBGS	ACP0	2024				\$ 26,919			\$	26,919
State STBG	Y240	2024					\$ 7,944,848		\$	7,944,848
AC-STBGS	ACP0	2024					\$ 3,455,011		\$	3,455,011
Note: The estimate	d AC convers	al Totals: ion code is		\$ 3,768,660	\$ 1,356,718	\$ 26,919	\$ 11,399,859	\$	- \$ 1	12,783,496
Stat	e Funds									
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other		Fotal
State	Match	2022		\$ 431,340					\$	431,340
State	Match	2023			\$ 155,282				\$	155,282
State (AC)	Match	2024				\$ 3,081			\$	3,081
State	Match	2024					\$ 909,323		\$	909,323
State (AC)	Match	2024					\$ 395,441		\$	395,441
	Sta	te Totals:	\$-	\$ 431,340	\$ 155,282	\$ 3,081	\$ 1,304,764	\$	- \$	1,894,467

Loca Fund Type	l Funds Fund Code	Year	Planning		reliminary ineering (PE)	Rig	ght of Way (ROW)		Utility elocation	C	onstruction		Other		Total
														\$	
														\$	
	Loc	al Totals:	\$-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	
											-				
	e Totals		Planning		PE		ROW		UR		Cons		Other		Total
Existing Prog	•		\$ -	т	4,200,000	\$	1,512,000	<u></u>		- <del>Ş</del> -	8,854,171	\$	-	<u> </u>	14,566,17
Amended Pro	gramming	Totals	\$-	\$	4,200,000	\$	1,512,000	\$	30,000	\$	12,704,623	\$	-	\$	18,446,62
											Total Estima				18,446,62
											al Cost in Year	of Ex	penditure:	\$	18,446,62
Programm		-	Yes/No							ort I	Programmed				
Is the project sh			No	The	project is no	t sh	ort program	med							
Programming A	-		Planning		PE		ROW		UR		Cons		Other		Totals
	rogrammin		\$	- \$	-	Ş	5 -	\$	30,000	\$	3,850,452	\$	-	\$	3,880,4
Ph	ase Change	e Percent:	0.0%	ò	0.0%		0.0%		100.0%		43.5%		0.0%		26.6
Amended Ph	ase Matchi	ng Funds:	\$	- \$	431,340	\$	155,282	\$	3,081	\$	1,304,764	\$	-	\$	1,894,4
Amended Phas	e Matching	g Percent:	N/#	N N	10.27%		10.27%		10.27%		10.27%		N/A		10.27
					Phase Program										
	Category		Planning		reliminary ineering (PE)	Rig	ght of Way (ROW)	Re	Utility elocation		onstruction		Other		Total
Fe	deral		\$-		3,768,660	\$	1,356,718	\$	26,919	\$	11,399,859	\$	-	\$	16,552,15
S	tate		\$-	\$	431,340	\$	155,282	\$	3,081	\$	1,304,764	\$	-	\$	1,894,46
L	ocal		\$-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	
т	otal		\$-	\$	4,200,000	\$	1,512,000	Ś	30,000	4	12,704,623	\$		\$	18,446,62

		Phase Com	position Percen	itages			
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	89.7%	89.7%	89.7%	89.7%	0.0%	89.7%
State	0.0%	10.27%	10.27%	10.27%	10.27%	0.0%	10.27%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	20.43%	7.35%	0.15%	61.80%	0.0%	89.73%
State	0.0%	2.34%	0.8%	0.02%	7.07%	0.0%	10.27%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	0.0%	22.8%	8.20%	0.16%	68.87%	0.0%	100.0%

		Project Pha	se Obligation Hi	story			
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated		\$ 4,200,000	\$ 1,512,000				Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:		\$ 3,768,660	\$ 1,356,718				SA00(466)
EA Number:		PE003364	R9870000				FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:		12/22/2021	5/22/2023				FHWA
EA End Date:		N/A	N/A				FMIS or TRAMS
Known Expenditures:		N/A	N/A				FMIS
				Estimate	d Project Comple	etion Date:	12/31/2027
Completion Date Notes:			1				
Are federal funds being flex transfe	rred to FTA?	Yes/No	If yes, expe	ected FTA conv	ersion code:	N/A	
Notes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) infor	mation pertains p	rimarily to projects	under ODOT Local	Delivery oversig	ht.		L.

1. What is the source of funding? **ODOT managed funds.** 

2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes. An UR is added along with an increase to the Construction phase.

3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes, per STIP Impacts Worksheet

4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? **ODOT Director approval was required.** 

5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes.

			Project Location Referen	ces	
	Yes/No	Route	MP Begin	MP End	Length
	Yes	OR47	17.88	20.29	2.41
De Ctata Lliaburar	Yes	OR47	19.44	25.27	5.83
n State Highway	Yes	OR47	60.87	62.77	1.9
	Yes	OR47	88.68	90.59	1.91
	Yes	OR47	88.53	88.53	0
	Yes	US30	46.66	48.4	1.74
Cross Streets	Rou	ite or Arterial	Cross Street		Cross Street
No	No	ot Applicable	Not Applicable		Not Applicable
ote: Routes or arter	rials with multip	ole site improvement locat	ions shown as an aggregate total.	'	

	Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification											
1st Year	2022	Years Active	2	Project Status	E	(RW) Right-of V	Vay activities initiated including					
Programmed	2022	reals Active	5	3 Project Status 5		R/W acquisition and/or utilities relocation.						
Total Prior	5	Last	Formal	Date of Last	October 2023	Last MTIP	OC23-02-OCT					
Amendments	J	Amendment	FUIIIdi	Amendment	October 2025	Amend Num	0023-02-001					
Last Amendment	Last Amendment COST INCREASE:											
Action	Action Add OTC approved funding to address a construction phase funding shortfall due to inflationary cost impacts											

	Anticipated Required Performance Measurements Monitoring												
Metro RTP Performance	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes The small portion in the Metro MPA boundary on OR47 at OR8 and north						
Measurements				Х		Х	is in an EFA: POC = Yes, LEP = Yes. LI = Yes						

RTP Air Quality Conform	ity and Transportation Modeling Designations
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing pro	oject? Non-capacity enhancing project
Is the project exempt from a conformity determine	hation ble 3? Yes. The project is exempt per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2, Other
per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2 or 40 CFR 93.127, Ta	ble 3?
Exemption Refer	ence: Other - Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclu	ision? No. Not Applicable

If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not applicable. The project is not capacity enhancing
RTP Constrained Project ID and Name:	ID# 12095 - Safety & Operations Projects: 2023-2030
RTP Project Description:	Projects to improve safety and/or operational efficiencies such as pedestrian crossings, speed feedback signs, transit priority technology at signals on arterial roads, railroad crossing repairs, slide and rock fall protections, illumination, signals and signal operations systems, sidewalks, bicycle lanes, and other improvements that do not add motor vehicle capacity.

	Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network								
Yes/No	Network	Designation							
Yes	Motor Vehicle	OR47 in the project limits is identified as a Throughway							
Yes	Transit	A small portion on OR47 in the project limits is designated as a Regional Bus route							
Yes	Freight	OR47 in the project limits is identified as a Main Roadway Route							
Yes	Bicycle	OR47 in the project limits is identified as a Bicycle Parkway							
Yes	Pedestrian	OR47 in the project limits is identified as a Pedestrian Parkway							

National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations											
System	Y/N	Route	Designation								
NHS Project	Yes	OR47	Map-21 Principal Arterial								
Functional Classification	Yes	OR47	Urban Other Principal Arterial								
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	Yes	OR47	3 = Other Principal Arterial								

1. Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? No.

2. Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? Yes, on OR47 in the project limits.

3. Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? **Not Applicable.** 

3a. If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? **No.** 

3b. Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? **Yes.** 

3c. What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)? Not applicable.

# 4. Applicable RTP Goals:

# Goal # 5 - Safety and Security:

Objective 5.1 Transportation Safety – Eliminate fatal and severe injury crashes for all modes of travel, data and analysis.

## Goal #9: Equitable Transportation:

Objective 9.1 Transportation Equity – Eliminate disparities related to access, safety, affordability and health outcomes experienced by people of color and other historically marginalized communities.


5. Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No. The project is not capacity enhancing nor does it exceed \$100 million in total project cost.

## Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement

- 1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? **Yes.**
- 2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? Estimated to be January 3, 2024 to February 2, 2024
- 3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan? Yes.
- 4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? Yes.
- 5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? Not expected.
- 6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? Not expected.
- 7. Added notes:

	Fund Codes References
Advance	A funding placeholder tool. This fund management tool allows agencies to incur costs on a project and submit the full or partial amount later for
Construction	Federal reimbursement if the project is approved for funding. Advance construction can be used to fund emergency relief efforts and for any project
ADVCON	listed in the STIP, including surface transportation, interstate, bridge, and safety projects. The use of Advance Construction is normally only by the state
(AC funds)	DOT to help leverage their funding resources and keep projects on their respective delivery schedules.
AC-STBGS	This is the later projected federal conversion code for the advance constructions being programmed now. AC-STBGS means that the advance construction funds will be converted into State STBG funds
STBG	Surface Transportation Block Grant funds. A federal funding source (FHWA based) appropriated to the State DOT. The Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) promotes flexibility in State and local transportation decisions and provides flexible funding to best address State and local transportation needs.
State STBG	Appropriated STBG that remains under ODOT's management and commitment to eligible projects.
State	General state funds committed to the project to cover the required minimum federal match to the federal funds.

	Fund Co	des								
Phase	Fund Code	Description	Percent of Phase	Total Amount	Federal Percent	Federal Amount	State Percent	State Amount	Local Percent	Local Amount
	Y240	Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) - Flex IIJA	47.74%	2,005,228.00	89.73%	1,799,291.08	10.27%	205,936.92	0.00%	0.00
PE	Z24E Surface transportation block grants - flex FAS		52.26%	2,194,772.00	89.73%	1,969,368.92	10.27%	225,403.08	0.00%	0.00
	PE Totals		100.00%	4,200,000.00		3,768,660.00		431,340.00		0.00
	Y240	Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) - Flex IIJA	32.66%	493,797.38	89.73%	443,084.39	10.27%	50,712.99	0.00%	0.00
RW	Z240	D SURFACE TRANSP BLOCK GRTS-FLEX		1,018,202.62	<mark>8</mark> 9.73%	913,633.21	10.27%	104,569.41	0.00%	0.00
	RW Totals		100.00%	1,512,000.00		1,356,717.60		155,282.40		0.00
UR	ACP0	ADVANCE CONSTRUCT PR	100.00%	30,000.00	89.73%	26,919.00	10.27%	3,081.00	0.00%	0.00
	UR Totals		100.00%	30,000.00		26,919.00		3 <mark>,</mark> 081.00		0.00
	ACP0	ADVANCE CONSTRUCT PR	30 <mark>.</mark> 31%	3,850,452.00	89.73%	3,455,010.58	10.27 <mark>%</mark>	395 <mark>,44</mark> 1.42	0.00%	0.00
CN	Y240	Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) - Flex IIJA	69.69%	8,854,171.00	89.73%	7,944,847.64	10.27%	909,323.36	0.00%	0.00
	CN Totals		100.00%	12,704,623.00		11,399,858. <mark>2</mark> 2		1,304,764.78		0.00
	Grand Tota	lls		18,446,623.00		16,552,154.82		1,894,468.18		0.00





Metro 2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET MTIP Formal Amendment **ADD FUNDS** Revise 5310 UZA estimates & add overmatch

Proje	ect #6									
Project Details Summary										
ODOT Key #	22185	RFFA ID:	N/A	RTP ID:	<del>11334</del> 12096	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023			
MTIP ID:	71214	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #: N/A		FTA Flex & Conversion Code	No			
M	TIP Amendment ID:	JA24-04-JAN		STIP Amer	ndment ID:	TBD				

Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:

The formal amendment modifies the estimate 5310 formula apportionments and adds local overmatch to the project. The net result is a cost increase of 49% which is above the 20% threshold for administrative changes involving cost changes.

Project Name:	Enhanced Sen	nhanced Seniors Mobility/Individuals w/Disabilities (2024) 5310											
Lead Agency:	TriM	et	Applicant:	TriN	Иet	Administrator:	FTA						
Certified Agency Delivery:		No	Non-Certified Ag	ency Delivery:	No	Delivery as Direct	Recipient:	Yes					

# Short Description:

Supports mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area

# MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

Section 5310 funding supports elderly and persons with disability services (capital and operating expenses). Funds mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventive maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area. Funds will be passed through to Ride Connection and used for TriMet's LIFT Paratransit services.

