



## **APPENDIX U**

### 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

# Summary of comments received and recommended actions

**December 6, 2018**

[oregonmetro.gov/rtp](https://oregonmetro.gov/rtp)

## **Metro respects civil rights**

Metro fully complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 that requires that no person be excluded from the participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin under any program or activity for which Metro receives federal financial assistance.

Metro fully complies with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act that requires that no otherwise qualified individual with a disability be excluded from the participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination solely by reason of their disability under any program or activity for which Metro receives federal financial assistance.

If any person believes they have been discriminated against regarding the receipt of benefits or services because of race, color, national origin, sex, age or disability, they have the right to file a complaint with Metro. For information on Metro's civil rights program, or to obtain a discrimination complaint form, visit [oregonmetro.gov/civilrights](http://oregonmetro.gov/civilrights) or call 503-797-1536.

Metro provides services or accommodations upon request to persons with disabilities and people who need an interpreter at public meetings. If you need a sign language interpreter, communication aid or language assistance, call 503-797-1700 or TDD/TTY 503-797-1804 (8 a.m. to 5 p.m. weekdays) 5 business days before the meeting. All Metro meetings are wheelchair accessible. For up-to-date public transportation information, visit TriMet's website at [trimet.org](http://trimet.org).

**Metro is the federally mandated metropolitan planning organization** designated by the governor to develop an overall transportation plan and to allocate federal funds for the region.

The Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) is a 17-member committee that provides a forum for elected officials and representatives of agencies involved in transportation to evaluate transportation needs in the region and to make recommendations to the Metro Council. The established decision-making process assures a well-balanced regional transportation system and involves local elected officials directly in decisions that help the Metro Council develop regional transportation policies, including allocating transportation funds.

Regional Transportation Plan website: **[oregonmetro.gov/rtp](http://oregonmetro.gov/rtp)**

The preparation of this strategy was financed in part by the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration. The opinions, findings and conclusions expressed in this strategy are not necessarily those of the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
1	RTP Chapter 2	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland	7/31/2018	Letter	Amend Goal 8: Climate Leadership, page 2-19, to add an additional goal to integrate green infrastructure such as tree canopy for both its climate adaption and mitigation potential (carbon sequestration, reduction of urban heat island effects, landscape resiliency, etc.)	Amend to add a new objective as follows, " <u>Objective 8.6: Green Infrastructure – Promote green infrastructure that benefits both climate and other environmental objectives, including improved stormwater management and wildlife habitat.</u> "
2	RTP Chapter 2	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland and Bev Drott	7/31/2018	Letter	Recommendation to add the following additional objectives for Goal 6: Healthy Environment:  "- <u>Objective 6.3: Green Infrastructure: Integrate green infrastructure strategies into the transportation grid whenever possible (including tree canopy, green streets, green walls, permeable surfaces, etc.) to reduce and mitigate negative environmental such as air pollution, stormwater runoff, water pollution, urban heat island effect, habitat fragmentation, etc.)</u> - <u>Objective 6.4: Adopt dark sky standards to minimize unnecessary light pollution which negatively impacts human health, wildlife health, livability, energy consumption and ability to see the night sky</u> - <u>Objective 6.5: Avoid fragmentation of natural systems by integrating habitat connectivity objectives (avoidance of important habitat corridors, avoidance of fragmentation of habitat areas, use of strategies such as wildlife overpasses and underpasses, etc.) into transportation planning"</u>	Amend as follows: "- <u>Objective 6.3: Green Infrastructure: Integrate green infrastructure strategies in transportation planning and design to avoid, minimize and mitigate adverse environmental impacts.</u> - <u>Objective 6.4: Light pollution: Minimize unnecessary light pollution to avoid harm to human health, farms and wildlife, increase safety and improve visibility of the night sky.</u> - <u>Objective 6.5: Habitat Connectivity: Improve wildlife and habitat connectivity in transportation planning and design to avoid, minimize and mitigate barriers resulting from new and existing transportation infrastructure."</u>
3	RTP Chapter 2	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland and Bev Drott	7/31/2018	Letter	Amend Goal 7: Healthy People, objective 7.2 (page 2-18) to include multiple forms of pollution that negatively impact people including not only noise, but also air pollution, water pollution and light pollution	Amend Objective 7.2 (page 2-18) as follows, " <u>Objective 7.2 Other Pollution Impacts - Minimize air, water, noise, light and other transportation-related pollution health impacts.</u> "

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
4	RTP Chapter 2	Kraushaar	Nancy	City of Wilsonville	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 2-11) Recommendation to modify the text to enumerate/list the goals on this page as follows:  "The goal areas integral to the RTP are listed below. Goal 1: Vibrant Communities Goal 2: Shared Prosperity Goal 3: Transportation Choices Etc. through Goal 11: Transparency and Accountability Each goal area that follows is arranged similarly..."	Amend as requested.
5	RTP Chapter 2	Kirzakhali	Ali	ODEQ	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation to revise language on page 2-1 to say: "To achieve our vision for the future, we must work together to address inequities as we build vibrant, walkable communities with affordable homes, provide safe, reliable, healthy and affordable transportation choices, address growing congestion, reduce <u>air pollutants, including</u> greenhouse gas emissions..."	Amend as requested.
6	RTP Chapter 2	Kirzakhali	Ali	ODEQ	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation to revise "Climate leadership" language under six desired outcomes on page 2-3 to say: "The region is a leader in minimizing contributions to <u>climate change</u> <b>global warming</b> ."	No change recommended. It is not within the purview of the RTP to amend this desired outcome. This comment has been forwarded for Metro staff for consideration in future amendments to the Regional Framework Plan.
7	RTP Chapter 2	Kirzakhali	Ali	ODEQ	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation to revise language on page 2-14, Objective 3.1 Travel Choices to say: "Plan communities and design and manage the transportation system to increase the proportion of trips made by walking, bicycling, shared rides and use of transit <u>and reduce VMT</u> ."	Amend as requested.
8	RTP Chapter 2	Kirzakhali	Ali	ODEQ	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation to renumber the Clean Air objective on page 2-18 from 7.3 to 7.2, as well as revise language to say: "Reduce transportation-related air pollutants, including <u>criteria pollutants</u> and air toxics emissions."  Rename Objective 7.2 " <u>Other</u> Pollution Impacts" and renumber it to be Objective 7.3.	Amend as requested and add a definition of criteria pollutants to the RTP glossary.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
9	RTP Chapter 2	Kirzakhali	Ali	ODEQ	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation to revise language on page 2-19 to say: "Objective 8.4 Low and <b><del>No</del> <u>Zero</u></b> Emissions Vehicles - Support state efforts to transition Oregon to cleaner, low carbon fuels and increase the <u>adoption</u> <b><del>use</del></b> of more fuel-efficient vehicles <u>and alternative fuel vehicles, including electric and hydrogen vehicles.</u> "	Amend as requested.
10	RTP Chapter 2	Benner	Janine	ODOE	8/20/2018	Letter	Develop more strategies and planning around energy conservation/reduction - and resulting GHG emissions reductions, per Objective 8.5 (Energy Conservation).	Amend Chapter 3 and 4 to more clearly describe how RTP policies and investments (e.g., climate leadership, emerging technology, TSMO, active transportation, etc.) help implement the objective and will also result in energy conservation and greenhouse gas emission reductions, including: - Section 4.6 - add language describing strategies and outcomes that support the energy conservation objective, including reducing VMT, advancing low emissions and no emission vehicles, increasing walking, biking and use of transit, expanding use of TSMO and commuter programs, etc. - Section 4.6 - add a pyramid showing relative energy use by mode - Section 4.7.3 - add language describing energy conservation challenges, such as energy uncertainty, potential federal rulemaking that would dismantle existing fuel economy standards.
11	RTP Chapter 2	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	Revise Objective 1.4 (Page 2-12) to say: "Increase the number and variety of community places that households, especially households in historically marginalized communities, can reach within a reasonable travel time for all modes of travel <u>and for people of all ages and abilities.</u> "	No change recommended. While the RTP goals and policies emphasize making the transportation accessible to people of all ages and abilities, this addition is not something that is measurable.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
12	RTP Chapter 2	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	Revise Objective 3.3 (Page 2-14) to say: "Increase household and job access to current and planned <b>frequent</b> -transit service, <u>including households in historically marginalized communities and people with disabilities.</u> "	No change recommended. This language was considered when drafting the RTP for public review and found to be adequately addressed in Objective 9.1 (Equitable Transportation) and Objective 9.2 (Barrier-Free Transportation). The performance measures and access in Chapter 7 report on relative access for historically marginalized communities in equity focus areas with households located outside of equity focus areas.
13	RTP Chapter 2	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	Add an additional objective under Goal 10 (Fiscal Stewardship) that reads: " <u>Objective 10.X Efficient Project Delivery - Set and achieve annual obligation targets for federal funding to support performance-based programming.</u> "	No change recommended. This is more appropriately addressed through MTIP administrative policy and programming.
14	RTP Chapter 2	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	consider adding the following as objectives under Goal 10 (Fiscal Stewardship): " <u>Objective 10.X Safety Investments - Prioritize regional investments that improve safety and reduce transportation-related fatalities and serious injuries.</u> "	No change recommended. Safety is a key consideration and one of several factors that will be considered to determine priorities for investment. New safety policies provide more specificity on prioritizing safety investments.



## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
15	RTP Chapter 2	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	consider adding the following as objectives under Goal 10 (Fiscal Stewardship): <u>"Objective 10.X Maximize Leverage - Prioritize regional investments that contribute towards multiple regional goals and objectives, rather than single-purpose projects."</u>	Add a new subsection to Section 8.2.2 in Chapter 8 called "Performance-based Planning Program" that highlights project-level evaluation pilot conducted during the RTP update and recommendations for updating the pilot criteria and use in the next RTP update (due in 2023). This section will also describe other activities Metro will conduct to fulfill its MPO-related transportation performance measurement and reporting responsibilities.  This is consistent with Objective 11.2 (Performance-based Planning) which calls for making transportation investment decisions using a performance-based approach that is consistent with the RTP goals and supported by meaningful public engagement and multi-modal data and analysis. Nearly every project in the RTP could demonstrate how it meets multiple goals and objectives, and there may be cases when a single-purpose project is critical to a particular outcome. Applying project level evaluation through the next RTP update will provide a transparent way for decision-makers to comprehensively consider project outcomes when making investment decisions.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
16	RTP Chapter 2	Labbe	Ted	Urban Greenspaces Institute	8/21/2018	Letter	Goal 6 of the RTP calls for the region's fish and wildlife habitat and water resources to be protected from the negative impacts of transportation. What are the relevant RTP performance measures and targets to be added? How will progress be tracked? It is not enough to simply identify the proposed RTP projects that intersect high value habitat.	Amend page 2-17 to add the following language, " <u>There is no performance target for the measures for this goal. The purpose of the measures is to identify projects that overlap with high value habitats and other resources so that as projects move toward implementation appropriate avoid, minimize, or mitigation strategies can be applied.</u> " The RTP is a system-level plan that identifies regional priorities that will be implemented by transportation providers, ODOT and local jurisdictions. This measure provides information to these transportation providers early in the planning process appropriate avoid, minimize, or mitigation strategies can be applied.  When specific wildlife corridors are mapped and prioritized by the Interwine Alliance regional habitat connectivity work group, this information could be used to inform future RTP updates. The UGI and Intertwine Alliance are encouraged to engage transportation planners and engineers in this work given the desire for it to be used in planning and design of transportation projects in the region. Metro staff are happy to coordinate a briefing to TPAC and other committees as appropriate in support of this work.



## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
17	RTP Chapter 2	Labbe	Ted	Urban Greenspaces Institute	8/21/2018	Letter	Parking and travel demand management are underdeveloped and under-utilized in the proposed RTP. Local jurisdictions and major employers need to do more with parking demand management as another tool to shift people out of their automobiles and into other transportation alternatives. The 2018 Regional Travel Options strategy has no information on what percent of small, medium, and large employers have programs to actively reduce automobile commuting and implement their own in-house TDM programs. Nor do we know at what stage of maturity these programs are. The lack of information on existing TDM programs and measurable targets for both local jurisdictions and employer-based commuter reduction programs is worrisome. The draft TDM provides no targets for the percent of major employers with active programs although the Oregon Employee Commute Options rules require work sites with more than 100 employees to have workplace programs. Where are the employers in this conversation and how many of the major employers have fully developed transportation demand programs for their employees? Why is this missing from the RTP?	No change recommended. The Employee Commute Options rule is administered by the Department of Environmental Quality. As it is currently written and carried out, it requires affected employers to attain, then maintain a 10 percent reduction in SOV employee commute trips. Gathering data from employers beyond the minimum requirements is a difficult task, as the ECO rule was envisioned to have as small an impact on employers as possible. Voluntary data collection has proven to be challenging.  The RTO program has broadened its focus in recent years to address non-commute travel. Commute trips, while they have a high impact on peak hour demand, only comprise about 25 percent of people's daily trip making activities. Additionally, they have proven to be much more difficult for people to change relative to the other trips they are making. So the RTO program is taking this broader approach with the goal of helping people make non-auto choices for the trips that they are able to. The current 2010-2020 TSMO Plan includes parking management in the TDM functional area. The TSMO Plan will be updated over the next two years and will consider these comments as the work plan is developed and implemented. We welcome the UGI's participation and perspective in this work.
18	RTP Chapter 3	Banks	Rachael	Multnomah County Public Health	7/25/2018	Letter	(Page 3-23) Recommendation to add the following statement to 'Actions to implement Transportation Equity Policy 3': <u>"Document existing disparities in exposure to transportation related air pollutants and evaluate whether projects reduce or exacerbate disparities."</u>	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
19	RTP Chapter 3	Banks	Rachael	Multnomah County Public Health	7/25/2018	Letter	Understand disparities in exposure to traffic related air pollution. While the MOVES model used in the planning process provides estimates of airshed-wide impacts, the RTP does not provide information about what disparities exist, nor an estimate of whether those disparities will be improved or exacerbated by the proposed projects. We request that Metro and its partners analyze air pollution disparities in the process of implementing this RTP and undertake a more detailed modeling exercise in the next update. To the extent possible, we also request that Metro take near term steps to mitigate any disparities.	Comment noted. No change recommended. Metro staff recognizes the limitations of the tools currently used related to analyzing and assessing mobile source emissions. If resources and capacity allow, Metro is interested in partnering with air quality experts, including DEQ, PSU, and other entities using more disaggregated emissions models, to conduct air quality analysis at smaller geographies (e.g. corridors, equity focus areas, etc.) in future RTPs and on projects.
20	RTP Chapter 3	Banks	Rachael	Multnomah County Public Health	7/25/2018	Letter	Recommendation to add policies to mitigate impacts of concentrated multifamily housing along our most polluted corridors. Suggested language to include:  Potential policies or implementation actions that reduce exposure or that reduce total emissions include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On corridors with multifamily housing, use street designs that separate people walking and biking from sources of traffic pollution.</li> <li>• Prioritize electrification of transit and charging infrastructure for freight and light duty vehicles on the most polluted corridors.</li> <li>• Disseminate best practices in orienting buildings and designing indoor air systems to minimize pollution exposure.</li> </ul>	Amend RTP to identify these actions as potential design and mitigation strategies for Metro and jurisdictional partners to consider as projects move forward in project development.
21	RTP Chapter 3	Batson	Scott	Community member	7/2/2018	Email	Page 3-7: Amend the graphic under 'Safe System Approach' to say "It <b><del>is</del></b> possible to prevent all traffic deaths:"	Amend as requested.
22	RTP Chapter 3	Batson	Scott	Community member	7/2/2018	Email	Page 3-42: Main streets is missing information	Amend Table 3.8 to fix formatting. "Main Street" land use type was inadvertently carried over from previous page Community Boulevard design type.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
23	RTP Chapter 3	Batson	Scott	Community member	7/2/2018	Email	Page 3-53, calling out policies 3 and 5 that "seem counter-intuitive to current knowledge regarding induced and latent demand"  Policy 12: "...should be reframed to occur before expanding to the planned lanes, let alone beyond the plans."  Additional context: "The uncertainty regarding technological changes on the horizon could add capacity to the existing system, negating the need to expand in the first place, rendering such investment a waste."	No change recommended. This policy is consistent with existing RTP policy and the region's federally-required congestion management process (CMP). The CMP directs the region to consider system and demand management, multi-modal connectivity, expanded transit service and other strategies prior to adding capacity.
24	RTP Chapter 3	Batson	Scott	Community member	7/2/2018	Email	Page 3-59: Instead of saying "...fewer than 1,000 vehicles per day", recommend providing a range of "200 - 2,000 vehicle per day"	Amend as requested.
25	RTP Chapter 3	Batson	Scott	Community member	7/2/2018	Email	Figure 3-17: "it seems odd...to not plan for Foster to be a major arterial out to Happy Valley, particularly considering the modeling."	No change recommended. These classifications are consistent with adopted transportation system planning completed by Portland, Gresham, Happy Valley and Clackamas County for the Pleasant Valley area. Chapter 8 (Section 8.2.4.6) of the RTP identifies a corridor refinement plan for the Clackamas to Columbia planning area. This planning effort will examine north/south multi-modal travel needs and potential solutions in the area, including Foster Road and 172nd and 190th avenues. In addition, Clackamas County is leading an update to the County TSP for the unincorporated area of Clackamas County and Happy Valley is updating its TSP for the western portion of the former city of Damascus. All of these efforts will be coordinated with the City of Portland and other partners, and could result in functional classification changes that would be considered in the next RTP update (due 2023).