**STIP Description:** Supports mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland urbanized area.

	Project Classification Details								
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type						
Transit	Transit Operations		Other						
ODOT Work Type:	Transit								

				Phase Fundi	ng and Progra	mming			
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	<b>Other</b> (Transit)	Total
Federa	al Funds								
5310 (50/505)	5310	2024						\$ 298,331	\$ 298,331
<del>5310 (80/20)</del>	<del>5310</del>	<del>2024</del>						<del>\$ 1,782,846</del>	\$-
<b>5310</b> (80/20)	5310	2024						\$ 1,806,718	\$ 1,806,718
	Feder	al Totals:	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 2,105,049	\$ 2,105,049
State	Funds								
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
	Sta	te Totals:	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -

Local	Funds										
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Plan	ining	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other		Total
Local (50/50)	Match	2024							\$ 298,331	\$	298,331
Local (80/20)	-Match-	<del>2024</del>							<del>\$ 445,712</del>	\$	-
Local (80/20)	Match	2024							\$ 451,680	\$	451,680
Other	OTH0	2024							\$ 1,355,039	\$	1,355,039
	Loc	al Totals:	\$	-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 2,105,050	\$	2,105,050
Phase	e Totals		Plar	nning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other		Total
Existing Progr	amming To	otals:	\$	-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	<del>\$ 2,825,220</del>	<u> </u>	2,825,220
Amended Prog	gramming 7	Totals	\$	-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 4,210,099	\$	4,210,099
								Total Estim	ated Project Cost	t \$	4,210,099
								Total Cost in Yea	r of Expenditure:	\$	4,210,099

Programming Summary	Yes/No			Reason if sho	ort Programmed		
Is the project short programmed?	No	The project is no	t short program	imed			
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Totals
Phase Programming Change:	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 1,384,879	\$ 1,384,87
Phase Change Percent:	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	49.0%	49.0%
Amended Matching Funds (20%):	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 451,680	\$ 451,68
Amended Matching Funds (50%):	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 298,331	\$ 298,33
Amended Match Percent (20%):	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	
Amended Match Percent (50%):	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	
Net Match % w/out Overmatch:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		26.39
Net Match % w/ Overmatch:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		50.09
		Phase Program	nming Summar	y Totals			
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 2,105,049	\$ 2,105,04
State	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Local	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 2,105,050	\$ 2,105,05
Total	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 4,210,099	\$ 4,210,09
		Phase Com	position Percen	tages			
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		Phase Prog	ramming Perce	ntage			
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%

		Project Ph	ase Obligation Hi	story			
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated							Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:							N/A
EA Number:							FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:							FTA
EA End Date:							FMIS or TRAMS
Known Expenditures:							TrAMS
				Estimate	ed Project Comple	tion Date:	12/31/2025
Completion Date Notes:							
Are federal funds being flex transferred to FTA? No			If yes, expected FTA conversion code: N/A				
otes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) inform	mation pertains pri	marily to project	s under ODOT Loca	al Delivery overs	ight.		

1. What is the source of funding? **FTA Urbanized Zone Apportionment** 

2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes, minor updates to the federal formal estimates are occurring along with adding project overmatch

3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes, via TriMet Finance Department review and approvals.

4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? Approval to make changes from the TriMet Finance Department after a review of the formula apportionment estimates for FFY 2024.

5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes

Project Location References									
Yes/No Route		MP Begin	MP End	Length					
No Not Applicable		Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable					
Rc	oute or Arterial	Cross Street		Cross Street					
Not Applicable		Not Applicable		Not Applicable					
	No	No Not Applicable Route or Arterial	Yes/No Route MP Begin No Not Applicable Not Applicable Route or Arterial Cross Street	Yes/No     Route     MP Begin     MP End       No     Not Applicable     Not Applicable     Not Applicable					

Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification										
1st Year	2024 Years Active 1 Project Status T22		Programming actions in progress or programm							
Programmed	2024	Years Active	L L	Project Status	122	in current MTIP				
Total Prior	1	Last	Last Administrative	Date of Last	May 2022	Last MTIP	AM22-11-FEB1			
Amendments	1	Amendment	Autimistrative	Amendment	Way 2022	Amend Num				
	DESCRIPTION UPDATE:									
Action	The administrative	The administrative modification updates the project name and short description to better align with the Program of Projects (POP) and								
	project description	project description to be used in the TrAMS grant								

	Anticipated Required Performance Measurements Monitoring									
Metro RTP Performance	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes Equity qualifies under the generic definition that the 5310 program			
Measurements				Х	Х	Х	serves seniors and disabled persons with barriers to transportation			

RTP Air Quality Conformity and	d Transportation Modeling Designations
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing project?	Non-capacity enhancing project
Is the project exempt from a conformity determination	Vac The project is exempt per 40 CEP 02 126 Table 2
Is the project exempt from a conformity determination per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2 or 40 CFR 93.127, Table 3?	res. The project is exempt per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2
Exemption Reference:	Mass Transit - Operating assistance to transit agencies.
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not Applicable
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not applicable. The project is not capacity ophansing
as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not applicable. The project is not capacity emancing
RTP Constrained Project ID and Name:	ID# 12096 - TriMet Operations: Phase 1
RTP Project Description:	Operations of transit services, such as drivers, security, facilities and rolling stock.

	Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network								
Yes/No Network Designation									
No	Motor Vehicle	Not Applicable. 5310 is a region-wide program across the TriMet 3-county service area							
No	Transit								
No	Freight								
No	Bicycle								
No	Pedestrian	. ↓							

	National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations								
System	Y/N	Route	Designation						
NHS Project	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable						
Functional Classification	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable						
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	N/A	Not Applicable	Not Applicable						

- 1. Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? No.
- 2. Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? No.
- 3. Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? **No. Not Applicable**
- 3a. If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? No.
- 3b. Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.
- 3c. What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)?Not applicable.
- 4. Applicable RTP Goals:

# Goal #3 - Transportation Choices:

Objective 3.3 Access to Transit – Increase household and job access to current and planned frequent transit service.

## Goal #9 - Equitable Transportation:

Objective 9.2 Barrier Free Transportation – Eliminate barriers that people of color, low income people, youth, <u>older adults</u>, <u>people with disabilities</u> and other historically marginalized communities face to meeting their travel needs.

5. Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No. The project is not capacity enhancing nor does it exceed \$100 million in total project cost.

## Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement

- 1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? Yes.
- 2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? Estimated to be January 3, 2024 to February 2, 2024
- 3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan?Yes.
- 4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? Yes.
- 5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? Not expected.
- 6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? Not expected.
- 7. Added notes:

	Fund Codes References								
Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds								
Other	Added local funds committed to the project phase above and beyond the required minimum match to the federal funds. Also referred to as "overmatch" funding.								
5310	Federal (FTA based) transit funds. The 5310 program (49 U.S.C. 5310) provides formula funding to states and designated recipients to meet the transportation needs of older adults and people with disabilities when the transportation service provided is unavailable, insufficient, or inappropriate to meeting these needs								



#### ODOT Key: 22185 | MTIP ID: 71214

Enhanced Seniors Mobility/Individuals w/Disabilities (2024) 5310 - Cycle 2024-29

#### **Current Programming**

phase year fund typ		fund type federal amount		minimum local match	other amount	total	hold from mtip
Transit	2024		\$2,081,177	\$744,043		\$2,825,220	
	2014	5310 (50/50)	\$298,331	\$298,331		\$596,662	
	2014	5310 (80/20)	\$1,782,846	\$445,712		\$2,228,558	
Totals >>			\$2,081,177	\$744,043	\$0	\$2,825,220	

Project Details				Programm	ing History		Programming Changes					
ODOT Key	MTIP ID Number	mber Agency Name		Project Short Description in MTIP	Current Programmed Year Type		Current Federal Revised Amount (Pre Federal UZA Amount adjustment)		Local Local Amount Overmatch		Total	SLIP Project Phase?
				FTA 5310 Funds			Current Federal	Revised Federal	Match	<b>Overmatch</b>	Total	Slip Phase?
			Enhanced Seniors	Supports mobility management activities purchase of services operating and preventative	2024	5310	\$ <u>1,782,846</u> -	\$ 1,806,718	\$ 451,679.50	\$ 1,355,039	\$ 3,613,437	No
22185	71213	TriMet	Mobility/Individuals w/Disabilities (2024) 5310	maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area	2024	5310	\$ 298,331	\$ 298,331	\$ 298,331	s -	\$ 596,662	No
						Totals:	\$ 2,081,177	\$ 2,105,049	\$ 750,011	\$ 1,355,039	\$ 4,210,099	



Metro 2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET MTIP Formal Amendment **ADD FUNDS** Revise 5310 UZA estimates & add

Proje	ect#/										
	Project Details Summary										
ODOT Key #	23188	RFFA ID:	N/A	RTP ID: 12096		RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023				
MTIP ID:	71317	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #: N/A		FTA Flex & Conversion Code	No				
М	MTIP Amendment ID:			STIP Amei	ndment ID:	TBD					

## Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring:

The formal amendment modifies the estimate 5310 formula apportionments and adds local overmatch to the project. The net result is a cost increase of 50% which is above the 20% threshold for administrative changes involving cost changes.

Project Name:	Enhanced Seni	Enhanced Seniors Mobility/ Individuals w/Disabilities (2025)							
Lead Agency:	TriM	et	Applicant:	Tri	Vet	Administrator:	FTA	ι	
Certified Age	ency Delivery: No		Non-Certified Agency Delivery:		No	Delivery as Direct Recipient:		Yes	

## Short Description:

Supports mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area in FFY 2025

## MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

Section 5310 funding supports elderly and persons with disability services (capital and operating expenses). Funds mobility management activities, purchase of services, operating, and preventive maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area. Funds will be passed through to Ride Connection and used for TriMet's LIFT Paratransit services (5310 FFY 2025 allocation via UZA apportionment)

**STIP Description:** Supports mobility management activities purchase of services operating and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland urbanized area in federal fiscal year 2025.

	Project Classification Details								
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type						
Transit	Transit Operations		Other						
ODOT Work Type:	Transit								

				Phase Fundi	ng and Progra	mming			
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation (UR)	Construction (Cons)	<b>Other</b> (Transit)	Total
Federa	al Funds								
5310 (50/505)	5310	2025						\$ 307,281	\$ 307,281
<del>5310 (80/20)</del>	<del>5310</del>	<del>2025</del>						<del>\$ 1,836,331</del>	\$-
<b>5310</b> (80/20)	5310	2025						\$ 1,881,970	\$ 1,881,970
	Feder	al Totals:	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ 2,189,251	\$ 2,189,251
State	Funds								
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
	Sta	te Totals:	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$ -

Local	Funds													
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Pla	nning	liminary eering (PE)	-	of Way OW)	R	Utility elocation	Constructio	on	Other		Total
Local (50/50)	Match	2025									\$	307,281	\$	307,281
Local (80/20)	-Match-	<del>2025</del>									<del>\$</del>	459,083	\$	-
Local (80/20)	Match	2025									\$	470,493	\$	470,493
Other	OTH0	2025									\$	1,411,478	\$	1,411,478
	Loc	al Totals:	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	2,189,252	\$	2,189,252
Phase	e Totals		Pla	nning	PE	R	ow		UR	Cons		Other		Total
Existing Progr	amming To	otals:	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	<del>2,909,976</del>	<u> </u>	<del>2,909,976</del>
Amended Prog	gramming <sup>-</sup>	Totals	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	4,378,503	\$	4,378,503
										Total Es	timate	d Project Cost	\$	4,378,503
										Total Cost in	Year of	Expenditure:	\$	4,378,503

Programming Summary	Yes/No			Reason if she	ort Programmed		
Is the project short programmed?	No	The project is no	t short program	imed			
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Totals
Phase Programming Change:	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 1,468,527	\$ 1,468,52
Phase Change Percent:	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.5%	50.5%
Amended Matching Funds (20%):	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 470,493	\$ 470,49
Amended Matching Funds (50%):	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 307,281	\$ 307,28
Amended Match Percent (20%):	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	
Amended Match Percent (50%):	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	
Net Match % w/out Overmatch:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		26.29
Net Match % w/ Overmatch:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		50.0%
		Phase Program	nming Summar	v Totals			
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 2,189,251	\$ 2,189,25
State	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Local	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,189,252	
Total	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,378,503	. , ,
		Phase Com	position Percen	tages			
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		Phase Prog	ramming Perce	ntage			
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Total	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		Project Ph	ase Obligation Hi	story			
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated							Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:							N/A
EA Number:							FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:							FTA
EA End Date:							FMIS or TRAMS
Known Expenditures:							TrAMS
				Estimate	ed Project Comple	tion Date:	12/31/2025
Completion Date Notes:							
Are federal funds being flex transfer	Are federal funds being flex transferred to FTA? No			If yes, expected FTA conversion code: N/A			
otes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) inform	mation pertains pri	marily to project	s under ODOT Loca	al Delivery overs	ight.		

1. What is the source of funding? **FTA Urbanized Zone Apportionment** 

2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? Yes, minor updates to the federal formal estimates are occurring along with adding project overmatch

3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes, via TriMet Finance Department review and approvals.

4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? Approval to make changes from the TriMet Finance Department after a review of the formula apportionment estimates for FFY 2025.

5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes

Project Location References									
Yes/No	Route MP Begin		MP End	Length					
No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable					
Rc	oute or Arterial	Cross Street		Cross Street					
Not Applicable		Not Applicable		Not Applicable					
	No	No Not Applicable Route or Arterial	Yes/No Route MP Begin No Not Applicable Not Applicable Route or Arterial Cross Street	Yes/No     Route     MP Begin     MP End       No     Not Applicable     Not Applicable     Not Applicable					

	Summary of MTIP Programming and Last Formal/Full Amendment or Administrative Modification								
1st Year Programmed	2025	Years Active	0	Project Status	T22	Programming ac in current MTIP	tions in progress or programmed		
Total Prior Amendments	0	Last Amendment	Not Applicable	Date of Last Amendment	None	Last MTIP Amend Num	Not Applicable		
Last Amendment Action	Not Applicable								

	Anticipated Required Performance Measurements Monitoring									
Metro RTP Performance	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes Equity qualifies under the generic definition that the 5310 program			
Measurements				Х	Х	Х	serves seniors and disabled persons with barriers to transportation			

RTP Air Quality Conformity an	d Transportation Modeling Designations	
Is this a capacity enhancing or non-capacity enhancing project?	Non-capacity enhancing project	
Is the project exempt from a conformity determination	Vec. The project is even at nor 40 CED 02 12C. Table 2	
per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2 or 40 CFR 93.127, Table 3?	Yes. The project is exempt per 40 CFR 93.126, Table 2	
Exemption Reference:	Mass Transit - Operating assistance to transit agencies.	
Was an air analysis required as part of RTP inclusion?	'No. Not Applicable	
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed	No. Not applicable. The project is not canacity aphancing	
If capacity enhancing, was transportation modeling analysis completed as part of RTP inclusion?	No. Not applicable. The project is not capacity enhancing	
RTP Constrained Project ID and Name:	ID# 12096 - TriMet Operations: Phase 1	
RTP Project Description:	Operations of transit services, such as drivers, security, facilities and rolling stock.	

	Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network								
Yes/No	Network	Network Designation							
No	Motor Vehicle	Not Applicable. 5310 is a region-wide program across the TriMet 3-county service area							
No	Transit								
No	Freight								
No	Bicycle								
No	Pedestrian	. ↓							

	National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations								
System	Y/N	Route	Designation						
NHS Project	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable						
Functional Classification	No	Not Applicable	Not Applicable						
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	N/A	Not Applicable	Not Applicable						

- 1. Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? No.
- 2. Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? No.
- 3. Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? **No. Not Applicable**
- 3a. If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? No.
- 3b. Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.
- 3c. What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)?Not applicable.
- 4. Applicable RTP Goals:

# Goal #3 - Transportation Choices:

Objective 3.3 Access to Transit – Increase household and job access to current and planned frequent transit service.

## Goal #9 - Equitable Transportation:

Objective 9.2 Barrier Free Transportation – Eliminate barriers that people of color, low income people, youth, <u>older adults</u>, <u>people with disabilities</u> and other historically marginalized communities face to meeting their travel needs.

5. Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No. The project is not capacity enhancing nor does it exceed \$100 million in total project cost.

## Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement

- 1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? Yes.
- 2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? Estimated to be January 3, 2024 to February 2, 2024
- 3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan?Yes.
- 4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? Yes.
- 5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? Not expected.
- 6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? Not expected.
- 7. Added notes:

	Fund Codes References
Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds
Other	Added local funds committed to the project phase above and beyond the required minimum match to the federal funds. Also referred to as "overmatch" funding.
5310	Federal (FTA based) transit funds. The 5310 program (49 U.S.C. 5310) provides formula funding to states and designated recipients to meet the transportation needs of older adults and people with disabilities when the transportation service provided is unavailable, insufficient, or inappropriate to meeting these needs



## ODOT Key: 23188 | MTIP ID: 71317

Enhanced Seniors Mobility/ Individuals w/Disabilities (2025) - Cycle 2024-29

#### **Current Programming**

phase	year	fund type	federal amount	minimum <mark>lo</mark> cal match	other amount	total	hold from mtip
Transit	2025		\$2,143,612	<mark>\$766,364</mark>		\$2,909,976	
	2014	5310 (50/50)	\$307,281	\$307,281		\$614,562	
	2014	5310 (80/20)	\$1,836,331	\$459,083		\$2,295,414	
Totals >>			\$2,143,612	\$766,364	\$0	\$2,909,976	

		Project Det	ails		Programmi	ng History		Prog	ramming Change	s		
ODOT Key	MTIP ID Number	Lead Agency	Project Name	Project Short Description in MTIP	Current Programmed Year	Federal Fund Type	Current Federal Amount (Pre UZA adjustment)	Revised Federal Amount	Local Amount	Local Overmatch	Total	SLIP Project Phase?
				Supports mobility management activities purchase of services	2025	5310 (80/20)	\$ <del>1,836,331</del>	\$ 1,881,970	470,493	\$ 1,411,478	\$ 3,763,941	No
23188	71317	TriMet	Enhanced Seniors Mobility/ Individuals w/Disabilities (2025)	operating and preventative maintenance on vehicles for services focused on the elderly and persons with disabilities within the Portland Urbanized Area in FFY 2025	2025	5310 (50/50)	\$ 307,281	\$ 307,281	\$ 307,281	\$ -	\$ 614,562	No
				Fortiand Orbanized Area III FFT 2023		Totals:	\$ 2,143,612	\$ 2,189,251	\$ 777,774	\$ 1,411,478	\$ 4,378,503	



Metro 2024-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (MTIP) PROJECT AMENDMENT DETAIL WORKSHEET