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
26	RTP Chapter 3	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland	7/31/2018	Letter	<p>Recommend including the following information for the Climate Smart Strategy policies on pages 3-28 and 3-29 (section 3.2.3):</p> <p><u>"- Protection and avoidance of high value natural resource sites</u>  <u>- Avoidance of hazard areas such as steep slopes and floodplains that provide landscape resiliency and which are also likely to increase in hazard potential as the impacts of climate change increase.</u>  <u>- Integration of green infrastructure into the transportation network whenever possible (including tree canopy, green streets, green walls, permeable surfaces, etc.) to reduce and mitigate negative environmental impacts of climate change such as increased carbon sequestration and reduced air pollution, stormwater runoff, water pollution, urban heat island effect, habitat fragmentation, etc."</u></p>	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>- add a definition of "green infrastructure" to the RTP glossary that includes tree canopy, street trees, green streets, green walls, permeable surfaces as follows, <u>"Green Infrastructure refers to a network of multi-functional green spaces and environmental features, both natural and engineered, that use or replicate natural systems to better manage stormwater, protect streams and enhance wildlife corridors—trees, soils, water and habitats. Examples include: permeable paving, vegetated swales, rain gardens, green streets, green roofs, green walls, urban forestry, street trees, parks, green corridors such as trails, and other low impact development practices."</u></p> <p>- add definition of mitigation (as defined in federal law - 40 CFR 1508.20)  <u>Mitigation refers to planning actions taken to avoid an impact altogether, minimize the degree or magnitude of the impact, reduce the impact over time, rectify the impact, or compensate for the impact. Mitigation includes :</u>  <u>(a) Avoiding the impact altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action. (b) Minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation.</u>  <u>(c) Rectifying the impact by repairing, rehabilitating, or restoring the affected environment. (d) Reducing or eliminating the impact over time by preservation and maintenance operations during the life of the action. (e) Compensating for the impact by replacing or providing substitute resources or environments.</u></p> <p><u>'-practicable (as defined in federal law - 23 CFR 777.2) Practicable means available and capable of being done after taking into consideration cost, existing technology and logistics, in light of overall project purposes.</u></p>

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underline</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underline</u> )
								include the following on pages 3-28 and 3-29: - Protection and avoidance of high value natural resource sites - Avoidance of hazard areas such as steep slopes and floodplains that provide landscape resiliency and which are also likely to increase in hazard potential as the impacts of climate change increase. - Integration of green infrastructure into the transportation network when practicable to reduce and mitigate negative environmental impacts of climate change.
27	RTP Chapter 3	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland	7/31/2018	Letter	Amend page 3-39 (section 3.3.2) to make each of the design classifications include a line about the type and scale of green infrastructure that is appropriate for the classification	Amend the design classification descriptions to add the following sentence to each section:  <u>Include green infrastructure designs to filter and retain stormwater runoff and design features to allow wildlife crossings and fish passage.</u>
28	RTP Chapter 3	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland	7/31/2018	Letter	Reiterating support for prioritization of demand management strategies prior to building new capacity (section 3.5.4).  "Audubon strongly supports the prioritization of demand management strategies prior to building new capacity. However it appears to us that Metro is failing to actually follow this approach in its ongoing support of the I-5 Broadway Corridor expansion proposal."	No change recommended.
29	RTP Chapter 3	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland	7/31/2018	Letter	Amend Table 3.10 Design Characteristics of Healthy Arterials (section 3.3.3, page 3-44) to add a line about "minimizing light pollution"	No change recommended to table 3.10. This table is derived directly from the source footnoted. A new table has been recommended for this chapter to summarize potential mitigation strategies; minimizing light pollution is proposed for inclusion in the new table.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
30	RTP Chapter 3	Hagerbaumer	Chris	Oregon Environmental Council	8/11/2018	Letter	(Section 3.2.4.3) Suggestion to specifically state that one way to ensure that emerging technologies are shared is to price them in a way that encourages several passengers, rather than zero passengers.  "One principle states: 'Emerging technology companies and users should contribute their fair share of the stewardship cost of operating, maintaining and building the transportation system' OEC agrees."	No change recommended. This is already addressed in the RTP policies and the Emerging Technology Strategy, which goes into greater detail about how these policies can be implemented. Policy 2 in Section 3.2.4.3 commits to "Use emerging technology to improve transit service, provide shared travel options throughout the region and support transit, bicycling and walking." The first implementation action listed under this policy in the ETS is to "Price, manage and design streets to reduce vehicle miles traveled and prioritize transit use and shared travel." Other RTP policies calls for more general pricing of vehicle travel, which would also apply to shared vehicles: - "Expand the use of pricing strategies to manage vehicle congestion and encourage shared trips and use of transit." (RTP Goal 2, Objective 4.6, p. 2-15.) - "In combination with increased transit service, consider use of value pricing to manage congestion and raise revenue when one or more lanes are being added to throughways." (Regional Motor Vehicle Policy 6, p. 3-52.).
31	RTP Chapter 3	Hagerbaumer	Chris	Oregon Environmental Council	8/11/2018	Letter	(Regional motor vehicle policies - pg. 3-53). Suggestion that if policy 6 (value pricing) is implemented, policy 5 (highway expansion) will not be needed.  "...the only way to effectively provide new capacity over the long run is to apply value pricing. Congestion pricing eliminates bottlenecks and gets traffic flowing: it is - in essence - new capacity."	No change recommended.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
32	RTP Chapter 3	Hagerbaumer	Chris	Oregon Environmental Council	8/11/2018	Letter	<p>Stating strong support for Objective 8.4 Low and No Emissions Vehicle on pg. 2-19. Suggestion to include specific strategy on how to achieve stated objective.</p> <p>"Vehicle technology and cleaner fuels are two critical pieces of the 'three-legged stool' for reducing GHGs from transportation...searching through the draft RTP we could not find a related strategy to technology or fuels, even in the section on transit."</p>	<p>Amend Section 3.2.3 (page 3-30) to reference the the 2014 Climate Smart Strategy toolbox of potential actions and amend Section 3.6.4 to describe TriMet's efforts to transition to low and no emissions vehicles as follows,</p> <p><u>"TriMet began testing clean fuel buses in 2002 with two diesel-electric hybrids and we currently operate eight hybrids that we began to introduce in 2012. While those buses had some advantages, TriMet ultimately didn't see the performance needed to roll them out system-wide. Through a recent federal grant, as well as support from Portland General Electric, TriMet purchased five electric buses that will soon run on Line 62-Murray Blvd in Beaverton. TriMet continues to look for additional resources for additional testing. While on paper electric buses sound great, TriMet needs to make sure they live up to their promise before rolling them out system-wide. Seeing how these buses operate under real-world conditions will help TriMet assess if these battery-electric buses are a viable and economic option for system-wide expansion. Whether electricity or hydrogen-powered, cleaner alternative fuels are the future of transit. TriMet's efforts to embark on this test that will move our region one step closer to this vision. In addition, TriMet was just awarded federal funds to purchase additional battery electric buses within the next five years. House Bill 2017 provides an opportunity to further invest in these vehicles as one funding alternative."</u></p>



## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
33	RTP Chapter 3	Hagerbaumer	Chris	Oregon Environmental Council	8/11/2018	Letter	Amend Section 3.6.4 (page 3-85) include new electric scooters.	<p>Amend page 3-85 as follows: In the first bullet, update the number of ride-hailing (TNC) rides in Portland consistent with the ETS: · In the city of Portland, <u>ride-hailing services</u> <b>transportation network companies (TNCs)</b> Uber and Lyft provided an estimated <u>10</u> <b>7</b>-million rides in 2017. We do not know how many of these were first/last mile connections to transit.</p> <p>add a bullet under "Explore new ways to improve connections to high frequency transit:"<u>The City of Portland recently launched a four-month pilot for shared electric scooters (also known as dockless scooters or e-scooters) in summer 2018. In the first three weeks of the pilot these scooters carried close to 100,000 trips. Following the pilot, the City will evaluate how e-scooters contribute to its mobility, equity, safety, and climate action goals. Metro and its public agency partners will be coordinating with Portland to understand how e-scooters support regional goals, whether they are effective at providing first/last mile connections to transit, and if so, what steps transportation agencies could take to make scooters available for these connections.</u></p> <p>- replace all references to transportation network companies (TNCs) with "<u>ride-hailing services</u>" in RTP and strategies.</p>

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
34	RTP Chapter 3	Hagerbaumer	Chris	Oregon Environmental Council	8/11/2018	Letter	Amend Section 3.6.4 (page 3-85) to include new electric scooters.	Amend page 3-85 as follows: revise first bullet under "Other innovations are not yet available in our region, but may be soon:" · Share electric bikes <b>or scooters</b> allow riders to take easier or longer-distance trips than they could on conventional bicycle.  Note: This change reflects that scooters are here.  Amend page 3-86, first bullet: · Coordinate with shared mobility companies to provide shared connections to transit stations. Several communities already support vanpools or operate shuttles to and from transit stations. Similarly, public agencies can work partner with microtransit, or car sharing, <u>pooled ride-hailing services or dockless bike/scooter sharing</u> companies to provide new connections to transit and promote the use of these services.
35	RTP Chapter 3	Hagerbaumer	Chris	Oregon Environmental Council	8/11/2018	Letter	"Although the policy is not Metro's, Metro should lend its voice to changing the state's helmet requirement. E-scooters should be in parity with e-bikes on helmet requirements."	Metro plans to continue to engage in state and regional conversations about e-scooter policy, including helmet use. At this point in time, little information is available about the relative safety risks of e-scooters compared to e-bikes and other modes of transportation. We expect the City of Portland's evaluation of its scooter pilot, as well as similar evaluations being conducted by other U.S. cities, to provide information that will help Metro and its partners weigh the benefits of improving access to scooters against any safety risks associated with eliminating helmet requirements.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
36	RTP Chapter 3	Hagerbaumer	Chris	Oregon Environmental Council	8/11/2018	Letter	General comment in support of Transit Policy 8: Ensure that transit is affordable, especially for people who depend on transit on pg. 3-87.  "By providing a universal free transit pass to youngsters, the region could get a twofor: greater equity and less congestion (while also creating goodwill towards transit resulting in the next generation of transit riders)."	No change needed. Access to public transportation is access to opportunity. Riders with qualifying incomes, along with organizations that distribute fare to low-income clients, can take advantage of the following programs to get their transit fare at a lower cost or at no cost– The Transit Assistance Program, Fare Assistance Program, and Fare Relief Program. More information on these programs can be found at <a href="https://trimet.org/accesstransit">https://trimet.org/accesstransit</a> .  Expanding these programs to a free universal transit pass to youth throughout the TriMet service area would require additional resources. Currently, reduced fares are available to youth ages 7–17 and students in high school (grades 9–12) or pursuing a GED. High school students in the Portland Public School District can ride TriMet for free during the school year by showing their student ID. Unlike other school districts, Portland Public Schools does not provide regular yellow school bus service. The Student Pass program is a partnership between TriMet, the school district and the City of Portland.
37	RTP Chapter 3	Liden	Keith	Community members	8/12/2018	Email	Proposed amendment to Figure 3.30 Regional Bicycle Network Map: - Add SW Stephenson and SW 35th to provide a much-needed connection between SW Boones Ferry Rd. and SW Barbur.	Amend as requested to add SW Stephenson (Boones Ferry to 25th) and SW 35th (Stephenson to Huber) to RTP system map as Regional Bikeway. To ensure connectivity to SW Barbur, also add SW Huber (35th to Barbur) as Regional Bikeway. This recommendation has been coordinated with and is supported by City of Portland staff.
38	RTP Chapter 3	Liden	Keith	Community members	8/12/2018	Email	Proposed amendment to Figure 3.30 Regional Bicycle Network Map: - Add SW Capitol Hill Rd. to complement SW 19th and this important bike crossing of Barbur and I-5.	Amend as requested to add SW Capitol Hill Rd (Bertha to Barbur) as a Regional Bikeway. This recommendation has been coordinated with and is supported by City of Portland staff.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
39	RTP Chapter 3	Liden	Keith	Community members	8/12/2018	Email	Proposed amendment to Figure 3.30 Regional Bicycle Network Map:  - Add SW Taylors Ferry Rd. between SW Terwilliger and SW Spring Garden to make the connection between Burlingame and Barbur.	Amend as requested to add SW Taylors Ferry (SW Terwilliger to Spring Garden). Also, to ensure connectivity to SW Barbur, also add SW Spring Garden (Taylor's Ferry to Barbur). This recommendation has been coordinated with and is supported by City of Portland staff.
40	RTP Chapter 3	Liden	Keith	Community members	8/12/2018	Email	Proposed amendment to Figure 3.30 Regional Bicycle Network Map: - Replace SW Humphrey with SW Hewitt because improving Humphrey would be hideously expensive and will never happen in reality. Hewitt, on the other hand, is a perfect low-traffic alternative for a neighborhood greenway treatment with a similar distance and same end points as Humphrey. To complete the regional system, Metro needs to utilize less expensive alternatives such as this when they're available.	No change recommended. Metro and City staff agree that SW Humphrey provides better regional route spacing than SW Hewitt. Hewitt is fairly close to Patton Road, and relatively far from the Sunset Highway multi-use path. Also, Hewitt may be a lesser expensive project that the City could fund on its own without regional/federal funding. This recommendation has been coordinated with and is supported by City of Portland staff.
41	RTP Chapter 3	Liden	Keith	Community members	8/12/2018	Email	Proposed amendment to Figure 3.32 Regional Pedestrian Network: - Add SW Dosch Rd. between Sunset and B-H Hwy. to provide much needed walking access to the B-H Hwy. enhanced transit corridor.	Amend as requested. In addition, to meet policy for regional pedestrian routes (located on urban major or minor arterials, transit routes, regional trails, streets within centers), Metro and City of Portland staff recommend: - designating Dosch Road (Beaverton-Hillsdale Hwy to Patton Road) and SW Patton Road (Dosch to Vista Dr) and SW Vista (Patton to Burnside) as Minor Arterials on the Regional Motor Vehicle Network Map to connect SW Dosch Road to the rest of the regional system. This recommendation has been coordinated with and is supported by City of Portland staff. - Designate the following streets as Regional Pedestrian Corridors: SW Patton (Dosch to Vista) and SW Vista (Patton to Burnside) because this route has been recommended to be upgraded to a minor arterial in the RTP (and it serves the #51 bus).

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
42	RTP Chapter 3	Liden	Keith	Community members	8/12/2018	Email	Proposed amendment to Figure 3.32 Regional Pedestrian Network: - Add SW 30th between Vermont and B-H Hwy. to provide much needed walking access to the B-H Hwy. enhanced transit corridor.	Amend as requested. Add SW 30th (Vermont to Beaverton-Hillsdale Hwy) as regional pedestrian corridor. In addition, to meet policy for regional pedestrian routes (located on urban major or minor arterials, transit routes, regional trails, streets within centers), Metro and City staff also recommend designating SW 30th Avenue (Vermont to BH Hwy) as a Minor arterial on the Regional Motor Vehicle Network Map. This recommendation has been coordinated with and is supported by City of Portland staff.
43	RTP Chapter 3	Liden	Keith	Community members	8/12/2018	Email	Proposed amendment to Figure 3.32 Regional Pedestrian Network: - Add SW Hewitt between SW Patton and Sylvan because it's important to have a walking connection between the two.	No change recommended. SW Hewitt does not match policy for a regional pedestrian route. (urban major or minor arterials, transit routes, regional trails, streets within centers). This recommendation has been coordinated with and is supported by City of Portland staff.
44	RTP Chapter 3	Liden	Keith	Community members	8/12/2018	Email	Proposed amendment to Figure 3.32 Regional Pedestrian Network: - Add SW Stephenson and SW 35th to provide a much-needed connection between SW Boones Ferry Rd. and SW Barbur.	No change recommended. SW Stephenson and SW 35th do not match policy for a regional pedestrian route. (urban major or minor arterials, transit routes, regional trails streets within centers). This recommendation has been coordinated with and is supported by City of Portland staff.
45	RTP Chapter 3	Warner	Chris	City of Portland	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to make the RTP's classifications consistent with Portland's 2035 Transportation System Plan.  "...in our technical review of the 2040 RTP's classifications, we have identified several classifications that do not correspond with the classifications recently adopted as part of Portland's 2035 Transportation System Plan. PBOT staff have submitted a table of recommended changes to Metro staff."	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
46	RTP Chapter 3	Kraushaar	Nancy	City of Wilsonville	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 3-9, section 3.2.21.4 Safety and Security Policies) Recommendation to add a policy about Resiliency to be consistent with Objective 5.3 of Goal 5: Safety and Security.	Amend as follows:  - add a call out box describing resiliency and potential opportunities for future transportation resiliency planning efforts - add definitions for resilience, extreme weather events, extreme events, adaptation and preparedness from FHWA Order 5520 on Transportation System Preparedness and Resiliency to Climate Change and Extreme Weather Events as follows: <u>"Resilience or resiliency is the ability to anticipate, prepare for and adapt to changing conditions and withstand, respond to and recover rapidly from disruptions.</u>  <u>Extreme Weather Events refers to significant anomalies in temperature, precipitation and winds and can manifest as heavy precipitation and flooding, heatwaves, drought, wildfires and windstorms (including tornadoes).</u> <u>Consequences of extreme weather events can include safety concerns, damage, destruction and/or economic loss. Climate change can also cause or influence extreme weather events.</u>

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
								<p><u>Extreme Events refers to risks posed by climate change and extreme weather events. The definition does not apply to other uses of the term nor include consideration of risks to the transportation system from other natural hazards, accidents, or other human induced disruptions.</u></p> <p><u>Preparedness refers actions taken to plan, organize, equip, train, and exercise to build, apply, and sustain the capabilities necessary to prevent, protect against, ameliorate the effects of, respond to, and recover from climate change related damages to life, health, property, livelihoods, ecosystems, and national security.</u></p> <p><u>Adaptation refers to adjustment in natural or human systems in anticipation of or response to a changing environment in a way that effectively uses beneficial opportunities or reduces negative effects.</u></p>
47	RTP Chapter 3	Kraushaar	Nancy	City of Wilsonville	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 3-42, table 3.8 Design Classifications for Regional Motor Vehicle Network) Recommendation for City Industrial Street, on the right side of the street cross-section, please change "Bikeway" to "Protected Bikeway"	Amend as requested.
							"This is important when bikes are traveling adjacent to trucks in an industrial area."	
48	RTP Chapter 3	Kraushaar	Nancy	City of Wilsonville	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 3-63, Regional Motor Vehicle Network) Recommendation to revise the map to include 95th Avenue from Boeckman Road to Boones Ferry Road, Kinsman Road from Barber Road to Boeckman Road, Boones Ferry Road from Ridder to Wilsonville Road and Canyon Creek Road between Town Center Loop East and Elligsen Road.	Amend as follows: - Add 95th (Boeckman to Boones Ferry) as minor arterial. - Add Kinsman Rd (Wilsonville Rd to Ridder Rd) as minor arterial/future minor arterial. - Add Canyon Creek Rd as Minor arterial (Town Center Loop East to Elligsen Rd). - Do not add Boones Ferry Rd, because it is designated as a Collector in the Wilsonville TSP and doesn't meet regional spacing standards (too close to 95th).



## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
49	RTP Chapter 3	Kraushaar	Nancy	City of Wilsonville	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 3-66, section 3.6.2 Regional Transit Network Concept) Recommendation to delete "district" from the fifth line in reference to "South Metro Area Regional Transit (SMART) <del>district</del> ".	Amend as requested.
50	RTP Chapter 3	Kraushaar	Nancy	City of Wilsonville	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 3-74, section 3.6.4 Regional Transit Policies). Recommendation for Climate and Clean Air goals to be woven into the transit policies or be its own policy.  "It is important to include the concept that a goal of increased transit is to implement the Climate Smart Strategy in this RTP."	Amend as requested to better link climate and clean air goals to transit policies.
51	RTP Chapter 3	Kraushaar	Nancy	City of Wilsonville	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-133 through 4-25, etc. Various Maps and Graphs) Improve the quality/clarity of many of the graphics that are quite small or fuzzy for easy reading and understanding.	Amend as requested.
52	RTP Chapter 3	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	On page 3-53, recommendation "for policy 7, add 'up to four lanes' (or more if needed due to lack of connectivity) to be consistent with the footnote on Table 3-41 Design Classifications	Amend Policy 7 on page 3-53 to add footnote listed under Table 3.8 on page 3-41.
53	RTP Chapter 3	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	On page 3-53, revise Policy 12 to "remove 'value pricing' reference until a more comprehensive study/report is complete and other text as follows:	No change recommended. The region conducted a comprehensive study of value pricing in th 1990's that led to existing RTP policy (first adopted in 2000) to consider value pricing when new throughway capacity is being added. Since then, RTP policy has indicated that demand management tools, including congestion pricing, be used to understand the multimodal needs of the system, particularly before capacity expansion projects. A regional congestion pricing study (described in Section 8.2.3.2) will help us better understand different ways that congestion pricing could be implemented, and potential impacts of implementation. This policy may be updated post-RTP adoption, pending completion of pricing-related studies identified in Chapter 8 of the RTP and future Metro Council and JPACT policy direction.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
54	RTP Chapter 3	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Page 3-55, fifth paragraph - Revise the following language as follows: "change 'demonstrate that' to 'examine whether'; change 'cannot' to 'can'.	This policy is consistent with existing RTP policy and the region's federally-required congestion management process (CMP). The CMP directs the region to consider system and demand management, multi-modal connectivity, expanded transit service and other strategies prior to adding capacity. Local governments must demonstrate they have considered these strategies as required in the Regional Transportation Functional Plan, Section 3.08.220 (A)6 prior to adding motor vehicle capacity.
55	RTP Chapter 3	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to develop a strategy to support tying together growing congestion, value pricing and investment priorities.	Comment noted. The scope of a potential regional pricing study will be developed separately from the RTP. We look forward to working with you on development of the scope of work.
56	RTP Chapter 3	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	On page 3-64 (Regional Motor Vehicle Network map), the map should accurately reflect phase 2 of the Sunrise project extended out to 172nd Ave.	Amend as requested as follows: -show existing Sunrise Project (I-205 to 122nd) as throughway (solid red line). - show Phase 2 Sunrise Project (122nd to 172nd) as future throughway (dashed red line). - downgrade OR 212 to a minor arterial east of OR 212/224 junction. - downgrade OR 212 to a major arterial between I-205 and OR 224.  This recommendation was developed in coordination with and is supported by ODOT and Clackamas County staff.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
57	RTP Chapter 3	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	On page 3-105, more clarity is needed on actions that need to be taken to make "Policy 1: Make bicycling the most convenient, safe and enjoyable transportation choices for short trips less than three miles" achievable.	Amend the language to add the following, " <u>Actions to implement this policy can be found in Chapter 12 of the 2014 Regional Active Transportation Plan.</u> "  The actions to implement each of the bicycle network policies are identified in Chapter 12 of the 2014 Regional Active Transportation Plan. There are eight specific actions to implement Policy 1.
58	RTP Chapter 3	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	On page 3-117, more clarity is needed on actions that need to be taken to make "Policy 1: Make walking the most convenient, safe and enjoyable transportation choices for short trips less than one mile" achievable.	Amend the language to add the following, " <u>Actions to implement this policy can be found in Chapter 12 of the 2014 Regional Active Transportation Plan.</u> "  The actions to implement each of the bicycle network policies are identified in Chapter 12 of the 2014 Regional Active Transportation Plan. There are eight specific actions to implement Policy 1.
59	RTP Chapter 3	Valencia	Joanna	Multnomah County	8/13/2018	Letter	(section 3.3.2) No recommended change suggested. Comment asks for clarification on flexibility of apply/implementing these designs especially in situations where areas in the region differ and application/implementation may be different for one areas over the other.	No change needed. The policies and classifications described in this section are flexible, describing how different design elements can be integrated depending on available right-of-way, the multi-modal functions of the facility and 2040 land use component(s) the facility serves. Metro's Livable Streets design best practices will provide guidance and information to inform potential tradeoffs when balancing these and other needs such as improving safety and minimizing natural resource impacts.
60	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-12 - Safety Policy 9) Delete the second sentence of the second paragraph as follows: "This policy specifies that safety data, analytical tools and metrics must be part of the evaluation when defining the adequacy of capacity on the transportation system. <b>To design and operate safe roadways, there is a need to evaluate the impacts of increased capacity to safety.</b> "	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
61	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-34 - Emerging Technology policies) Recommendation to revise the policies to incorporate other emerging technologies that will affect our transportation system (emerging tech and TSMO policies should be looked at together).	No change recommended. TSMO and the emerging technology strategy deal with two distinct types of technology. The TSMO program focuses on technologies that public agencies use to better manage the existing transportation system; the ETS focuses on technologies deployed primarily by the private sector that are connecting people to new travel options. The emerging technology and TSMO policies were considered side-by-side when drafting the RTP; in general the TSMO program's focus on improving data connectivity and collection throughout the transportation system support's the ETS' policy to "Use the best data available to empower people to make travel choices and to plan and manage the transportation system." Metro is planning an update to the TSMO strategic plan for 2019 that will more comprehensively align the TSMO program with the ETS and consider both policy changes and technology projects that lay a groundwork for better management of both the current transportation system and emerging technologies like shared mobility and autonomous vehicles. The implementation actions in the Emerging Technology Strategy and chapter 8 of the RTP call for Metro to "update the RTO and TSMO program guidelines to better support emerging technology projects" within the six months following RTP adoption (ETS, p. 36).

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
62	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-53) Recommendation to reword Regional Motor Vehicle Policy 3 to remove "preserve" and insert "increase", having the new policy say: " <u>Increase</u> <b>Preserve</b> capacity on the region's throughway network for longer regional, inter-regional and interstate travel."	Amend Policy 3 as follows " <b>Preserve</b> <u>Actively manage and optimize</u> capacity on the region's throughway network for longer regional, inter-regional and interstate travel." As noted in the comment, the analysis shows congestion remains on the region's throughway network. This policy is aimed at prioritizing managing/optimizing existing and new throughway capacity for longer trips, consistent with the region's federally-required congestion management process (CMP). The CMP directs the region to consider system and demand management, multi-modal connectivity, expanded transit service and other strategies prior to adding capacity.
63	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to reword Regional Motor Vehicle Policy 9 as follows: "Minimize environmental impacts of <u>new or improved</u> <b>the motor vehicle network facilities using green-street infrastructure design and other approaches.</b> "  Washington County's high water table makes impervious surfaces impracticable for most of the county. The updated green street designs may address this but until the update is complete the language should provide flexibility on how to achieve the desired outcome.	Amend as follows, "Minimize environmental impacts of <u>new or improved</u> <b>the motor vehicle network facilities</b> using green street infrastructure design and other approaches <u>to the extent practicable.</u> "  Metro recognizes the challenges of implementing green street designs in many parts of the region. Clean Water Services have developed low impact development practices, including green street approaches, to address stormwater runoff in the Tualatin River basin in Washington County.
64	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to reword Regional Motor Vehicle Policy 11 to say: "Incorporate complete street <b>and green-street</b> design <b>that prioritize</b> <u>for</u> safe and convenient pedestrian and bicycle access for regional and local roadways."	Amend as requested. Green street designs are addressed in Motor Vehicle Policy 9.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
65	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to remove mention of 'value pricing' in Regional Motor Vehicle Policy 12, as follows: "Prior to adding new motor vehicle capacity beyond the planned system of motor vehicle through lanes, demonstrate that system and demand management strategies, including access management, transit and freight priority, <u>value pricing</u> , transit service and multimodal connectivity improvements cannot adequately address arterial or throughway deficiencies and bottlenecks."	Amend as follows, "Prior to adding new <b>motor vehicle</b> <u>throughway</u> capacity beyond the planned system of <b>motor vehicle</b> through lanes, demonstrate that system and demand management strategies, including access management, transit and freight priority, <u>value congestion</u> pricing, transit service and multimodal connectivity improvements cannot adequately address <b>arterial or</b> throughway deficiencies and bottlenecks."  This policy is consistent with existing RTP policy and the region's federally-required congestion management process (CMP). The CMP directs the region to consider system and demand management, multi-modal connectivity, expanded transit service and other strategies prior to adding capacity.
66	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-63) The following recommendations are suggested for the Regional Motor Vehicle Network Map:  • The MPA area boundary and the UGB boundary are confusing. Recommend clarifying. • Where the UGB line follows a roadway is confusing. It appears to be designating the boundary roadway as both rural and urban. These are urban roadways and the UGB line on top of them is confusing. Please adjust the map to remove the ambiguity.	Amend as requested. Roads along the urban growth boundary will be designated as urban.
67	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-63) The following recommendations are suggested for the Regional Motor Vehicle Network Map: • Ensure that all roads inside the UGB that designated as regional are also designated as urban (might be best to remove the rural from the legend). Notable omissions in need of correction: o Highway 219 south of Hillsboro o Jackson School Road north of Evergreen Parkway o 185th Avenue north of West Union Road o Farmington Road west of 209th Avenue	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
68	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-63) The following recommendation is suggested for the Regional Motor Vehicle Network Map: • Ensure that all roads outside the UGB that are designated as regional are also designated as rural. • River Road is identified as urban outside the UGB.	Amend as requested.
69	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-63) The following recommendations are suggested for the Regional Motor Vehicle Network Map: • Century Boulevard between Evergreen Road and Baseline Road is shown as proposed; it is complete. • 124th Avenue between Tualatin-Sherwood Road and Grahams Ferry Road is shown as proposed; it is complete. • 198th Avenue between TV Highway and Farmington Road is shown as proposed; it is complete. • 229th Avenue is shown as proposed; it is complete.	Amend as requested. Roads that are completed will be shown as a solid line rather than dashed line.
70	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-63) The following recommendations are suggested for the Regional Motor Vehicle Network Map: • Basalt Creek Parkway between Grahams Ferry Road and Boones Ferry Road is shown as complete; it is not. • Cornelius Pass Road between TV Highway and Rosedale Road is shown as complete; it is not. Also the alignment shown does not match adopted plans. • 125th Avenue is shown as a complete between Hall Boulevard and Brockman Street; it is not complete.	Amend as requested. Proposed new roads that are not completed will be shown as a dashed line rather than a solid line. In addition the alignment of the Cornelius Pass Road will be updated to match adopted plans.
71	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-63) The following recommendation is suggested for the Regional Motor Vehicle Network Map: • 174th Avenue between West Union Road and Laidlaw Road is miscoded - Laidlaw Rd between West Union Road and 174th Avenue should be the minor arterial. 174th Avenue in this segment should not be on the regional network.	Amend as requested to shift minor arterial designation in this area to Laidlaw between West Union Road and 174th Avenue.
72	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-63) The following recommendation is suggested for the Regional Motor Vehicle Network Map: • 229th is incorrectly shown as a minor arterial.	No change recommended. 229th/Century Blvd envisioned as minor arterial north/south spine through City of Hillsboro from Rosedale Road to West Union Road.



## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
73	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-63) The following recommendation is suggested for the Regional Motor Vehicle Network Map: • Rosedale Road between 229th and 209th avenues is incorrectly shown as a minor arterial.	No change recommended. Rosedale Road is classified as minor arterial to reflect project 11911. To be in RTP project must be classified as minor arterial or above if it is not in a center or industrial area, and is not designated on the regional bike, pedestrian, freight or transit networks.
74	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-63) The following recommendation is suggested for the Regional Motor Vehicle Network Map: • Brookwood Parkway south of TV Highway is incorrectly shown as a minor arterial.	No change recommended. This designation is consistent with the function of this facility and project included in the RTP. This recommendation has been coordinated with and is supported by City of Hillsboro and Washington County staff.
75	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-63) The following recommendation is suggested for the Regional Motor Vehicle Network Map: • The proposed collectors in South Cooper Mountain are incorrectly shown as minor arterials.	No change recommended. Barrows Road and S.Cooper Mountain Road are classified as minor arterials to reflect projects 11892 and 11893. To be in RTP these projects must be classified as minor arterials or above if they are not in a center or industrial area and are not designated on the regional bike, pedestrian, freight or transit networks.
76	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-63) The following recommendation is suggested for the Regional Motor Vehicle Network Map: • 160th Avenue between TV Highway and Farmington Road is incorrectly shown as a minor arterial.	No change recommended. 160th Avenue is classified as minor arterial to reflect project 11911. To be in RTP project must be classified as minor arterial or above ifn it is not in a center or industrial area, and is not designated on the regional bike, pedestrian, freight or transit networks.
77	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-71) The following recommendations are suggested for the Regional Transit Network Map: • Add community connectors in Sherwood • PDX inset – remove stops and only show TC to be consistent with rest of map • Frequent service should overlay local service on map	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
78	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-71) The following recommendations are suggested for the Regional Transit Network Map: • Washington County should have more enhanced transit corridors shown: 185th Avenue, Cornell Road/Barnes Road, OR 99W, Hall Boulevard and Beaverton-Hillsdale Highway	Amend the Regional Transit Map to include the following ETC corridors: 185th, Cornell Road/Barnes Road, OR 99W, Hall Boulevard and Beaverton-Hillsdale Highway, reflecting Enhanced Transit Concept projects identified in the 2018 RTP project list or that were modeled in the RTP system analysis.  Metro and TriMet have worked with partners around the region to identify locations along the frequent transit service and streetcar lines with high ridership that are currently experiencing delays and reliability issues. These locations were evaluated through a series of workshops to identify potential ETC treatments that could be implemented by local jurisdictions, as part of the Regional Enhanced Transit Concept (ETC) Pilot Program. Metro and TriMet welcome the opportunity to continue working with jurisdictions on corridors and spot locations experiencing delay and reliability issues going forward into the future.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
79	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	<p>(Page 3-77) Revise Transit Policy 3 as follows,</p> <p>Expand regional and local frequent service  <del>“Transit service improvements and expansion should be prioritized, with an emphasis on congested transit lines that serve historically marginalized communities. Key</del>  <u>considerations for investments in frequent service are ridership, productivity, and lines that provide historically marginalized communities access to jobs and other community places.</u> Decisions about transit investments should be assessed with an equity lens to ensure transit access for our most vulnerable communities.”</p> <p>The intent of the first sentence in the last paragraph is unclear with regards to the phrase “should be prioritized”, as it can be inferred that frequent service transit would be prioritized for investment over other transit, such as new routes in underserved areas. In addition, the “should” language sounds like a new policy, rather than an explanation of the policy statement at the beginning of the section. The focus should be on how frequent service investment is to be prioritized between existing transit routes, and not that frequent service should be prioritized over another type of service.</p>	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
80	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	<p>(Page 3-77) Revise Transit Policy 3 as follows,</p> <p>Improve local transit            "Providing <b>local bus service</b> <u>community and job connector shuttles</u> increases the convenience of transit, particularly for areas without frequent service transit or where traditional transit service is not viable. <u>Community and job connector shuttles</u> <b>Local transit service</b> also expands <u>the reach of transit</u> <b>community and regional transit service</b> across the region, <u>which</u> <b>that</b> improves access to jobs and community places and can help facilitate <b>that</b> first/last mile connections where business and or homes are spread out and regional fixed-route bus service is not cost effective."</p> <p>The third paragraph on this page seems more appropriate to discussing community connector shuttles. First sentence of this paragraph is also redundant with first sentence of previous paragraph.</p>	Amend as requested.
81	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	<p>(Page 3-80), revise as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- add definition or citation for the "Equitable Development Framework" that is cited</li> <li>- The last sentence in the second paragraph is unclear and needs revision: "Where possible HCT, projects should..."</li> </ul>	Amend as requested to add text that states, "The framework will vary for each project and should be developed at the time an HCT project is being considered through planning, engineering and construction. Last sentence should be revised as follows, "Where possible, HCT projects should..."