# MTIP Formal Amendment SCOPE CHANGE

Revise project name and description for scope update

Proje	ect #8										
Project Details Summary											
ODOT Key #	20329	RFFA ID:	50285	RTP ID:	<del>10127 (2018)</del> <b>11746</b>	RTP Approval Date:	11/30/2023				
MTIP ID:	70882	CDS ID:	N/A	Bridge #:	N/A	FTA Flex & Conversion Code	No				
M	TIP Amendment ID:	endment ID: JA24-04-JAN STIP Amendment ID:				24-27-0592					

<u>Summary of Amendment Changes Occurring</u>: The formal amendment revises the project scope to primarily be located at the Marylhurst Dr intersection and be limited to intersection safety improvements and an upgrades traffic signal. The planned scope between Hidden Springs and Cedar Oak is no longer feasible due to adjacent projects not being completed as planned. Additionally, construction estimates for this section exceeded the available budget. A reduction in scope is necessary in order to deliver the remaining scope of the project, which will consist of upgrades to the Marylhurst/OR43 intersection. The intersection will be rebuilt as a protected intersection with a new traffic signal, new ADA ramps, and new bike facilities. As a result, PE requires additional funds to complete the scope adjustment. The ROW phase decreases allowing funds to be shifted from ROW to PE.

Project Name:	me: OR43: Marylhurst Dr – Hidden Springs Rd (West Linn) OR43: Willamette Dr at Marylhurst Dr (West Linn)											
Lead Agency:	West	Linn	Applicant:	West	t Linn	Administrator:	OI	ООТ				
Certified Age	ency Delivery:	y: No Non-Certified Agency Delivery: Yes Delivery as Direct Recipient: No										

Short Description:

Construct a new cycle track and sidewalk along OR-43 from Cedar Oaks to Hidden Springs Rd. Install a new traffic signal at OR43 and Hidden Springs Rd. Construct a new protected signalized intersection at OR43/Marylhurst that provides separation for vehicles, pedestrians, and bicyclists and improves safety for all roadway users.

# MTIP Detailed Description (Internal Metro use only):

Construct a new cycle track and sidewalk along OR-43 from Cedar Oaks to Hidden Springs Rd. Install a new traffic signal at OR43 and Hidden Springs Rd. connecting to the new extension of Old River Rd to be constructed by the City of West Linn at OR43 and Marylhurst Drive. (RFFA 2019-21 award cycle) In West Linn on OR43 (Willamette Dr) at Marylhurst Dr (MP 8.35 to MP 8.5), construct a new protected signalized intersection at OR43/Marylhurst that provides separation for vehicles, pedestrians, and bicyclists and improves safety for all roadway users. (RFFA 2019-21 award cycle)

## STIP Description:

Construct a new protected signalized intersection at OR43/Marylhurst that provides separation for vehicles, pedestrians, and bicyclists and improves safety for all roadway users.

		Project Classification Details	
Project Type	Category	Features	System Investment Type
	Highway - Motor Vehicle	System Management and Operations	System Management and
Highway	Highway - Bike	Protected Parallel Facility	System Management and
	Highway - Pedestrian	Protected Parallel Facility	Operations
ODOT Work Type:	BikePed		

				F	Phase Fundi	ng and	d Progra	mmin	g				
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning		reliminary neering (PE)	-	of Way OW)	Relo	tility ocation UR)	Со	nstruction (Cons)	Other	Total
Feder	al Funds												
CMAQ	Z400 Z40E	2018		\$	290,414								\$ -
TA-U	Z301	2018		\$	805,304								\$ -
TA-U	Z301	2018		\$	865,465								\$ 865,46
STBG-U	Y230	2018		\$	116,649								\$ 116,64
State TA	<del>Z300</del>	<del>2021</del>				<u>\$</u>	<del>294,696</del>						\$ -
TA-U	Z301	2021				\$	234,535						\$ 234,53
CMAQ	<del>Z400</del>	<del>2021</del>				<u> </u>	<del>116,649</del>						\$ -
CMAQ	Y400	2024						\$	22,145				\$ 22,14
CMAQ	Y400	2024								\$	2,570,792		\$ 2,570,79
	Feder	al Totals:	\$	- \$	1,272,528	\$	234,535	\$	22,145	\$	2,570,792	\$-	\$ 3,809,586

Notes:

1. PE CMAQ was obligated under two different fund codes: Z400 (\$245,549) and Z40E (\$44,865)

2. ROW CMAQ is shifted to PE and changed to be STBG as a follow-on obligation and need to increase the PE phase.

State	Funds													
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning		eliminary neering (PE)	-	ht of Way (ROW)		Utility elocation	Co	onstruction	Other		Total
													\$	
	Stat	te Totals:	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$-	\$ <b>\$</b>	
			<b>T</b>	Ŧ		Ŧ		•		•		•		
Local	Funds													
Fund Type	Fund Code	Year	Planning		eliminary neering (PE)	-	ht of Way (ROW)		Utility elocation	Co	onstruction	Other		Total
Local (CMAQ)	Match	2018		\$	33,239								\$	33,2
Local (TA)	-Match-	<del>2018</del>		<u>\$</u>	92,171								\$	
Local (TA)	Match	2018		\$	99,056								\$	99,0
-Other-	-OTHO-	<del>2018</del>		<u>\$</u>	987,075								\$	
Other	OTH0	2018		\$	1,284,808								\$	1,284,8
Local (STBG)	Match	2018		\$	13,351								\$	13,3
-Local (StTA)-	-Match-	<del>2021</del>				<u>\$</u>	33,729						\$	
Local (TA-U)	Match	2021				\$	26,844						\$	26,8
Local (CMAQ)	-Match-	<del>2021</del>				\$	13,351						\$	
-Other-	-OTHO-	<del>2021</del>				<u>\$</u>	386,354						\$	
Other	OTH0	2021				\$	88,621						\$	88,6
Local (CMAQ)	Match	2024						\$	2,535				\$	2,5
Other	OTH0	2024						\$	25,320				\$	25,3
Local (CMAQ)	Match	2024								\$	294,239		\$	294,2
Other	Oth0	2024								\$	1,070,190		\$	1,070,1
	Loc	al Totals:	\$-	\$	1,430,454	\$	115,465	\$	27,855	\$	1,364,429	\$-	\$	2,938,2
Phase	Totals		Planning		PE		ROW		UR		Cons	Other		Total
Existing Progr		otals:	\$ -	<u></u>	2,208,203	<u> </u>	844,779	\$	50,000	\$	3,935,221	\$ -	\$	7,038,2
Amended Prog	-		\$ -		2,702,982		350,000		50,000		3,935,221		\$	7,038,2
			·	r	,,=		/				· ·	ated Project Cost		7,038,2
										T - + -		r of Expenditure:		7,038,2

Programming Summary	Yes/No			Reason if sh	ort Programmed		
Is the project short programmed?	No	The project is no	t short program	imed			
Programming Adjustments Details	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Totals
Phase Programming Change:	\$-	\$ 494,779	\$ (494,779)	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$ (0)
Phase Change Percent:	0.0%	22.4%	-58.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Amended Phase Matching Funds:	\$-	\$ 145,646	\$ 26,844	\$ 2,535	\$ 294,239	\$ -	\$ 469,264
Amended Phase Matching Percent:	N/A	10.27%	10.27%	10.27%	10.27%	N/A	10.27%
		Phase Program	mming Summai	ry Totals			
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	\$-	\$ 1,272,528	\$ 234,535	\$ 22,145	\$ 2,570,792	\$ -	\$ 4,100,000
State	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Local	\$-	\$ 1,430,454	\$ 115,465	\$ 27,855	\$ 1,364,429	\$-	\$ 2,938,203
Total	\$-	\$ 2,702,982	\$ 350,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 3,935,221	\$-	\$ 7,038,203
			position Percen	-			
Fund Type	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	47.08%	67.01%	44.3%	65.3%	0.0%	58.3%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	52.9%	32.99%	55.7%	34.7%	0.0%	41.7%
Total	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		Dhase Drog	ramming Perce	ntago			
		FildSe Frog					
Fund Category	Planning	Preliminary Engineering (PE)	Right of Way (ROW)	Utility Relocation	Construction	Other	Total
Federal	0.0%	18.1%	3.3%	0.3%	36.5%	0.0%	58.3%
State	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Local	0.0%	20.3%	1.6%	0.4%	19.4%	0.0%	41.7%
Total	0.0%	38.4%	5.0%	0.7%	55.9%	0.0%	100.0%

		Project Pha	se Obligation His	tory			
Item	Planning	PE	ROW	UR	Cons	Other	Federal
Total Funds Obligated		\$ 2,702,982	\$ 350,000				Aid ID
Federal Funds Obligated:		\$ 1,272,528	\$ 234,535				S003(016)
EA Number:		PE002950	R9699000				FHWA or FTA
Initial Obligation Date:		4/9/2018	8/31/2021				FHWA
EA End Date:		7/31/2024	1/31/2025				FMIS or TRAMS
Known Expenditures:		\$ 1,942,948	\$ 180,865				FMIS
				Estimate	ed Project Comple	etion Date:	12/31/2027
Completion Date Notes:							
Are federal funds being flex transfe	rred to FTA?	No	If yes, expe	cted FTA conv	ersion code:	N/A	
Notes: Expenditure Authorization (EA) infor	mation pertains p	rimarily to projects u	under ODOT Local	Delivery oversig	sht.		

- 1. What is the source of funding?. The project was awarded both Metro RFFA funds and ODOT STIP funds.
- 2. Does the amendment include changes or updates to the project funding? No. The overall project funding remains unchanged.
- 3. Was proof-of-funding documentation provided to verify the funding change? Yes, per discussions with ODOT authorizing the fund shifts.
- 4. Did the funding change require OTC, ODOT Director, or ODOT program manager approval? **ODOT & Metro manager level to complete the fund shifts.**
- 5. Has the fiscal constraint requirement been properly demonstrated and satisfied as part of the MTIP amendment? Yes.

Length
0.65 0.15
Cross Street
(before and after intersection)

	Summary	of MTIP Program	nming and Last Fo	ormal/Full Amen	dment or Adm	ninistrative Modif	ication				
1st Year	2018	Years Active	7	Drojact Status	F	(RW) Right-of Way activities initiated including					
Programmed	2018	rears Active	7   Project Status   5		5	R/W acquisition and/or utilities relocation.					
Total Prior	10	Last	Administrative	Date of Last	Luby 2022	Last MTIP	AM23-21-JUL1				
Amendments	10	Amendment	Auministrative	Amendment	July 2023	Amend Num	AW25-21-JOL1				
Last Amendment											
Action	Slip Other/UR phase with Metro CMAQ of \$22,145 and match from FFY 2023 to FFY 2024										

		Anticipat	ed Required Perf	ormance Mea	surements Monito	ring			
Metro RTP Performance	Congestion Mitigation	Climate Change Reduction	Economic Prosperity	Equity	Mobility Improvement	Safety	Notes The project is located outside of the identified Equity Focus Areas		
Measurements					X	Х			
		RTP Air Quali	ty Conformity an	d Transportati	ion Modeling Desig	gnations			
Is this a	capacity enhancing	or non-capacity er	nhancing project?	Non-capacity	enhancing project				
	s the project exemper 40 CFR 93.126,	pt from a conform , Table 2 or 40 CFR	ity determination 93.127, Table 3?	Yes, the proje	ect is exempt unde	r two areas.			
		Exem	ption Reference:	- 40 CFR 93.2	127, Table 3, Inters	uality: Bicycle	and Pedestrian facilities. ization Projects at Individual		
	Was an air analys	is required as part	of RTP inclusion?	No.					
If capacity enhanci	ng, was transportat	•	ysis completed as of RTP inclusion?	No. Not Appl	icable.				
	RTI	P Constrained Proj	ect ID and Name:	Young State F	Park	•	provements - Holly St. to Mary S. provements -Arbor Dr. to Mary S.		
RTP Project Description:				(11746): Construction of multimodal transportation improvements on OR 43 (N. West Linn city limits to Mary S. Young Park) in accordance with 2016 TSP and 2016 Highway 43 Concept Plan, optimizing traffic flow at major intersections an improving ped/bike safety.					
				Note: RTP reference is updated based on the new approved 2023 RTP					

Project Location in the Metro Transportation Network							
Yes/No	Network	Designation					
Yes	Motor Vehicle	OR43 at Marylhust Dr is designated as a Major Arterial					
Yes	Transit	OR43 at Marylhust Dr is designated as a Frequent Bus					
No	Freight	OR43 at Marylhust Dr has no designation in the Freight network					
Yes	Bicycle	OR43 at Marylhust Dr is designated as a Bicycle Parkway					
Yes	Pedestrian	OR43 at Marylhust Dr is designated as a Pedestrian Parkway.					