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
82	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-81) Transit Policy 5, add language that details additional assessment is needed to identify potential demand for commuter rail and intercity transit to communities outside of the region.  Intercity passenger rail and bus service to communities outside of the region provides an important connection to the regional transit network. A high level assessment of potential demand for commuter rail outside of the Portland urban growth boundary was conducted as part of the 2009 High Capacity Transit System Plan.	Amend as requested to add text from the 2014 RTP that states, " <u>More recently, the Oregon Department of Transportation completed its analysis for improved passenger rail service between Eugene-Springfield and Portland – a 125 mile segment of the federally-designated Pacific Northwest Rail Corridor. The results of the study are documented in a Tier 1 Draft Environmental Impact Statement currently under review by the Federal Railroad Administration. Information in the DEIS includes the general rail alignment, communities where stations would be located and service characteristics, such as the number of daily trips, travel time objectives and recommended technologies. In addition, ODOT is looking at ways to improve future commuter rail needs through an update of the Oregon State Rail Plan. More work is needed to determine what partnerships, infrastructure investments and finance strategies are needed to support improved intercity passenger service to communities outside the region.</u> "
83	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-84) Change Figure 3.25 (Regional Transit Access Priorities) to reflect a suite of travel options for accessing transit rather than as a hierarchy/prioritization.	No change recommended. First developed for the 2010 RTP transit policies, the graphic reflects the region's priority to provide improve walking and biking access to transit.
84	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-87) Remove "emerging" from Transit Policy 7, so the new policy states: "Use <b><del>emerging</del></b> technologies to provide better, more efficient transit service, including focusing on meeting the needs of people for whom conventional transit is not an option."  Recommendation to revise the first paragraph of page 3-87 to generally say it is the region's approach to be proactive, supportive of and seek to integrate technological advances in transportation and mobility services that are supportive of and leverages the use of transit.	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
85	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-88) Revise to have the description of the SMART fareless program as a callout box.	Amend as requested.
86	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-115) Revise the third bullet to reflect requirements in Section 3.08.130 of the Regional Transportation Functional Plan as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Provision for safe crossing of streets</u> <b>Safe pedestrian crossings of busy streets</b> and controlled pedestrian crossings of major arterials <b>provided at regular intervals following regional connectivity standards (street crossings spaced no more than 530 feet apart—an ideal spacing is 200 to 400 feet where possible (unless there are no intersections, bus stops or other pedestrian attractions)).</b></li> </ul> <p>RTP Section 3.08.130 Pedestrian System Design, Sub-Section C requires: Provision for safe crossing of streets and controlled pedestrian crossings of major arterials.</p>	Amend as requested to make the reference in RTP consistent with the functional plan.
87	RTP Chapter 3	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 3-119) Recommendation to reword the third paragraph, as follows: " <b>The experience of people walking and pedestrian</b> <u>Pedestrian</u> access along transit-mixed use corridors is improved with features such as wide sidewalks with buffering from adjacent motor vehicle traffic. <u>Pedestrian access to transit may include the provision for safe crossings of streets and controlled pedestrian crossings of major arterials, street crossings spaced no more than 530 feet apart—an ideal spacing is 200 to 400 feet where possible (unless there are no intersections, bus stops or other pedestrian attractions), special crossing elements at some locations, special lighting, benches, bus shelters, awnings and street trees.</u> "	Amend as follows, " <b>The experience of people walking and pedestrian</b> <u>Pedestrian</u> access along transit-mixed use corridors is improved with features such as wide sidewalks, <u>reasonably spaced marked crossings and</u> <b>with</b> buffering from adjacent motor vehicle traffic."
							The language is copied from the RTP, by doing so the connection between RTP and RTP is strengthened.	

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
88	RTP Chapter 3	Hagar	Jim	Port of Vancouver	8/16/2018	Email	(Page 3-79) Recommendation to add possible passenger ferry service to list as a potential future transit type	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.
89	RTP Chapter 3	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	Page 3-46, fourth paragraph: Revise as follows, "Impervious surfaces have been linked to <u>flooding and changes in hydrology</u> , the shape of streams, water quality, water temperature...".  Page 3-47, second paragraph: Add "minimize". Additionally, recommendation to use consistent case on Metro handbook titles.	Amend as requested.
90	RTP Chapter 3	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	Page 3-111, photo: Use a photo of the South Waterfront Greenway Trail in Portland to more closely reflect the caption.  Page 3-111, fourth paragraph, last sentence: Recommendation to revise sentence to say "In <u>the highest</u> use areas, regional...."	Amend as requested.
91	RTP Chapter 3	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	Page 3-117, policies: State that walking is an important form of exercise and is the most popular recreational activity.  "Oregon's 2017 Statewide Outdoor Recreation Survey shows that 83% of Oregonians walk on local streets and sidewalks for recreation, making this the most popular recreational activity in the state."	Amend as requested.
92	RTP Chapter 3	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	Page 3-121, Pedestrian Network Map: Reclassify the Willamette River Greenway in northwest and southwest Portland (including Tom McCall Waterfront Park) as a Pedestrian Parkway, not a Regional Pedestrian Corridor.  "The multi-use path carries more pedestrian trips than any other facility in the region."	Amend as requested.



## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
93	RTP Chapter 3	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	General comment for bridges and culverts:  "when a new structure is built (or an existing one modified) that could damage important wildlife habitat or impede wildlife movement, crossings of all types should be designed appropriately to allow for fish, wildlife, and sometimes people movement at all water levels."	Amend Section 3.3.4 as follows, " <u>When a new structure is built (or an existing one modified) that could damage important wildlife habitat or impede wildlife movement, crossings of all types should be designed appropriately to allow for fish, wildlife, and sometimes people movement at all water levels.</u> "
94	RTP Chapter 3	Kirzakhilili	Ali	ODEQ	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation to revise language on page 3-31 to say: "There are also over <u>18,000</u> <b>100,000</b> electric vehicles registered in the state, with the majority located in the Portland region."	Amend as requested.
95	RTP Chapter 3	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation to revise TSMO Policy 1 on page 3-126 to say: "Expand use of pricing strategies to manage travel demand on the transportation system <u>in combination with an adequate transit alternative.</u> "	Amend as follows, "Expand use of pricing strategies to manage travel demand on the transportation system <u>in combination with adequate transit service options.</u> "
96	RTP Chapter 3	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation to revise the second paragraph on Page 3-126 to say: "...or times of day for their travels. <u>Successful implementation of pricing often includes improved transit service.</u> Reducing discretionary..." and provide additional information about ODOT's value pricing study and next steps.	Amend as requested.
97	RTP Chapter 3	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation to incorporate pricing into the "Overarching System Policies" section (3.2, Page 3-4).	No change recommended. Pricing is currently addressed in the TSMO and Motor Vehicle Network policies.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
98	RTP Chapter 3	Labbe	Ted	Urban Greenspaces Institute	8/21/2018	Letter	A more thoughtful integration of green infrastructure with the RTP would address needed improvements in fish and wildlife habitat connectivity, water and air quality, climate change mitigation, flood and drought resiliency, livability and human health. The environmental effects of transportation infrastructure deserves special consideration and future investments should elevate efforts to reconnect fragmented aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems and natural flows.	<p>Amend Chapter 3 of the RTP as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- add a discussion of how existing natural resources inventory data can be used to improve and refine project prioritization and design to improve habitat connectivity, remedy barriers from existing and proposed transportation infrastructure and restore ecological processes (runoff, flooding, etc.)</li> </ul> <p>Amend Chapter 8, Section 8.2.2.8 of the RTP to add the following language, "<u>Metro staff will work with cities, counties, ODOT, TriMet, the Audubon Society of Portland, Metro Parks and Natural Areas department, members of the Regional Conservation Strategy working group, the Street Trust, Oregon Walks and other interested parties to review and update the design policy section of the RTP prior to completion of the next RTP update (due in 2023). The focus of this work will be to reflect updates to the regional design guidelines that will be finalized in 2019 and to better integrate green infrastructure and natural resource protection. This work will result in a set of recommended design policies for consideration by JPACT, MPAC and the Metro Council prior to inclusion in the RTP.</u></p> <p>Amend Appendix F to add a table "Potential Mitigation Strategies by Resource Areas" and the following text: <u>The transportation system planning process provides an opportunity to identify natural resources that could be affected by proposed projects and warrant special consideration during the more detailed project development process. While specific project designs and mitigation strategies are identified during the project development process, it is useful to identify potential impacts during the transportation system planning process to better scope project costs and to provide a general understanding of the overall potential impacts of projects in the plan on natural resources.</u></p>

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
---	---------------------------	--------------	---------------	-------------	------	--------	--	---

The potential mitigation strategies are drawn from various federal, state, regional and local resources including the habitat-friendly development practices identified in Title 3 and Title 13 of the Metro Urban Growth Management Functional Plan, Metro's design handbooks, including *Green streets: Innovative solutions for stormwater and stream crossings*, *Trees for green streets: An illustrated guide*, *Wildlife crossings: Providing safe passage for urban wildlife* and *Green Trails: Best practices for Environmentally friendly trails*, and the Clean Water Services *Low Impact Development Approaches Handbook*.

Specific mitigation strategies are developed as part of the environmental review and permitting process during project development activities. These strategies may be established in consultation with relevant federal, state and local agencies as well as interested parties responsible for, and interested in, environmental stewardship. Identification of potential transportation impacts during project development is done using Title 3 and Title 13 resource inventory data as a baseline, with acknowledgement that this data may be complemented with more current, jurisdictionally-adopted inventory data.

The project-level environmental review and permitting process is a separate and more detailed process than what is required for the RTP. This is because many regionally significant projects identified in the RTP are conceptual in nature, with exact alignment, design, and other project scope elements to be determined in the project development process. Further, for many projects, this process may not occur for years, or even decades. The specific types of environmental mitigation activities implemented are ultimately determined by the governing regulatory authority and are dependent upon the resource being impacted and the severity of that impact.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
								<p><u>Table 4 identifies resource areas that should be considered during the planning process to identify potential natural resource impacts as well as potential strategies to be considered during the project development phase. Table 4, provided at the end of this section, summarizes this information.</u></p> <p>Table of Potential Mitigation Strategies by Resource Area to be inserted here.</p> <p>See also recommendations in Comments #1, #2, #102, #147, #148 and #149.</p>
99	RTP Chapter 3	Labbe	Ted	Urban Greenspaces Institute	8/21/2018	Letter	In lieu of lists of specific locations to optimize wildlife/habitat connectivity along these travel corridors, UGI requests that this RTP adopt a policy of providing at least one fully connected/ improved wildlife/habitat corridor every 1-2 miles for throughways. This approach would parallel the conceptual spacing of throughways and major arterials proposed on page 119. As travel speeds are higher on throughways, there is a greater need for wildlife habitat connectivity considerations within these corridors for the benefit of both wildlife and public safety.	No change recommended. This is beyond the scope of the current RTP update. When specific wildlife corridors are mapped and prioritized by the Interwine Alliance regional habitat connectivity work group, this information could be used to inform future RTP updates. The UGI and Intertwine Alliance are encouraged to engage transportation planners and engineers in this work given the desire for it to be used in planning and design of transportation projects in the region. Metro staff are happy to coordinate a briefing to TPAC and other committees as appropriate in support of this work.
100	RTP Chapter 3	Labbe	Ted	Urban Greenspaces Institute	8/21/2018	Letter	<p>Include other green infrastructure mitigation tools in the list of Motor Vehicle Policy 9 measures as follows:</p> <p>Policy 9 - Minimize environmental impacts of the motor vehicle network using Green Street infrastructure design, <u>street trees</u>, <u>wildlife habitat or waterway crossing improvements</u>, and other approaches.</p>	Amend as follows, "Minimize environmental impacts of <u>new or improved</u> <del>the motor vehicle network facilities</del> using green street infrastructure design, <u>street trees</u> , <u>wildlife habitat or waterway crossing improvements</u> and other approaches <u>to the extent practicable</u> ."

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
101	RTP Chapter 3	Labbe	Ted	Urban Greenspaces Institute	8/21/2018	Letter	The Interim Regional Mobility Policy and measures (Section 3.5.3) are inadequate and incomplete because they only measure automobile traffic congestion. UGI requests that Metro and its regional transportation partners develop more robust and meaningful measures that reflect other travel modes and choices, as well as financial, environmental and community impacts.	No change recommended. Section 8.2.3.1 defines future work to update this policy and related measures. We look forward to working with you on development of the scope of work for the Regional Mobility Policy Update in collaboration with ODOT. The success of the policy update will hinge on having a variety of interests weigh in and we welcome the Urban Greenspaces Institute's participation and perspective. Metro and ODOT will engage TPAC, JPACT and other interested stakeholders in development of the scope of work (and desired outcomes) beginning in early 2019, after adoption of the 2018 RTP. The agreed upon scope of work and budget will also be reflected in the 2019-20 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP).
102	RTP Chapter 3	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland, Bev Drott and 135 community supporters	7/31/2018 and various dates	Letters and emails	Provide more specificity and policy detail for how green infrastructure will be addressed through the RTP, specifically section 3.3.4.  "Although mentioned in general terms in Section 3.3.4, the plan lacks any sort of specificity about how green infrastructure will actually be addressed through the RTP...from our perspective, it is critical that green infrastructure receive the same level of policy detail and specificity in the RTP as is afforded to issues such as freight, bikes, active transportation, climate change, emerging technologies, safety and equity (see chapter 3 of the RTP)."	Amend Chapter 3 of the RTP as follows: - add a new table in Section 3.3.4 summarizing examples of how green infrastructure can help achieve the RTP goals  - expand Section 3.3.4 to summarize potential impacts of transportation on environmental, cultural and historic resources and potential strategies to avoid, minimize or mitigate potential impacts consistent with the variety of applicable federal, state and local requirements, and add reference to Appendix F to find more information on potential mitigation strategies by resource area

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
103	RTP Chapter 3	Hagerbaumer	Chris	Oregon Environmental Council	8/11/2018	Letter	Amend policy 6 on page 3-53 as follows: "In combination with increased transit service, <b>consider</b> use of value pricing to manage congestion <b>and raise revenue when one or more lanes are being added to throughways.</b> "	No change recommended. Congestion pricing may be applied to both manage demand and raise revenue. Chapter 8 of the plan (Section 8.2.3.2) identifies future planning work to evaluate the potential role of congestion pricing in the region, the potential importance and role of transit service and the mutual benefits congestion pricing and expanded transit service can bring. The study will provide policy guidance as to how to most effectively implement pricing to reduce congestion and improve the overall function of the transportation system.
		Labbe	Ted	Urban Greenspaces Institute	8/21/18		Request that TSMO policy 1 on page 3-126 also reflect the proposed amendment of policy 6 on pg. 3-53: "In combination with increased transit service, use value pricing to manage congestion."  "In other words, value pricing is about managing demand, not raising revenue, and it can and should be applied on existing roadways, not just when new capacity is added."	

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
104	RTP Chapter 3 Chapter 4 Appendix F	MacDonald	Anne	Clean Water Services	8/20/2018	Letter	The RTP is an opportunity to highlight the interrelationship between transportation, land use and stormwater planning. "With respect to runoff quality, recent research by the National Marine Fisheries Service and Washington State University points to the high aquatic toxicity of runoff from roadway surfaces. This toxicity is directly proportional to traffic volumes. Stormwater facilities that are vegetated and contain compost-amended soils represent the only currently effective treatment options to address these often unidentified toxic compounds. Such facilities are also required to be prioritized in current NPDES municipal stormwater permits across the region. With respect to runoff quantity, development in the region at increasing density results in less pervious surface available to absorb the combined runoff volumes from transportation surfaces, structures and associated impervious area. Runoff volumes of winter peak flows can more than double from predeveloped conditions in the face of urban development, with associated flow reductions in summer. Climate change is expected to reinforce this pattern. Higher runoff volumes result in channel erosion, aquatic and floodplain habitat degradation, and damage to infrastructure (including transportation infrastructure such as bridges and culverts). Low summer flows reduce the vigor of vegetation that helps stabilize streambanks. Yet more than half of the region, including nearly all of the area west of the Willamette River, has subsurface conditions that do not promote easy infiltration of large volumes of urban runoff."	Amend Chapter 3, Chapter 4 and Appendix F to highlight these challenges.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
105	RTP Chapter 3	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland	7/31/2018	Letter	<p>Amend the RTP to recognize the importance of natural resource protection and enhancement as part of a holistic climate change mitigation and adaptation strategy. Specifically, the plan should acknowledge:</p> <p>"- The importance of avoiding transportation related development in high hazard areas such as floodplains and steep slopes which are likely to see increased risk in the face of climate change.  - The role of natural areas, urban tree canopy, and other green infrastructure in carbon sequestration.  - The role of natural areas, urban tree canopy, and other green infrastructure in addressing the impacts of climate change such as urban heat island effects, increased flooding, etc."</p>	<p>Amend RTP Chapter 3 (Climate Leadership Policies) and Chapter 4 (section 4.6) to recognize the importance of protecting natural and enhancing natural resources in adapting to and mitigating for the impacts of climate change.as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>The importance of avoiding transportation-related development in high hazard areas such as floodplains and steep slopes, which are likely to see increased risk of natural hazards in the face of climate change.</u></li> <li>• <u>The role of natural areas, especially the urban tree canopy and other green infrastructure, in slowing carbon emissions from paved streets and parking lots and carbon sequestration.</u></li> <li>• <u>The role of natural areas, urban tree canopy, and other green infrastructure in addressing the impacts of climate change, such as urban heat island effects and increased flooding.</u></li> </ul>



## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
106	RTP Chapter 4	Banks	Rachael	Multnomah County Public Health	7/25/2018	Letter	(Page 4-32) Recommendation to consider the following revisions: "Low-income neighborhoods, tribal populations and communities of color that live in urban areas <b>are may be</b> disproportionately exposed to air pollution, which is a barrier to economic opportunity and security. <u>For example, in Multnomah County, African American neighborhoods are exposed to diesel particulate at concentrations 3 times those found in white neighborhoods.</u> "	Amend page 4-32 as follows, "Low-income neighborhoods, tribal populations and communities of color that live in urban areas <b>are may be</b> disproportionately exposed to air pollution, which is a barrier to economic opportunity and security. <u>For example, As part of the Portland Air Toxics Solutions Study, DEQ used its modeling estimates to conduct an environmental justice analysis of air toxics impacts. The DEQ analysis demonstrated that disproportionate impacts from air toxics do occur for people of color and low-income populations in the greater Portland region, and that different populations are affected by different types of emission sources. In general, DEQ found that the Hispanic/Latino population experienced the highest impacts from residential wood combustion emissions, the Asian population from car and truck emissions, and the African American/Black population from commercial solvent and fuel use emissions. In addition, DEQ found that the general population (all races) living below the poverty level is disproportionately affected by toxic air pollution from cars and trucks.</u> "  The DEQ study report is already cited on page 4-32.
107	RTP Chapter 4	Banks	Rachael	Multnomah County Public Health	7/25/2018	Letter	(Section 4.7.3) Recommendation to add discussion of how the current transportation system is affecting air quality. Specific statement suggested to include is: " <u>According to the 2011 National Air Toxics Assessment, mobile-source air pollution is the largest contributor to cancer risk from air pollution in Multnomah County.</u> "	Amend as requested.
108	RTP Chapter 4	Hagerbaumer	Chris	Oregon Environmental Council	8/11/2018	Letter	Amend section 4.6 on pg. 4-32 to call out diesel exhaust specifically, not simply lumping it among other air toxics.  "Diesel exhaust is the most dangerous vehicular pollutant in the region."	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
109	RTP Chapter 4	Hagerbaumer	Chris	Oregon Environmental Council	8/11/2018	Letter	Amend sentence on pg. 4-32 to read: "Low-income neighborhoods, tribal populations and communities of color that live in urban areas <u>are</u> <b>may be</b> disproportionately exposed to air pollution, which is a barrier to economic opportunity and security."	Amend as requested.
		Banks	Rachael	Multnomah County Public Health			"A 2011 study of Portland air toxics...found that the entire Portland metro area experiences diesel pollution at concentrations above the state's health benchmark. But the study also found that the ten lowest income and ten highest minority census block groups experience more exposure to all sources of air toxics than the average census block group."	
110	RTP Chapter 4	Liden	Keith	Community members	8/12/2018	Email	Suggestion for Figure 4.22 on pg. 4-22 to be expanded to show the relative costs for all modes.	No change recommended. Figure 4.22 shows the operational costs of a transit ride by different transit modes, illustrating that light rail has the lowest operational costs per ride. This explanation will be added to Chapter 4. Figure 4.21 shows the average annual transportation costs by mode, illustrating the pedestrian and bicycle trips have the lowest costs to users. As part of the Regional Active Transportation Program, Metro staff will work on developing a more nuanced analysis that illustrates both costs and benefits for different modes.
111	RTP Chapter 4	Hagar	Jim	Port of Vancouver	8/16/2018	Email	(Page 4-43) Recommendation to include in section 4.2.1.3 some mention related to transit using a ferry service on the river.	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.
							"While not currently in place, a system such as this could improve transit while using an underutilized waterway."	Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
112	RTP Chapter 4	MacDonald	Anne	Clean Water Services	8/20/2018	Letter	Section 4.6: Recommendation to include strategies to protect water quality.  "Water quality is not addressed directly in the Regional Conservation Strategy (RCS) mapping. Protecting water quality upstream of high value ecosystems, rather than just protecting riparian corridors within high value ecosystems, should be explicitly acknowledged as a need related to implementing the RCS."	Amend as requested.
113	RTP Chapter 4	MacDonald	Anne	Clean Water Services	8/20/2018	Letter	Section 4.7.3: Recommendation to elaborate on what will happen to extreme weather events, and how hydrology, water supply, and streamflows might change. Recent studies of rainfall patterns expected under changing climate for King County, Wa. suggests not only will there be more extreme weather events, but that frequent events will become more intense.	Amend as requested.
114	RTP Chapter 4	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	Page 4-33, RCS footnote: Add link to RCS footnote: <a href="http://www.regionalconservationstrategy.org">www.regionalconservationstrategy.org</a> .	Amend as requested.
115	RTP Chapter 4	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	Page 4-33, fifth paragraph, last sentence: Use "avoid/minimize/mitigate" consistently throughout plan.  Recommendation to be consistent throughout the document, where appropriate, with "avoid, minimize, mitigate" language. Comment points to Motor Vehicle Network Policy 9 as an example.	Amend as requested. In addition, amend Sections 8.2.4.1, 8.2.4.3, 8.2.4.4, 8.2.4.5, 8.2.4.6 (corridor refinement plans) to include the following intent: "Avoid, minimize or mitigate negative impacts on the natural environment."
116	RTP Chapter 4	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	Page 4-34, just below figure: Recommendation to clarify or specify a particular program in the sentence that says "..."conduct[s] ongoing performance monitoring of habitat and watershed health..."  Page 4-34, next paragraph: Additionally, recommendation to put all guidebooks in one location on-line, including the Wildlife Crossing Guidebook and provide links. Additional suggestion is to refer to Appendix F in this paragraph.	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
117	RTP Chapter 4	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	Page 4-55, third sentence: Recommendation to revise sentence to say "Regional trails <u>are</u> challenging to build..."	Amend as requested.
118	RTP Chapter 4	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	Page 4-56, Figure 4.48: Request for Parks and Nature staff to review the map of existing regional trail network gaps once ready for publication.	Comment noted. Metro Parks and Nature staff will have an opportunity to review the final map prior to publication.
119	RTP Chapter 4	Labbe	Ted	Urban Greenspaces Institute	8/21/2018	Letter	Finally, it is useful to see the RTP authors in section 4.6 acknowledge that: "Future work by Metro and partners could include an inventory of culverts in the region that need repair or replacement to accommodate endangered or threatened fish species." Road crossing inventories for fish passage have been updated in recent years by the City of Portland and Multnomah County, but other road jurisdictions have incomplete or outdated inventories. UGI suggests that development of a comprehensive inventory of fish and wildlife barriers created by the transportation network should be a priority for funding within the RTP as a first step towards identifying priority corrections and mitigating strategies for future RTP projects.	No change recommended. Unfortunately, due to limited resources, the 2002 regional culvert inventory has not been updated and resources have not been identified to complete a comprehensive update.
120	RTP Chapter 5	Hagerbaumer	Chris	Oregon Environmental Council	8/11/2018	Letter	No amendment requested. General comment on statement on pg. 5-2, articulating there is no reason employer payroll tax could not be increased in the future if corporate profits continue to increase.  The current statement says: "[T]he region's demand for frequent and reliable transit service exceeds the capacity of local payroll tax to support it."	Comment noted. No change recommended.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
121	RTP Chapter 5	Hagerbaumer	Chris	Oregon Environmental Council	8/11/2018	Letter	Suggestion that elected leaders increase road user fees and establish additional funding mechanism - fees on impervious surfaces.  "It is clear that it will be difficult to meet Objective 10.2 Sustainable Funding. However, Table 4-32 on page 4-36 demonstrates that Oregon auto taxes and fees are the lowest in the nation...such jurisdictions as Kitsap County, Spokane and Yakima in Washington State apply such [impervious surfaces] fees."	No change recommended. The current language does not put forward specific fee proposals, but instead acknowledges existing ones and their rates as compared to other states.
122	RTP Chapter 5	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to address the "available funding" vs. "funding needed to satisfy all our future needs" gap in the next RTP update.	Amend Chapter 5 to more clearly describe the gap in funding needed to more fully meet the region's transportation needs.
123	RTP Chapter 5	Valencia	Joanna	Multnomah County	8/13/2018	Letter	No recommendation suggested. Comment states: "This chapter doesn't show revenues or expenditures by County or jurisdiction. Multnomah County is fine with this approach."	Comment noted. No change needed.
124	RTP Chapter 5	Tupica	Rachael	FHWA	8/21/2018	Consultation meeting	A table showing a clear demonstration of financial constraint is needed, comparing revenues to commitments. It's best to break the table down into FHWA funding and FTA funding (or have two different tables), and also break down the analysis into at least two different year ranges.	Amend as requested.
125	RTP Chapter 6	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to add figures (to complement sub-regional investment figures 6.3-6.13) to show ODOT and TriMet/SMART investments region wide in highways, transit capital and service extension projects.	Amend as requested, with a note that these investments often include facilities for other modes, such as active transportation.
126	RTP Chapter 6	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	No recommendation suggested. Wants to confirm that the transit capital dot on Figure 6.5 in West Linn is accurate and confirm that the throughway project on Map Figure 6.15 near Linwood and Monroe is correct. Numbering of the figures through chapter 6 does not seem correct.	Correct figure numbering throughout document and confirm map accuracy for the two referenced projects.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
127	RTP Chapter 6	Valencia	Joanna	Multnomah County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to clarify sections that summarize the counties' and City of Portland's constrained projects.  The sections that summarize the Counties' and Portland constrained projects are a little confusing since they summarize what is on the following page in a location that looks like it should be a footnote to the graphic on that page. The graphic indicates that a majority of the Multnomah County constrained project funding is going to Roads and Bridges. This is in large part due to the County's six Willamette River Bridges.	Amend as requested.
128	RTP Chapter 6	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/16/2018	Letter	Page 6-28, fifth paragraph, first sentence states that active transportation projects comprise 40% of all the RTP Constrained list. Recommendation to clarify if this means 40% of the number of project or 40% of the total costs.	Amend as requested.
129	RTP Chapter 7	Charles	John	Cascade Policy Institute	8/13/2018	Email	Comment that the transit forecasts are unrealistic based on current ridership trends.	No change recommended. The computer models used for the transit ridership projections were calibrated to within +/- 5% of actual system data provided from TriMet, well within accepted industry standards. The models are developed from observed data collected via periodic travel surveys, validated against independently collected ground counts, and then are applied over the long-run. Metro's Research Center Department continually monitors emerging trends and adjusts its models accordingly to ensure that they are reflective of current conditions.
130	RTP Chapter 7	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to replace Tables 7.17 to 7.19 with charts that show up, down and level arrows to identify improvement, reduction or no change in access to jobs or access to community places for each of the modes and focus areas.	Amend as requested. In addition, amend Appendix E - 2018 RTP Transportation Equity Evaluation to include this information.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
131	RTP Chapter 7	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to include a more localized, sub-regional analysis of transit access as a part of the Regional Mobility Policy update to help identify needed strategic investments in both the throughway and the transit system.	No change recommended. We look forward to working with you on development of the scope of work for the Regional Mobility Policy Update in collaboration with ODOT. The success of the policy update will hinge on having a variety of interests weigh in and we welcome the County's participation and perspective. Metro and ODOT will engage TPAC, JPACT and other interested stakeholders in development of the scope of work (and desired outcomes) beginning in early 2019, after adoption of the 2018 RTP. The agreed upon scope of work and budget will also be reflected in the 2019-20 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP).
132	RTP Chapter 7	Prior	Garet	City of Tualatin	8/14/2018	Letter	"We highly value the RTP assessment methodology as an honest tool for determining progress (starting on page 7-7), but have the following questions or comments: - Recommend better highlighting differences between the advancement of equity and non-equity areas, as equity was not evaluated across all performance measures - Why is there not a target for public health measurement, especially related to equity?"	At this time, the tools used to help provide the public health measurement (ITHIM – for health outcomes, MOVES – for air quality) are only able to provide system-wide analysis and results. Therefore, disaggregation and looking more specifically at smaller level geographies (e.g. equity focus areas, corridors, etc.) is not possible at this time. In not having a tool which can disaggregate prevents being able to develop, inform, or recommend performance targets specific to health and air quality beyond those which may be system-wide in nature. Nonetheless, Metro would be open to considering health-related targets if sufficient tools, resources, and capacity are available in the future.  Through input from engagement with historically marginalized communities as well as a technical work group, certain priority evaluation measures were identified to assess with an equity specific focus. As a result not all measures were priority measures for conducting an assessment with an equity focus. Further information and detail regarding those measures which looked specifically at equity outcomes can be found in Appendix E – 2018 RTP Transportation Equity Evaluation.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
133	RTP Chapter 7	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/16/2018	Letter	Page 7-72, Description section: define high-value habitat - should be top 25%"	Amend as requested.
134	RTP Chapter 7	MacDonald	Anne	Clean Water Services	8/20/2018	Letter	Section 7.4.14: Recommendation to include strategies to protect water quality.  "Water quality is not addressed directly in the Regional Conservation Strategy (RCS) mapping. Protecting water quality upstream of high value ecosystems, rather than just protecting riparian corridors within high value ecosystems, should be explicitly acknowledged as a need related to implementing the RCS."	Amend as requested.
135	RTP Chapter 7	Rue	Jim	DLCD	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation that Metro provide more specific details on the actions that would be necessary to achieve the greenhouse gas reduction target.	Amend Chapter 7 and Appendix J to update greenhouse gas emissions reduction findings and related documentation, as follows: - correct the estimated per capita GHG emissions reduction - the reduction is 40% from 2015 levels (not 21%) - report that the 40% reduction is the result of the region meeting or exceeding most all Climate Smart Strategy monitoring targets, and, as a result, the region is deemed to be making satisfactory progress in implementing the Climate Smart Strategy and is reasonably expected to achieve the state targets to reduce per capita GHG emissions from light-duty vehicles by 20% by 2035 and 25% by 2040 - document fuel, fleet and technology factors and assumptions used to estimate emissions in the MOVES model - monitor future changes to fleet and technology assumptions in collaboration with DLCD, DOE, DEQ and ODOT  These actions will also be described in Appendix J.



## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
136	RTP Chapter 7	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	<p>Page 7-73, fourth paragraph: Recommendation to be consistent with avoid-minimize-mitigate language.</p> <p>"This paragraph is missing "minimize". If impacts cannot be avoided, they should be minimized and mitigated."</p> <p>Page 7-73, Table 7.33: Recommendation to clarify "2015 Base Year" and "No build"</p> <p>"...if it was not measured does it need to be included in this table? The RCS came out in 2012. If this is the first time high value habitat has been used as a measure, consider stating so in previous paragraph."</p>	Amend as requested.
137	RTP Chapter 7	Kirzakhalili	Ali	ODEQ	8/20/2018	Letter	<p>Recommendation to revise language in Section 7.4.2 Active transportation and transit mode share (page 7-22): "<u>System wide (within MPA boundary)</u> Plan does not meet target of tripling walking, biking and transit region wide (within the MPA between 2015 and <b><del>2014</del> 2040</b>."</p>	Amend as requested.
138	RTP Chapter 7	Kirzakhalili	Ali	ODEQ	8/20/2018	Letter	<p>Describe how Metro plans to address not meeting the target for increasing active transportation and transit mode share.</p> <p>"DEQ looks forward to working with Metro on identifying additional opportunities for increasing ridership, such as possibly refocusing investments."</p>	Comment noted. As noted in Chapter 5, the greater Portland region is falling behind in making the investments needed to achieve regional goals because of funding constraints. The region will continue to seek more dedicated funding for active transportation and transit projects to support achievement of mode share targets and other regional goals.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
139	RTP Chapter 7	Kirzakhali	Ali	ODEQ	8/20/2018	Letter	Page 7-67 Section 7.4.12 (Climate Change): DEQ supports the monitoring targets identified by the Climate Smart Strategy. DEQ also acknowledges that the current RTP, as planned, lacks funding necessary to meet Metro's greenhouse reduction targets and encourages Metro to work with partner agencies to find creative solutions to reduce GHGs.	Amend Chapter 7 and Appendix J to update greenhouse gas emissions reduction findings and related documentation, as follows: - correct the estimated per capita GHG emissions reduction - the reduction is 40% from 2015 levels (not 21%) - report that the 40% reduction is the result of the region meeting or exceeding most all Climate Smart Strategy monitoring targets, and, as a result, the region is deemed to be making satisfactory progress in implementing the Climate Smart Strategy and is reasonably expected to achieve the state targets to reduce per capita GHG emissions from light-duty vehicles by 20% by 2035 and 25% by 2040 - document fuel, fleet and technology factors and assumptions used to estimate emissions in the MOVES model - monitor future changes to fleet and technology assumptions in collaboration with DLCD, DOE, DEQ and ODOT  These actions will also be described in Appendix J.
140	RTP Chapter 7	Kirzakhali	Ali	ODEQ	8/20/2018	Letter	Page 7-69 Section 7.4.13 (Clean Air): While modeling shows that emissions of both nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds, the precursors to ground-level ozone, significantly decrease in the 2040 scenarios, recent history has shown that ambient levels of ozone are increasing and may continue to do so due to the impacts of climate change. This will take a coordinated and holistic effort to address. DEQ looks forward to planning opportunities when DEQ and Metro can partner to meet our shared goal of protecting the air we breathe.	Comment noted. No change recommended.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
141	RTP Chapter 7	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	Encourage Metro to provide ample opportunity for local partners and stakeholders to be involved in the scoping and development of the Mobility Policy update. The process must comply with the provision of OHP Policy 1F3 and associated Operational Notice PB-02, and must include findings to demonstrate compliance. The mobility policy update should at a minimum address federal performance measures and targets for safety and for congestion and reliability on Interstate and National Highway System facilities.	Amend Section 8.2.3.1 as follows, " <u>The process must comply with the provision of OHP Policy 1F3 and associated Operational Notice PB-02, and must include findings to demonstrate compliance. The mobility policy update should at a minimum address federal performance measures and targets for safety and for congestion and reliability on Interstate and National Highway System facilities.</u> " Partners and stakeholders will have an opportunity to be involved in the scoping and developing the mobility policy update.
142	RTP Chapter 7	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation that the findings in section 7.4.10 (starting on page 7-53) acknowledge that the State's mobility standards are facility specific, which contrasts with the region's standards, which are system-wide averages.  "There is a little bit of this recognition on page 7-62."	Amend Section 7.4.10 to add a summary table of state-owned facilities that do not meet the existing RTP mobility targets.  While Chapter 7 reports the miles of facilities not meeting the regional mobility policies, the RTP mobility targets are also facility specific, consistent with the State's mobility standards that were adopted in the Oregon Highway Plan in 2001. The mobility maps on pages 7-58 to 7-61 show throughway facilities that do not meet the RTP mobility targets. The summary table will help inform the Regional Mobility Policy Update described in Section 8.2.3.1.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
143	RTP Chapter 7	Labbe	Ted	Urban Greenspaces Institute	8/21/2018	Letter	Where are the policy criteria that specify how the RTP projects were selected/prioritized to balance the need for mobility and travel lanes with the 'design characteristics of healthy arterials' (Table 3.10, which include considerations for safe travel speeds, community access, bike/ped safety, noise and air pollution, accessibility to users of all abilities, support for green infrastructure, and more)?	No change recommended. The RTP design policies envision that every arterial can be designed to be multi-modal, provide for safe travel for all ages and abilities and include green infrastructure and other designs to reduce noise and other adverse public health and environmental impacts. Based on existing RTP policy, arterial streets up to four lanes with turn lanes are part of a mature urban transportation system that serves all modes of travel. The RTP recognizes that different parts of the region are in different stages of development, and most parts of the region need to continue making investments to support a well-connected urban transportation system that serves all modes of travel and planned development.
144	RTP Chapter 7	Labbe	Ted	Urban Greenspaces Institute	8/21/2018	Letter	In Section 7.4.14, the RTP admits that no habitat target exists for the RTP. We view this as a missed opportunity: transportation planners should work to craft such targets. We suggest very general targets would be of more benefit, than having none at all.	No change recommended. As noted in previous comments, the RTP is a system-level plan that identifies regional priorities that will be implemented by transportation providers, ODOT and local jurisdictions. This measure provides information to these transportation providers early in the planning process appropriate avoid, minimize, or mitigation strategies can be applied.  When specific habitat and wildlife corridors are mapped and prioritized by the Interwine Alliance regional habitat connectivity work group, this information could be used to inform future RTP updates. The UGI and Intertwine Alliance are encouraged to engage transportation planners and engineers in this work given the desire for it to be used in planning and design of transportation projects in the region. Metro staff are happy to coordinate a briefing to TPAC and other committees as appropriate in support of this work.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
145	RTP Chapter 7	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland	7/31/2018	Letter	Recommendation to realign the plan to ensure that greenhouse gas reduction mandate from the state by 2040 is reached.	Amend Chapter 7 and Appendix J to update greenhouse gas emissions reduction findings and related documentation, as follows:
		Drottar	Bev		8/10/18		"The plan acknowledges that it is likely only to achieve a 21% reduction). The plan should be realigned to ensure that in fact mandates required under state law are achieved."	- correct the estimated per capita GHG emissions reduction - the reduction is 40% from 2015 levels (not 21%)
		Labbe	Ted	Urban Greenspaces Institute	8/21/18			- report that the 40% reduction is the result of the region meeting or exceeding most all Climate Smart Strategy monitoring targets, and, as a result, the region is deemed to be making satisfactory progress in implementing the Climate Smart Strategy and is reasonably expected to achieve the state targets to reduce per capita GHG emissions from light-duty vehicles by 20% by 2035 and 25% by 2040 - document fuel, fleet and technology factors and assumptions used to estimate emissions in the MOVES model - monitor future changes to fleet and technology assumptions in collaboration with DLCD, DOE, DEQ and ODOT
146	RTP Chapter 7	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland and 135 community supporters	7/31/2018 and various dates	Letters and emails	Recommend incorporating into the RTP: - Specifically identify any protect with potential impacts to high value habitat in the constrained and strategic project lists including the resource units that are potentially impacted.	These actions will also be described in Appendix J. Amend as requested to identify all RTP projects that intersect Intertwine Alliance Regional Conservation Strategy high value habitat areas as well as specific resource units, including: wetlands, floodplains, fish bearing streams. In addition, the GIS analysis conducted to flag projects that intersect with high value habitat areas, historic resources and federally-recognized tribal lands will be expanded to include the strategic project list.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
147	RTP Chapter 7	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland and 135 community supporters	7/31/2018 and various dates	Letters and emails	Recommend incorporating into the RTP: - Ensure that avoidance and mitigation of natural resource impacts are criteria that are considered in funding decisions related to RTP projects.	Generally, the RTP sets broad policy outcomes which guide funding criteria and decisions. For the RTP and other planning efforts to adequately consider potential environmental impacts of transportation projects, more timely review of Title 3 and Title 13 resource inventories and related implementation and monitoring programs is necessary. MPAC requests that Metro prioritize this work in the future.  Add a new subsection to Section 8.2.2 in Chapter 8 called "Performance-based Planning Program" that highlights project-level evaluation pilot conducted during the RTP update and recommendations for updating the pilot criteria and process for use in the next RTP update (due in 2023). This section will also describe other activities Metro will conduct to fulfill its MPO-related transportation performance measurement and reporting responsibilities.
148	RTP Chapter 7	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland and 135 community supporters	7/31/2018 and various dates	Letters and emails	Recommend incorporating habitat and natural resource monitoring into the RTP: - Commit to a substantive review of Goal 5 natural resource programs across the region within 3 years to ensure that all jurisdictions within the Metro Region have adequate Goal 5 programs in place.	For the RTP and other planning efforts to adequately consider potential environmental impacts of transportation projects, more timely review of Title 3 and Title 13 resource inventories and related implementation and monitoring programs is necessary. MPAC requests that Metro prioritize this work in the future.
149	RTP Chapter 7	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland and 135 community supporters	7/31/2018 and various dates	Letters and emails	Recommend incorporating habitat and natural resource monitoring into the RTP: - Commit to monitoring not only disparate impacts to natural resources in marginalized communities, but also overall impacts to natural resources in the region.	For the RTP and other planning efforts to adequately consider potential environmental impacts of transportation projects, more timely review of Title 3 and Title 13 resource inventories and related implementation and monitoring programs is necessary. MPAC requests that Metro prioritize this work in the future.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
150	RTP Chapter 7	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland and 135 community supporters	7/31/2018 and various dates	Letters and emails	Recommend incorporating into the RTP: - Add a goal 'no net loss of high value natural resource habitat areas' to the desired direction in addition to the existing desired direction to 'avoid sensitive habitats.'	Amend Table 7.3 and pages 7-11 to 7-73 in Chapter 7 to better explain the purpose of the "Potential Habitat Impact" performance measure to add the following language:  "There is no target for this measure. The purpose of this measure is to identify projects that overlap with sensitive high value habitats so that as projects move toward implementation appropriate avoid, minimize, or mitigation strategies can be applied."
151	RTP Chapter 7 Appendix J	Kirzakhali	Ali	ODEQ	8/20/2018	Letter	Document factors and assumptions used in the MOVES throughout the implementation of the RTP to ensure that MOVES model updates can be appropriately considered and incorporated.	Amend Chapter 7 and Appendix J to document the fleet and technology factors and assumptions used in MOVES to the extent practicable. Metro is on EPA's MOVES email list and receive all announcements regarding model updates and other pertinent developments. All emissions estimates reported with an RTP update are produced using the most current version of MOVES at the time of the analysis and the RTP documentation includes the MOVES version.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
152	RTP Chapter 7 Appendix J	Benner	Janine	ODOE	8/20/2018	Letter	ODOE recommends that the RTP describe and implement the measurement of these key metrics: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fuel use by end use category</li> <li>• Fuel type being used (e.g., B5, CNG, etc.)</li> <li>• Emissions by end use category</li> <li>• VMT by end use category</li> <li>• How much fuel is needed in the region in 2040 for all transportation sectors?</li> <li>• Is fuel supply and infrastructure adequate?</li> <li>• Will fuel delivered by barge and rail increase in the future, and what kind of risks does this pose?</li> </ul>	Amend Chapter 7 and Appendix J to document the fleet and technology factors and assumptions used in MOVES to the extent practicable. While it is possible to calculate some of the key metrics noted in the comment within the existing analytical framework (i.e. regional transportation model + MOVES), it would (a) require significant modifications to the current suite of tools and (b) be inappropriately disaggregate in light of the nature of the analysis tools used for the RTP update. For example, the transportation model is not able or intended to be highly accurate in estimating flows by vehicle type. Similarly, the somewhat aggregate and at times imprecise nature of some of the key inputs to MOVES (developed entirely in accordance with EPA technical guidance for conformity determinations) makes it such that reporting emissions and fuel use by vehicle type would likely be an exercise in false precision. The first four bullets fall into this category.  The last three bullets are beyond Metro's technical expertise and analytical capabilities. Metro is not an energy/air quality agency and lacks the expertise and tools to calculate these metrics.
153	RTP Chapter 7 Appendix J	Benner	Janine	ODOE	8/20/2018	Letter	ODOE notes that increased ridership's connection to greenhouse gas reduction needs to be quantified. Metrics should be defined and measured and should include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ridership per mile travelled</li> <li>• Ridership per gallon</li> <li>• Vehicle MPG for each type of route</li> <li>• GHG emissions per VMT</li> <li>• GHG emissions per rider</li> <li>• How much total fuel used</li> <li>• What type of fuel is used</li> </ul>	No change recommended. These metrics are beyond the capabilities of our analytical tools. Metro does not estimate transit vehicle emissions and lacks the tools and expertise to do so.



## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
154	RTP Chapter 8	Banks	Rachael	Multnomah County Public Health	7/25/2018	Letter	Request to improve modeling of pedestrian travel. "Our own modeling of health impacts from travel behavior changes estimated to result from the proposed package show modest changes in physical activity. This is in part due to the sensitivity of the travel model used by Metro, which may be underestimating total pedestrian travel. A better picture of changes in physical activity would enable more robust decision support tools as the RTP is implemented and updated.	Comment noted. Adequately modeling pedestrian behavior is a shortcoming of the current Metro model. The relatively large transportation analysis zones (TAZs) and the use of planning-level street networks (collectors and above) make it difficult to properly catch travel behavior occurring at the less than one-half mile resolution, which is where a bulk of the pedestrian travel occurs.  Metro is currently developing an activity based model (ABM), which is a more appropriate tool for evaluating impacts to pedestrian behavior. The ABM is based on a much smaller zone system than the current TAZs and relies on an all-streets network that will better reflect the facilities available for pedestrian travel. The goal is to have the ABM functional by the next RTP update.
155	RTP Chapter 8	Gregg	Weston	Clackamas County Business Association	7/17/2018	Letter	Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new region-wide planning effort as follows, " <u>Metro undertakes in the first quarter of 2019 a "Transportation System Visioning Process" Project. Metro Council appoints a task force composed of representatives of multiple stakeholders that has limited duration to facilitate several public-forum sessions.</u> "	No change recommended. The RTP establishes an updated vision and goals for the region's transportation system, reflecting ideas and input received from city, county and state staff and officials as well as dozens of community and business leaders who participated in four Regional Leadership Forums and other events, and the thousands of residents who shared their ideas throughout the process. While the plan falls short in some key areas, the plan puts the region in a successful position to continue addressing our transportation challenges and help make the case for more funding. The Metro Council agrees that a robust conversation will need to take place as Metro begins the update to the Regional Mobility policy in the Regional Transportation Plan in 2019 and the region undertakes efforts to secure future funding. The success of the policy update and funding efforts will hinge on having a variety of interests weigh in and we welcome the Clackamas County Business Alliance's participation and perspective throughout the process.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
156	RTP Chapter 8	Bernard Hodson	Jim Brian	Co-chair, Clackamas County Coordinating Committee	8/2/2018	Letter	Request to create a strategic action plan (free of RTP constraints) that can inform regional transportation decisions over the coming decades in support of the 2040 Growth Concept vision.	Comment noted. No change needed. See recommendation in Comment #155.
				Metropolitan Mayor's Consortium	8/30/18	Letter		
157	RTP Chapter 8	Hagerbaumer	Chris	Oregon Environmental Council	8/11/2018	Letter	Amend section 8.2.3.2 - Regional Congestion Pricing Technical Analysis to more clearly state that the region needs to model the transit needed to support congestion pricing as soon as possible.	No change recommended. This study is proposed for the 2019-20 time period pending resources and future Metro Council and JPACT policy direction, and will evaluate transit. See recommendation in Comment #197.
158	RTP Chapter 8	Liden	Keith	Community members	8/12/2018	Email	No amendment requested. General comment expressing displeasure that Chapter 8 does not focus on walking and bicycling projects.  "Chapter 8 Moving Forward Together focuses on virtually all modes except walking and bicycling...the plan needs to include serious consideration of how to increase walking and bicycling..."	Comment noted. Many of the implementation activities Chapter 8 include pedestrian and bicycle elements. The Regional Active Transportation Program implements the action items identified in the 2014 Regional Active Transportation Plan. An updated work plan will be developed to prioritize action items. Other implementation activities in Chapter 8, which will support increasing safety and access for pedestrian and bicycle travel, include: most of the Metro Regional Programs; the Transportation System Management and Operations Strategy update; the Jurisdictional Transfer Assessment Program (a pedestrian network completeness score is one of the potential criteria to help prioritize roadways for jurisdictional transfer); Funding Strategy for Regional Bridges (important connections for people walking and bicycling); Parking Management Policy Update; some of the Corridor Refinement Plans include potential pedestrian and bicycle improvements.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
159	RTP Chapter 8	Lewis	John	City of Oregon City	8/10/2018	Letter	Request to amend Section 8.2.3.1 to state that "The City of Oregon City has locally adopted the Highway 213 Corridor Alternative Mobility Targets plan which includes alternative mobility targets at the intersection of Highway 213 & Beavercreek Road. ODOT will be taking the Highway 213 Corridor Alternative Mobility Targets plan to the OTC with the intent to amend the OHP in October 2018. It will be imperative that any planning work done regionally related to a Regional Mobility Policy Update, shall either create a condition where the Oregon City amendment to the Metro area mobility targets in the OHP is no longer necessary, or shall explicitly state that the Oregon City amendment to the OHP shall remain in effect even when an updated regional policy is adopted."	Amend as requested, pending OTC approval of the Highway 213 Corridor Alternative Mobility Targets.
160	RTP Chapter 8	Warner	Chris	City of Portland	8/13/2018	Letter	(Section 8.2.3.2 Regional Congestion Pricing Technical Analysis) Recommendation for Metro to lead a regional analysis that not only looks at the potential benefits of pricing, but also evaluates issues related to equity, safety and alternative investments.	Comment noted. No change needed. These issues will be considered as part of this analysis.
161	RTP Chapter 8	Warner	Chris	City of Portland	8/13/2018	Letter	(Section 8.2.3.4 Jurisdictional Transfer Assessment Program) Recommendation to develop a long-term strategy for how to invest in and manage orphan highways to meet the region's safety goals and to advance equity.	Comment noted. No change needed. This will be considered as part of this work.
162	RTP Chapter 8	Warner	Chris	City of Portland	8/13/2018	Letter	(Section 8.2.3.7 Coordination of Freight System and Industrial Land Planning) Stated support for additional policy direction in the Regional Freight Plan addressing the interrelated tasks of freight-system and industrial-land planning.	Comment noted. No change needed. This is already highlighted in the Regional Freight Strategy policies and RTP.
163	RTP Chapter 8	Warner	Chris	City of Portland	8/13/2018	Letter	(Section 8.2.3.8 Transportation Equity Analysis and Monitoring) No recommendation suggested. Just stating support for future work that improves transportation equity data collection and analysis.	Comment noted. No change needed. This is already highlighted in Chapter 8 of the RTP (Sections 8.2.3.8, 8.5.3.4 ad 8.5.3.6) and the Transportation Equity Evaluation in Appendix E.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
164	RTP Chapter 8	Warner	Chris	City of Portland	8/13/2018	Letter	(Section 8.2.3.13 Regional Transportation Functional Plan Update) Recommendation to update the Regional Transportation Functional Plan to help fully implement the 2040 RTP.  "To fully implement the 2040 RTP, jurisdictions need an up-to-date RTFP as they update their TSPs and other plans. This will improve both local planning and regional collaboration."	Comment noted. No change needed. This is already highlighted in Chapter 8 of the RTP (Section 8.2.3.13).
165	RTP Chapter 8	Kraushaar	Nancy	City of Wilsonville	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 8-42, 8.2.4.1 Tigard to Wilsonville, Mobility Corridor #3): Amend as requested. Revise paragraph 3 as follows, " <del>..(anticipated July 2018)</del> ...". The OTC formally adopted the Facility Plan in July 2018.	
166	RTP Chapter 8	Kraushaar	Nancy	City of Wilsonville	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 8-42, 8.2.4.1 Tigard to Wilsonville, Mobility Corridor #3): - Add information about the recently constructed (or under construction) auxiliary (ramp-to-ramp) lanes between OR 217 and I-205.	Amend page 8-42 as follows: - Add the following text after the second paragraph, " <u>In 2009, ODOT and the City collaborated to plan the reconstruction of the I-5: Wilsonville Road interchange, including infrastructure improvements and management strategies to better serve planned growth in the area. Since adoption of the interchange area management plan, ODOT completed the interchange reconstruction and implemented the bulk of the management plan's recommendations. More recent projects include the City's addition of a third lane to the Wilsonville Road southbound on-ramp and improvements at the Elligsen Road northbound on-ramp. In addition, ODOT is adding a single southbound auxiliary lane on I-5 from north of Lower Boones Ferry Road to I-205 and a second lane at the northbound exit ramp for Lower Boones Ferry Road to relieve congestion and reduce crashes. The auxiliary lane work includes on- and off-ramp lane modifications at Lower Boones Ferry Road and Nyberg Street, and extends the auxiliary lane from the Hwy 217 off-ramp to the Lower Boones Ferry Road off-ramp to I-205 on-ramp.</u> "

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
167	RTP Chapter 8	Kraushaar	Nancy	City of Wilsonville	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 8-42, 8.2.4.1 Tigard to Wilsonville, Mobility Corridor #3): - Add information discussing the Washington County Futures Study and Freight Study – when they were completed and what was learned about I-5 congestion, impacts on freight, and travel into and out of Washington County.	Amend page 8-42 as follows: - Add the following text after the second paragraph, " <u>The Washington County Transportation Futures Study, completed in 2017, recommended completion of this corridor refinement plan to address growing transportation needs in the corridor. The Washington County Freight Study, also completed in 2017, identified the I-5 corridor as a key area of freight operational delay and unreliability and underscored the importance of developing and funding improvements in this area.</u> "
168	RTP Chapter 8	Kraushaar	Nancy	City of Wilsonville	8/13/2018	Letter	Consider including information about the legislative direction to explore congestion pricing options.	No change recommended. This is already described in Section 8.2.3.2 in Chapter 8 of the RTP.
169	RTP Chapter 8	Kraushaar	Nancy	City of Wilsonville	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 8-42, 8.2.4.1 Tigard to Wilsonville, Mobility Corridor #3) Recommendation to revise or add the following information: For the first set of bullets, modify bullets #2, #3, and #9 as noted below: • Effects of the I-5 to 99W Connector study recommendations on <u>I-5 and the N. Wilsonville interchange and the resultant needs for increased freeway access to preserve local system performance and in-line capacity for I-5 mobility.</u> • Effects of peak period and mid-day congestion in this area on <u>and mitigation options for</u> regional freight reliability, mobility and travel patterns. • Effects <u>on</u> <del>to</del> freight mobility and local circulation due to diminished freeway access capacity in the I-5/Wilsonville corridor.	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
170	RTP Chapter 8	Kraushaar	Nancy	City of Wilsonville	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 8-42, 8.2.4.1 Tigard to Wilsonville, Mobility Corridor #3) add the following new bullets to be addressed during refinement planning:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Effects of the new and proposed auxiliary (ramp-to-ramp) lanes.</u></li> <li>• <u>Effects of future Southwest Corridor LRT.</u></li> <li>• <u>Identify and implement active transportation priorities that provide safe alternatives to vehicle travel.</u></li> <li>• <u>Consideration of how land use interfaces with the transportation needs and impacts, local system enhancements and new connections, and improved transit network and service and potential outcomes.</u></li> </ul>	Amend as requested.
171	RTP Chapter 8	Kraushaar	Nancy	City of Wilsonville	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 8-42, 8.2.4.1 Tigard to Wilsonville, Mobility Corridor #3) Recommendation to revise or add the following information: For the second set of bullets, modify bullet #1 as noted below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Congestion</u> <b><del>peak period</del></b>-pricing and HOV lanes for expanded capacity.</li> </ul> <p>And add a bullet that addresses the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increase WES service frequency and hours/days of operation.</li> </ul>	Amend as requested.
172	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	The Regional Mobility Policy Update project should provide a better understanding of the most "strategic locations" for investment to build the throughway system for the future.	No change recommended. We look forward to working with you on development of the scope of work for the Regional Mobility Policy Update in collaboration with ODOT. The success of the policy update will hinge on having a variety of interests weigh in and we welcome the County's participation and perspective. Metro and ODOT will engage TPAC, JPACT and other interested stakeholders in development of the scope of work (and desired outcomes) beginning in early 2019, after adoption of the 2018 RTP. The agreed upon scope of work and budget will also be reflected in the 2019-20 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP).