National Highway System and Functional Classification Designations						
System	Y/N	Route	Designation			
NHS Project	Yes	OR43	Other NHS Routes			
Functional Classification	Yes	OR43	Other Principal Arterial			
Federal Aid Eligible Facility	Yes	OR43	FHWA Functional Classification Code: 3 (Urban Other Principal Arterial)			

- 1. Is the project designated as a Transportation Control Measure? No.
- 2. Is the project identified on the Congestion Management Process (CMP) plan? No.
- 3. Is the project included as part of the approved: UPWP? **No. Not Applicable**
- 3a. If yes, is an amendment required to the UPWP? Not Applicable.
- 3b. Can the project MTIP amendment proceed before the UPWP amendment? Yes.
- 3c. What is the UPWP category (Master Agreement, Metro funded stand-alone, Non-Metro funded Regionally Significant)?
- 4. Applicable RTP Goal:

## Goal #5: Transportation Safety:

Objective 5.1 - Transportation Safety – Eliminate fatal and severe injury crashes for all modes of travel.

5. Does the project require a special performance assessment evaluation as part of the MTIP amendment? No. The project is not capacity enhancing nor does it exceed \$100 million in total project cost.

## Public Notification/Opportunity to Comment Consistency Requirement


- 1. Is a 30-day/opportunity to comment period required as part of the amendment? Yes.
- 2. What are the start and end dates for the comment period? Estimated to be January 3, 2024 to February 2, 2024
- 3. Was the comment period completed consistent with the Metro Public Participation Plan?**Yes.**
- 4. Was the comment period included on the Metro website allowing email submissions as comments? Yes.
- 5. Did the project amendment result in a significant number of comments? **Not expected.**
- 6. Did the comments require a comment log and submission plus review by Metro Communications staff and to Council Office? Not expected.
- 7. Added notes:

	Fund Codes References
Local	General Local funds committed by the lead agency that normally cover the minimum match requirement to the federal funds
Other	Generally added local funds beyond the required minimum match to the federal funds that the lead agency commits to cover the phase costs. Also referred to as "Overmatch" funds.
CMAQ	Congestion Mitigation Air Quality (CMAQ) funds. CMAQ funds are a federal funding source (FHWA based) that provide a flexible funding source to State and local governments for transportation projects and programs to help meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act. Funding is available to reduce congestion and improve air quality for areas that do not meet the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for ozone, carbon monoxide, or particulate matter (nonattainment areas) and for former nonattainment areas that are now in compliance (maintenance areas). The funds are normally apportioned to the eligible states and then potentially sub-allocated to MPOs or other eligible agencies based on a formula allocation.
STBG	Surface Transportation Block Grant funds. A federal funding source (FHWA based) appropriated to the State DOT. The Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) promotes flexibility in State and local transportation decisions and provides flexible funding to best address State and local transportation needs.
State STBG	Appropriated STBG that remains under ODOT's management and commitment to eligible projects.
STBG-U	STBG funds that ODOT suballocates to Metro for use of eligible projects in urban areas
ТА	Transportation Alternatives (TA) are a federal funding source (FHWA based). TA funds are set-aside from the Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG program. Eligible uses of the set-aside funds include all projects and activities that were previously eligible under the Transportation Alternatives Program under the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21). This encompasses a variety of smaller-scale transportation projects such as pedestrian and bicycle facilities, recreational trails, safe routes to school projects, community improvements such as historic preservation and vegetation management, and environmental mitigation related to stormwater and habitat connectivity. TA funds are apportioned to the State DOT. A portion of the TA funds are then suballocated to the MPOs.
TA-S	TA funds that remain under ODOT's allocation and management.
TA-U	TA funds that are allocated to Metro and are generally used for eligible projects awarded federal funds through the Regional Flexible Fund Allocation (RFFA) funding call.

Proiect Name:







Phase	Fund Code	Description	Percent of Phase	Total Amount	Federal Percent	Federal Amount	State Percent	State Amount	Local Percent	Local Amount
PE	OTH0	OTHER THAN STATE OR	47.53%	1,284,807.76	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	100.00%	1,284,807.76
	Y230	STBG Urban - populations greater than 200,000 IIJA	4.8 <mark>2</mark> %	130,000.00	89.73%	116,649.00	0.00%	0.00	10.27%	13,351.00
	Z301	TAP-U Over 200K Population	35.68%	964,521.19	89.73%	865,464.86	0.00%	0.00	10.27%	99,056.33
	Z400	CONGESTION MITIGATION FAST	10.12%	273,653.05	89.73%	245,548.88	0.00%	0.00	10.27%	28,104.17
	Z40E	Congestion mitigation FAST ext	1.85%	50,000.00	89.73%	44,865.00	0.00%	0.00	10.27%	5,135.00
	PE Totals		100.00%	2,702,982.00		1,272,527.74		0.00		1,430,454.26
RW	OTH0	OTHER THAN STATE OR	25.32%	88,621.42	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	100.00%	88,621.42
	Z301	TAP-U Over 200K Population	74.68%	261,378.58	89.73%	234,535.00	0.00%	0.00	10.27%	26,843.58
	RW Totals		100.00%	350,000.00		234,535.00		0.00		115,465.00
UR	OTH0	OTHER THAN STATE OR	50.64%	25,320.41	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	100.00%	25,320.41
	Y400	CMAQ congestion mitigation air quality IIJA	49.36%	24,679.59	89.73%	22,145.00	0.00%	0.00	10.27%	2,534.59
	UR Totals		100.00%	50,000.00		22,145.00		0.00		27,855.00
CN	OTH0	OTHER THAN STATE OR	27.20%	1,070,190.06	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	100.00%	1,070,190.06
	Y400	CMAQ congestion mitigation air quality IIJA	72.80%	2,865,030.94	89.73%	2,570,792.26	0.00%	0.00	10.27%	294,238.68
	CN Totals		100.00%	3,935,221.00		2,570,792.26		0.00		1,364,428.74
	Grand Totals			7,038,203.00		4,100,000.00		0.00		2,938,203.00

OR43: Willamette Dr at Marylhurst Dr (West Linn) (DDAET AMENIDMENT DD