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
173	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation for each of the region-wide planning activities identified in section 8.2.3 to have a sentence or two that specifies how it is connected to the findings of the 2018 RTP.	Comment noted. No change needed.
174	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to change "Vision Zero" to "safe system programs such as Vision Zero". Requesting change not only be made in chapter 8 but entire document.	Amend as requested to change "Vision Zero" to safe system programs such as Vision Zero on pages: 3-6 in the RTP 8-8, and pages: 16, 84, 89, and 101 in the Regional Transportation Safety Strategy. When referring to the regional safety target, the term Vision Zero will be maintained.
175	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to change "increase awareness of Vision Zero" to "increase awareness of safe systems approaches and Safe Routes to School" throughout chapter 8.  "While the City of Portland has subscribed specifically to Vision Zero, other jurisdictions have similar transporation safety programs that have different names."	Amend as requested to change "increase awareness of Vision Zero" to "increase awareness of Safe System approaches ..."  In addition, change as requested to: "... to coordinate with partners and increase awareness of the Safe System approach and Safe Routes to School,..."
176	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation that the update of the Regional Mobility Policy update (section 8.2.3.1) should be carried out following a study of the transportation needs of the region in 2070.	No change recommended. We look forward to working with you on development of the scope of work for this Regional Mobility Policy Update in collaboration with ODOT. The mobility policy update is a refinement plan to the 2018 RTP under the Transportation Planning Rule Section 660-012-0025 and will focus on the RTP horizon year of 2040. The transportation needs of the region in 2070 will be contemplated in a future planning effort or RTP update.
177	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation on page 8-14, 4th paragraph, to add "in all cases" after "highway congestion" in first sentence.	No change recommended.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
178	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation on page 8-16 to rewrite the two bulleted paragraphs discussing corridors and mobility strategies to clarify the intent of both. Also recommended that Metro make a commitment to develop a specific mobility strategy for each of the Metro designated mobility corridors.	Amend page 8-16 to add the following language, " <u>Metro and ODOT will engage TPAC, JPACT and other interested stakeholders in development of the scope of work (and desired outcomes) beginning in early 2019, after adoption of the 2018 RTP. The agreed upon scope of work and budget will also be reflected in the 2019-20 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP).</u> "  The mobility policy update is a refinement plan to the 2018 RTP under the Transportation Planning Rule Section 660-012-0025. We look forward to working with you on development of the scope of work for the mobility policy update in collaboration with ODOT.
179	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to add a project to section 8.2.3.1 that identifies specific Mobility Corridor projects moving forward. If this is not possible, it should be included as an outcome of the "Regional Mobility Policy update" recommendation above	Amend page 8-16 to add the following language, " <u>Metro and ODOT will engage TPAC, JPACT and other interested stakeholders in development of the scope of work (and desired outcomes) beginning in early 2019, after adoption of the 2018 RTP. The agreed upon scope of work and budget will also be reflected in the 2019-20 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP).</u> "  The mobility policy update is a refinement plan to the 2018 RTP under the Transportation Planning Rule Section 660-012-0025 and will be based on the projects identified in the 2018 RTP. We look forward to working with you on development of the scope of work for the regional mobility policy update in collaboration with ODOT.
180	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 8-22, section 8.2.3.4, second bullet) Recommendation to change "may also take into account status of other assets on roadways such as signals." to "will also take into account status of other assets on roadways, such as signals, bridges, and culverts."	Amend as requested.



## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
181	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 8-22, section 8.2.3.4, last paragraph) Recommendation to add "bridge and culvert conditions" to the list of items that the cost assessment will take into account.	Amend as requested.
182	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	(section 8.2.3.5) Recommendation that "Transit Planning" as outlined in Section 8.2.3.5 should become a program.  "The work outlined in this section related to a region-wide planning activity is scheduled to be completed by the Fall 2018, before the adoption of the RTP. Future activities related to this will be programmatic, not necessarily a 'region-wide planning' project."	No change recommended. Transit planning described in Section 8.2.3.5 is an ongoing activity that TriMet and SMART are responsible for as the region's primary transit providers. This work includes coordination of annual service planning and other activities described in this section that are expected to be completed in 2018. Metro and other partners work with both transit agencies on an on-going basis.
183	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to move section 8.2.3.6 under section 8.2.3.5 as one of the projects for the Transit Planning program.	No change recommended. This a separate but related activity that will be coordinated with activities described in Section 8.2.3.5. Metro does not currently have a dedicated "Transit Program" in the Unified Planning Work Program to provide expertise, coordination with jurisdictions and analysis tools.
184	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 8-26, section 8.2.3.6) insert table or remove reference for "Enhanced Transit Concept Workshops".  "Just above the heading 'Enhanced Transit Concept Workshops', there is a reference to a 'table below'. The table is omitted from the document."	Amend this sentence as follows: " <del>The table below lists the different types of treatments for each scale.</del> <u>A list of different types of ETC treatments by scale can be found in the Transit Policy section in Chapter 3.</u> "
185	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 8-29, section 8.2.3.9) recommendation to change "more collaboration and work is needed to develop a financial plan..." in the second paragraph to "more collaboration and work is needed <u>to identify a list of regional bridges</u> , and to develop a financial plan..."	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
186	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to remove section 8.2.3.15 Green Corridor implementation.  "There was nothing outlined in previous sections of the RTP to indicate that this planning activity is a priority, or why it is needed."	Amend section to add the following language, " <u>Metro's Chief Operating Officer recommended that Metro's Planning and Development staff return to the Metro Council in early 2019 with a proposed work program for updating the 2040 Growth Concept as part of the COO recommendation to the Metro Council on the 2018 Urban Growth Management Decision. Green corridor implementation will be forwarded for consideration as part of this future planning effort.</u> "  This planning activity was carried over from the 2010 and 2014 RTPs, reflecting incomplete policy work called for since adoption of the 2040 Growth Concept in 1995.
187	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to add a specific Region-Wide Planning activity, with proposed "lead agency, partners and proposed timing" to section 8.2.4. Corridor Refinement Planning.  "The current process outlined in Figure 8.4 'How a Mobility Corridor Strategy is Development and Implemented' is awkward and has not been necessarily been successful over the past RTP cycle."	No change recommended. This RTP calls for an update to the region's mobility policy and related performance targets beginning in 2019, and is expected to affect corridor refinement planning identified in this section. The mobility policy update is a refinement plan to the 2018 RTP under the Transportation Planning Rule Section 660-012-0025. Many of the areas identified for refinement planning in the RTP are identified because they do not meet the adopted regional mobility policy. Individual corridor refinement planning descriptions have been updated to reflect work remaining and are being carried forward in this RTP pending recommendations and findings from the Regional Mobility Policy Update. JPACT and the Metro Council will provide further policy direction on the Mobility Policy Update in 2019. We look forward to working with you on development of the scope of work for the Mobility Policy Update project in collaboration with ODOT. Metro and ODOT will engage TPAC, JPACT and other interested stakeholders in development of the scope of work (and desired outcomes) beginning in early 2019, after adoption of the 2018 RTP. The agreed upon scope of work and budget will also be reflected in the 2019-20 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP).

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
188	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to add the following description to Mobility Corridor #24 (section 8.2.4.6):  <u>"The study will include a needs assessment for auto, freight, transit, bicycle and pedestrian modes within the corridor to identify existing gaps and system deficiencies. A full list of recommended projects from other related transportation planning efforts will be developed. Data for key performance metrics will be collected from the related transportation plans and analyzed. If necessary, additional projects will be identified and proposed if unmet needs are found. The project will then be evaluated, and recommended projects will be grouped into investment packages and group geographically. The preferred investment packages for all modes will then be fully documented in the final plan along with implementation strategies focusing on timelines and funding strategies."</u>	Amend as requested.
189	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 8-59, section 8.2.4.6) Recommendation to add the '172nd Ave/190th Drive Corridor Management Plan' to the list of planning projects in paragraph 1.	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
190	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to add the following additional language to section 8.3.1.2 Sunrise project:  <u>"The most recent ODOT cost estimate for the completion of Phase II (extends from the east end of the project at SE 122nd to SE 172nd) is \$250 million. This amount seems sufficiently high that it appears unlikely that all of Phase II can be completed in one project. At this point, the best strategy for moving the project forward could be to break Phase II of the Sunrise project up into two or three sub-phases that each have independent utility and can be accomplished at a more reasonable cost. ODOT, in coordination with local agencies, has initiated preliminary analysis to examine options for the project's east end from the Rock Creek junction of OR 212 and OR 224 to the east end of the corridor."</u>	Amend as requested.
191	RTP Chapter 8	Bezner	Mike	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	(page 8-62, section 8.3.1.2) Recommendation to add language to the narrative to better inform the Sunrise Expressway project's importance and why this project is vital to economic development.	Amend page 8-63 to add the following at the end of the section: <u>"The Sunrise Corridor is an essential freight route from I-5 and I-205 to U.S. 26 and central and eastern Oregon. In addition, the Clackamas Industrial Area is home to one of the state's busiest and most critical freight distribution centers. The Oregon 212/224 corridor is not capable of handling the expected increase in traffic resulting from significant community development and industrial expansion in the corridor."</u>

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
192	RTP Chapter 8	Williams	Stephen	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Email	(page 8-59) Recommendation to add the following language describing the scope for the C2C corridor project:  <u>"The study will include a needs assessment for auto, freight, transit, bicycle and pedestrian modes within the corridor to identify existing gaps and system deficiencies. A full list of recommended projects from other related transportation planning efforts will be developed. Data for key performance metrics will be collected from the related transportation plans and analyzed. If necessary, additional projects will be identified and proposed if unmet needs are found. The projects will then be evaluated, and recommended projects will be grouped into investment packages and grouped geographically. The preferred investment packages for all modes will then be fully documented in the final plan along with implementation strategies focusing on timelines and funding strategies."</u>	Amend as requested.
193	RTP Chapter 8	Chesarek	Carol	Community member	8/13/2018	Email	Page 8-57 (Section 8.2.4.6 Hillsboro to Portland Mobility Corridors #13 and #14). Revise this section as follows:  1. The section title should include (mobility corridor) #16, to match the entry in Table 8-1 on p. 8-39. 2. On p. 8-57, the list of "Potential Solutions", the first bullet needs to include more than just arterials and throughways. Revised language could say something like <u>"Evaluate crash history of all commuter routes including arterials, collectors, and throughways in the study area..."</u> .	Amend as requested.
194	RTP Chapter 8	Chesarek	Carol	Community member	8/13/2018	Email	(Page 8-58, Section 8.2.4.6 Hillsboro to Portland Mobility Corridors #13 and #14). Revise the fifth bullet as follows <u>"Evaluate the potential benefits and harms of congestion pricing on Portland area freeways..."</u> .	Amend as follows, <u>"Evaluate the potential benefits and burdens (adverse impacts) of congestion pricing on Portland area freeways..."</u>

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
195	RTP Chapter 8	Chesarek	Carol	Community member	8/13/2018	Email	(Pages 8-57 and 8-58, Section 8.2.4.6 Hillsboro to Portland Mobility Corridors #13 and #14), add these bullets to the list of Potential Solutions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Improved transit connections to MAX/HCT in the corridor, including CC Rider connectivity and better local access to the Sunset Transit Center.</u></li> <li>• <u>Evaluate the effect of proposed solutions on wildlife habitat and wildlife connectivity.</u></li> <li>• <u>Incorporate wildlife crossings any road improvement or construction projects.</u></li> <li>• <u>Evaluate safety and congestion effects of proposed solutions on the St Johns Bridge and the communities of St Johns and Linnton.</u></li> <li>• <u>Evaluate system and demand management options for roads over the west hills, including employer shuttle buses and car pools, on-demand ride sharing car pools, etc.</u></li> </ul>	Amend as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Improved transit connections to MAX/HCT in the corridor, including Columbia County Rider connectivity and better local access to the Sunset Transit Center.</u></li> <li>• <u>Evaluate the effect of proposed solutions on wildlife habitat and connectivity and relative benefits of wildlife crossing infrastructure in proposed solutions to improve safety for people and wildlife and make habitats more connected.</u></li> <li>• <u>Evaluate safety and congestion effects of proposed solutions on the St Johns Bridge and the communities of St Johns and Linnton.</u></li> <li>• <u>Evaluate system and demand management options to expand travel options over the west hills, including employer shuttle buses and carpools, on-demand ride sharing carpools, etc.</u></li> </ul>
196	RTP Chapter 8	Chesarek	Carol	Community member	8/13/2018	Email	(Pages 8-57 and 8-58, Section 8.2.4.6 Hillsboro to Portland Mobility Corridors #13 and #14), add this bullet to the list of Potential Solutions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Evaluate pricing options for the roads over the west hills (Germantown, Cornell, Burnside, etc) including congestion pricing and cordon pricing.</u></li> </ul>	No change recommended. The RTP does not currently include policy for pricing beyond parking management and congestion pricing of throughways. The Regional Congestion Pricing Technical Analysis described in Section 8.2.3.2 could consider the potential for this type of pricing option. The scope of work for the Regional Congestion Pricing Technical Analysis will be developed separately from the RTP.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
197	RTP Chapter 8	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 8-17) Recommendation to add a sentence to the end of the second paragraph, so the new paragraph says: "The project's limited scope...of pricing strategy and transit service implemented. <u>The study should also identify throughway capacity projects that could help achieve the desired traffic flow and be evaluated as part of a regional investment package.</u> "	Amend page 8-17 as follows, "The project's limited scope has raised larger questions about how demand management pricing strategies could be implemented throughout the region; further study is needed in this area and should be undertaken to better understand different ways that pricing could work regionally and the different policy outcomes <del>each</del> <u>that various pricing types, including cordon pricing, VMT based pricing, and network-based pricing, scenario would create might have.</u> <del>This should</del> <u>In addition, the study should evaluate issues and outcomes related to equity, safety and alternative investments, including the interaction between pricing and increased transit access. include an analysis of the potential importance and role of increased transit service and the mutual benefits congestion pricing and expanded transit service can bring depending on the type of pricing strategy and transit service implemented.</u> A comprehensive, regional study should be undertaken before the next update to the RTP in order to provide policy guidance as to how different types of pricing programs <del>can most effectively reduce congestion</del> <u>might impact traffic congestion, people and vehicle throughput, freight mobility, greenhouse gas emissions, air pollution, outcomes for underserved communities, mode share, and overall traffic volume and improve whether or not they improve the overall function of the regional transportation system.</u> "

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
198	RTP Chapter 8	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 8-20) Recommendation in the third paragraph to acknowledge that the needs of roads that are not good candidates for jurisdictional transfer are included as part of this coordinated Metro/ODOT assessment, and that the study intent is clear that the priorities for jurisdictional transfer do not reflect the priorities for additional investment.	<p>Amend page 8-20 as follows, "While this process aims to assess and prioritize roadways for transfer in the Portland region, it is not intended to discourage any transfers <u>or investments</u> from occurring prior or during the assessment process. There are certain roadways and jurisdictions that may be ready for a transfer without going through this assessment process.</p> <p>Amend page 8-21 to add the following language after the last paragraph, "<u>If a jurisdictional transfer is not viable or appropriate, jurisdictions may pursue a potential Special Transportation Area designation in collaboration with ODOT.</u>"</p> <p>As stated in the existing draft plan, this effort is not intended to prevent any investment or transfer from moving forward, and priorities for jurisdictional transfer do not necessarily supercede other priorities for investment.</p>



## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
199	RTP Chapter 8	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 8-39) Add Cooper Mountain corridor refinement study in Table 8.1 Mobility Corridors Recommended for Future Corridor Refinement Planning and the following language: <u>Cooper Mountain Transportation Study</u> <u>Washington County is conducting the Cooper Mountain Transportation Study to evaluate roadway network options to accommodate traffic through the Cooper Mountain area.</u> <u>Transportation in and around Cooper Mountain has long been a topic of discussion going back to the 1980s and 1990s with planning efforts around the Western Bypass and the Land Use, Transportation and Air Quality (LUTRAQ) studies. In more recent years, the Cooper Mountain transportation network has been an ongoing topic of discussion as part of the Washington County Transportation Futures Study, Concept Planning efforts of several cities, and anticipated development of other new urban growth areas (UGB additions since 2012 and Urban Reserves) on the western edge of the urban growth boundary. The Cooper Mountain area is experiencing increased traffic demand from regional growth and nearby developing areas.</u> <u>The Cooper Mountain study area is characterized by a mix of rural reserve, rural undesignated, urban reserve, and urban land. The developed areas are primarily residential and supportive uses. The existing rural roadway system was not intended to accommodate the current and projected levels of urban travelers using rural roads to go to and from urban destinations.</u>	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
200	RTP Chapter 8	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	Continued from above comment: <u>However, this trend is expected to continue with travelers moving between the communities of Sherwood, Tigard, Beaverton, Hillsboro and beyond on a regular basis. This study will take into account that the study area is part of a larger regional context and a multimodal transportation system is needed to connect several urban communities as well as provide accessibility to the rural community.</u> <u>The Cooper Mountain Transportation Study began in fall 2017 and is expected to result in a number of Washington County Transportation System Plan and RTP amendments beginning in 2019 to add projects to the financially constrained project list and to update relevant RTP system maps.</u> <u>Figure 8.xx illustrates the project study area. It includes areas of potential widening and/or safety improvements to existing roads, proposed roads that are already adopted into a local TSP or concept plan, concept plan areas, urban reserve areas, and clouded areas where additional new roadway connections could be made. The next steps in the study include refinement of the potential improvement concepts, alternatives and feasibility analysis, a final project list, and other action items for implementation.</u>	Amend as requested.
201	RTP Chapter 8	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 8-48) Update the description of the current status for Mobility Corridors #14 and #15 (Beaverton to Forest Grove).	Comment noted. These technical corrections will be incorporated in final RTP.
202	RTP Chapter 8	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 8-60) Revise wording in the first sentence of the first paragraph to say: "Transportation improvements where <u>the</u> needs, modes, functions and general location <b>of improvements have already been</b> <u>is</u> identified in the RTP and local plans, <u>are expected to be further refined</u> <b>planned at a during</b> detailed project development."  This will improve consistency with the transportation planning rule.	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
203	RTP Chapter 8	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 8-61) Add Basalt Creek Parkway to Table 8.2 Completed and Current Major Project Development.  Basalt Creek Parkway is designated as a Major Arterial on the Regional Motor Vehicle Network and as a Main Roadway Route on the Regional Freight Network. The County has completed constructed 124th Avenue / Basalt Creek Parkways from Tualatin-Sherwood Road to Grahams Ferry Road. The County has received regional flexible funds for Preliminary Engineering and environmental assessment as well as Right-of-way. The County is seeking construction funding for section between Grahams Ferry Road and Boones Ferry Road. County staff can provide a more detailed description of this major project for inclusion.	Amend as requested.
204	RTP Chapter 8	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	(Page 8-73) Revise the second bullet to say: "Does the transportation investment require <b>any form of</b> permission or approval(s) from the U.S. DOT, <b>or other federal agency</b> <b>(Department of Natural Resources, Army Corps of Engineers, etc.)</b> <b>either at the</b> regional (transportation <u>air quality system</u> conformity) or project level (NEPA) <u>review</u> ?"  Any impact on a wetland or waterway of the United States requires a Corps permit. Listing Corps here would "regionalize" many projects that are not considered regional now.	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
205	RTP Chapter 8	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	<p>(Pages 8-78: 8-80) Reword the first paragraph under section 8.4.1 on pg 8-78, starting with the second sentence to say: ""Decisions on amendments made at this level are land-use decisions for need, mode, <b>corridor</b>, and general <u>location scope and function</u> of a proposed project. Subsequent <b>land-use</b> decisions on final project design <b>and mitigation of impacts will be</b> are needed prior to construction. <b>Such analysis to evaluate impacts could lead to a "no-build" decision where a proposed project is not recommended for implementation, and would require reconsideration of the proposed project or system improvements.</b> In some cases a corridor refinement plan may be recommended pending the scale and scope of the proposed project."</p> <p>The text as written adds requirements that are not otherwise in the Transportation Planning Rule (corridor, scope, function). It also expands the decisions on final design to include "land-use" which has already been decided by inclusion on the plan. Project impacts and environmental mitigation are not related to the planning process and should not be included here. It is unclear how these new requirements may be interpreted in an appeal process.</p>	Amend as requested, but retain reference to "function" as the TPR does call out addressing need, mode, function and general location in transportation system plans. It should be noted, however, that project impacts and environmental mitigation should be considered during the planning process.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
206	RTP Chapter 8	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	<p>Reword the first paragraph on page 8-79 as follows: "It is Metro's responsibility to adopt findings based on project need, mode, <u>and corridor</u>, general location <b>scope and function of projects</b> proposed in the Regional Transportation Plan. The affected jurisdiction is responsible for preparing the specific local plan amendments <b>and findings related to specific location, project design and impact mitigation</b> and for scheduling them for a public hearing before the governing body for action by that body by the time required."</p> <p>This text as written is adding requirements not included in the Transportation Planning Rule. It is unclear how these new requirements may be interpreted in an appeal process. Recommend keeping legislative language as written such that findings and appeals do not increase in complexity.</p>	Amend as requested, but retain reference to "function" as the TPR does call out addressing need, mode, function and general location in transportation system plans.
207	RTP Chapter 8	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	<p>(Page 8-79) Rewrite the first paragraph under '1, as follows: "These are amendments that come from NEPA processes, corridor refinement planning as defined by the Transportation Planning Rule or other studies and involve additions or deletions of RTP Financially Constrained projects <b>or a significant change in the mode, function or general location of the project</b>. Such amendments require adoption by JPACT and the Metro Council by Ordinance, accompanied by findings:"</p> <p>This is text defining how an amendment to the RTP Financially Constrained project list is made. The project list, as stated directly above, itself defines the need mode, function and general location.</p>	<p>Amend as follows: "These are amendments that come from NEPA processes, corridor refinement planning as defined by the Transportation Planning Rule or other studies and involve additions or deletions of <u>RTP Financially Constrained projects</u> or a significant change in the mode, function or general location of the project. Such amendments require adoption by JPACT and the Metro Council by Ordinance, accompanied by findings:"</p> <p>Amendments to the RTP project list may also trigger amendments to the RTP system maps. The RTP system maps define the mode, function and general location of the project for purposes of meeting statewide planning goals and the Transportation Planning Rule.</p>

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
208	RTP Chapter 8	Singelakis	Andrew	Washington County	8/13/2018	Letter	<p>(Page 8-80) Rewrite the first paragraph under '2. Project amendments resulting from adopted...' on page 8-80 to say: "New roadway, transit, bikeway, pedestrian, <b>and freight and demand and system management</b> projects on the regional system shall be adopted by JPACT and the Metro Council by Ordinance, accompanied by findings:"</p> <p>Demand and system management projects may not require a land-use decision. This could require that amendments to the project list that do not affect the use land make findings of consistency with Oregon land use planning rules. In so doing this could creating a potentially onerous process necessary for a relatively minor change.</p>	No change recommended pending further discussion with the Office of Metro Attorney. Based on advice from Metro legal staff, all amendments to the RTP (including the Constrained project list) should be adopted by Ordinance. The RTP amendment process will be updated in early 2019 after adoption of th 2018 RTP. Metro will engage TPAC and JPACT in this effort to ensure federal and state requirements are appropriately met without creating an onerous process for relatively minor changes that do not change the need, mode, function or general location of a facility.
209	RTP Chapter 8	Rogers	Roy	Washington County Coordinating Committee	8/13/2018	Letter	<p>No changes suggested. General support of the following work outlined in Chapter 8:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reducing delay and improving reliability in corridor refinement studies for the US 26 I-5 corridors. Both of these serve significant freight needs; have significant, increasing congestion; serve growing areas and should be regional priorities.</li> <li>• Identifying reliability and speed improvements on the regional transit network.</li> <li>• Beginning the process to determine investments needed for the state's district highways, such as TV Highway and Hall Boulevard.</li> <li>• New and improved processes to engage and build capacity among racial minorities in the transportation field.</li> </ul>	Comment noted. No change needed.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
210	RTP Chapter 8	Rogers	Roy	Washington County Coordinating Committee	8/13/2018	Letter	Request that future studies of value pricing proposed in the RTP and underway by ODOT identify projects that can benefit from additional throughway capacity.	No change recommended. As is consistent with RTP policy, congestion pricing is considered a demand management tool, and is viewed primarily as a way to manage existing resources. The scope of the study will be considered separately from the RTP, and may or may not include a process to identify ways to spend potential revenue.
211	RTP Chapter 8	Rogers	Roy	Washington County Coordinating Committee	8/13/2018	Letter	Request that future studies include in emerging technology and traffic systems management and operations  "Finally, the WCCC strongly supports continued investments in emerging technology and traffic systems management and operations as opportunities for our region and would like to see future studies include these elements."	No change needed. The RTP and Regional Transportation Functional Plan currently require consideration of these elements in local transportation system plans and corridor refinement planning efforts. In addition, the region's Transportation System Management and Operations Strategy is planned for an update in 2019-2020 and will look for opportunities to expand these investments in the region's mobility corridors to leverage capital investments and support RTP goals.
212	RTP Chapter 8	Prior	Garet	City of Tualatin	8/14/2018	Letter	"We highly value the RTP assessment methodology as an honest tool for determining progress (starting on page 7-7), but have the following questions or comments: - Why was multimodal and freight access not measured or set targets? - What are we going to do about missing the freight congestion reduction goal (10%) by 348%?"	No change recommended. As noted on page 7-51, the Freight access evaluation measure was piloted in the 2018 RTP update and found to be inconclusive based on the analytic tools and information currently available. This and other accessibility measures will be further refined in future planning efforts to the extent practicable and be used to inform the next RTP update.  Future planning efforts related to the region's mobility and congestion pricing will inform how the region continues to address growing congestion and its impacts on freight delay and unreliability.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
213	RTP Chapter 8	Prior	Garet	City of Tualatin	8/14/2018	Letter	(Section 8.2.4.1) Revise the following bullets for Mobility Corridor #3 from Tigard to Wilsonville (page 8-43) as follows: • Provide regional transit service, connecting Wilsonville and <u>Tualatin</u> to the central city • Add overcrossings in vicinity of Tigard Triangle, <u>City of Tualatin</u> , and the City of Wilsonville to improve local circulation • Provision of auxiliary lanes between all I-5 freeway on- and off-ramps <u>in Tualatin south of the I-5/I-205 split</u> and in Wilsonville.	Amend as requested.
214	RTP Chapter 8	Prior	Garet	City of Tualatin	8/14/2018	Letter	Question regarding when refinement for Mobility Corridor #10 from Tualatin to Oregon City/West Linn will occur and recommendation that the corridor refinement planning effort be coordinated with planning for the Stafford area.	Amend Table 8.1 (page 8-39) to replace reference to Mobility Corridor #9 with reference to Mobility Corridor #10 as follows, "Mobility Corridors #7, #8, and #9 <u>10</u> . In addition, add new bullet to page 8-48 that states " <u>Coordinate refinement planning activities with planning for the Stafford area.</u> " Corridor refinement plans will be conducted as resources are available pending updated findings and recommendations from the Mobility Policy Update (described in Section 8.2.3.1) and future JPACT and Metro Council direction.



## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
215	RTP Chapter 8	MacDonald	Anne	Clean Water Services	8/20/2018	Letter	<p>Section 8: Recommendation to explicitly address stormwater runoff management early and often throughout the planning, design and implementation process.</p> <p>Recommendation for the plan to more explicitly acknowledge that sufficient project footprints (e.g. right-of-way) are needed to make sure that challenges, such as runoff quality and volumes, can be addressed within transportation corridors; promote the need for runoff volumes to be reduced within transportation projects through the use of permeable pavement where possible, and promote partnerships with land managers to provide for appropriate stormwater management.</p>	<p>Amend Section 8.1 Introduction, on page 8-4, second paragraph: "The plan takes into account the changing circumstances and challenges we face and addresses them directly, adopting new approaches for addressing safety, accessibility, mobility, <u>environmental health</u> and transportation equity..." and under the third paragraph "...to comprehensively address our growing transportation needs, <u>while protecting public and environmental health</u>."</p> <p>- Amend Section 8.2.3.4 Jurisdictional Transfer Assessment Program, on page 8-22, under Step 3: "The cost assessment will take into account maintenance needs, signals, pavement condition, <u>stormwater management</u>, pedestrian and transit needs and, if applicable, safety needs.</p> <p>- Amend Section 8.2.4 Corridor Refinement Planning, on page 8-37 under Conduct Analysis: "Conduct analysis that considers current and planned local land uses, regional and community goals for equity, housing, economic opportunity and environmental protection <u>and stormwater management</u>."</p> <p>-Amend page 8-37 third paragraph: " Consistent with the region's congestion management process, corridor refinement plans will provide decision-makers with more comprehensive information regarding safety, accessibility, <u>environmental impact</u>, mobility, reliability and congestion."</p>
216	RTP Chapter 8	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	<p>Page 8-42, graphic: include existing and proposed regional trails (Fanno Creek Trail and Ice Age Tonquin Trail) in graphic.</p> <p>Page 8-43: add a bullet to the list that states, "Complete gaps in the Fanno Creek and Ice Age Tonquin Regional Trails to provide a continuous off-street active transportation route through the length of the mobility corridor."</p>	<p>Amend as requested to add proposed text. The graphic developed for the refinement plan study area will not be of sufficient detail to include the existing and proposed trails.</p>

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
217	RTP Chapter 8	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	<p>Page 8-46, graphic: include all existing and proposed segments of the I-205 Regional Trail in the graphic.</p> <p>Page 8-47, add a bullet to the list that states: "Complete gaps in the I-205 Multi-use path - including southernmost segment from Oregon City to Tualatin - to provide a continuous off-street active transportation route through the length of the mobility corridor."</p>	Amend as requested to add proposed text. The graphic developed for the refinement plan study area will not be of sufficient detail to include the existing and proposed trails.
218	RTP Chapter 8	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	<p>Page 8-48, graphic: include existing and proposed regional trails (Beaverton Creek Trail, Tualatin Valley Trail, Rock Creek Trail and Council Creek Trail) in the graphic.</p> <p>Page 8-52, graphic: include existing and proposed regional trails (Springwater Trail, Wy'east Way and Gresham-Fairview Trail) in the graphic.</p> <p>Page 8-55, graphic: include existing and proposed regional trails (Rock Creek Trail, Westside Trail and Saint Helens Road) in the graphic.</p> <p>Page 8-58, add a bullet to the list that states, "Complete regional trail gaps - including Rock Creek Trail, Westside Trail and Saint Helens Road - to provide a continuous off-street active transportation route through the length of the mobility corridor."</p>	Amend as requested to add proposed text. The graphic developed for the refinement plan study area will not be of sufficient detail to include the existing and proposed trails.
219	RTP Chapter 8	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	<p>Page 8-59, graphic: include existing and proposed regional trails (Troutdale to Springwater Trail, Sunrise Corridor Trail and Butler Buttes Trail) in the graphic.</p> <p>Page 8-59, box at bottom of page: add a bullet to complete regional trails gaps - including the Troutdale to Springwater Trail, the Sunrise Corridor Trail and the Butler Buttes Trail - to provide a continuous off-street active transportation route through the length of the mobility corridor.</p>	Amend as requested to add proposed text. The graphic developed for the refinement plan study area will not be of sufficient detail to include the existing and proposed trails.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
220	RTP Chapter 8	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	Page 8-69, second bullet: include a new parallel multi-use path in the description for the new collector-distributor road between Allen Boulevard and Denney Road. This future path is shown in the RTP bicycle and pedestrian system maps.	Amend as requested.
221	RTP Chapter 8	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	Page 8-70: include a new parallel multi-use path in the description for the I-205 South Corridor Widening and Seismic Improvements Project. This future path is shown in the RTP bicycle and pedestrian system maps.	Amend as requested.
222	RTP Chapter 8	Kirzakhilili	Ali	ODEQ	8/20/2018	Letter	Consider the different impacts federal rulemaking will have on the assumptions used in Metro's MOVES runs and the resulting impacts on forecasted emission profiles.	Amend Chapter 8 Section 8.2.2.7 to highlight the need to monitor this issue. This type of analysis is beyond the scope of the current RTP update. Metro looks forward to opportunities to partner with DEQ and others to understand the potential impacts of any rulemaking that occurs.
223	RTP Chapter 8	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	Calling out the second bullet point on page 8-16 (addressing congestion on arterials), recommendation to support an approach that focuses on setting performance expectations for <u>safety</u> and bicycle and pedestrian network completeness.	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
224	RTP Chapter 8	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation that Section 8.2.2.10 (Investment Areas Program) offer a much higher level of detail to address how Metro decides where to direct these activities and how it intends to coordinate its decisions with partners.	<p>Amend page 8-13 in Section 8.2.3 in Chapter 8 as follows, "These efforts will be completed consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan goals, policies and strategies. A lead agency, project partners and proposed timing for completion is identified for each planning effort along with a description of the issues to be addressed and expected outcomes from the work.</p> <p>This work will be completed by multiple partners as resources are available and pending future Metro Council and JPACT policy direction, <u>and will be coordinated through development and approval of the annual Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP).</u>"</p> <p>This reflects federally-funded work activities conducted by the Investment Areas Program are coordinated through development and approval the annual Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP).</p>

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
225	RTP Chapter 8	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation to include a description of process and criteria for selecting corridors for refinement planning (8.2.4, page 8-36) and major project development (8.3.1, page 8-60)	Amend page 8-36 in Section 8.2.4 in Chapter 8 as follows, "This RTP calls for an update to the region's mobility policy and related performance targets beginning in 2019, and is expected to affect corridor refinement planning identified in this section...JPACT and the Metro Council will provide further policy direction on <u>the scope and schedule for</u> the Mobility Policy Update in 2019. <u>Metro and ODOT will engage TPAC, JPACT and other interested stakeholders in development of the scope of work (and desired outcomes) for the Mobility policy Update beginning in early 2019, after adoption of the 2018 RTP. The agreed upon scope of work and budget will also be reflected in the 2019-20 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) approved by JPACT and the Metro Council. Subsequent corridor refinement planning prioritization and development of scopes of work will be determined in coordination with project partners through TPAC and JPACT after adoption of the RTP and completion of the mobility policy update.</u> "

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
								Corridor refinement planning recommended in Section 8.2.4 is largely carried over from the 2014 RTP with minor updates to reflect work remaining and the addition of two new efforts requested by jurisdictional partners for the Hillsboro to Portland mobility corridors and the Clackamas to Columbia mobility corridor. Section 8.2.3.1 describes future work to update the mobility policy which will establish an updated policy foundation for corridor refinement planning in the region and will likely result in further modifications to Section 8.2.4. There currently is not a process or criteria for prioritizing completion of the refinement plans. This will be determined by JPACT and the Metro Council after adoption of the RTP and completion of the mobility policy update. Major project development activities in Section 8.3.1 reflect past actions by the Oregon Legislature and other partners for larger-scale projects that meet thresholds for major project development (as defined in Section 8.3.1).
226	RTP Chapter 8	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation to revise draft language for paragraph 2 in Step 4 (Page 8-23) to establish the expectation now that the priority for transfers (and the associated investments) is reducing fatalities and severe injuries.	No change recommended. Safety is one of several factors that will be considered to determine priorities for jurisdictional transfer.
227	RTP Chapter 8	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation to revise the purpose of Section 8.2.3.4 (page 8-18) to say: "The purpose of a jurisdictional highway transfer assessment program is to <b>identify which state-owned roads</b> <u>ensure that roadways</u> in the Portland metropolitan region <u>are owned by the agency best positioned to ensure the transportation infrastructure supports the land use and improves safety for all users.</u> This means identifying: <u>which state-owned routes in the region</u> should be evaluated and considered for a jurisdictional transfer; <b>identify</b> gaps and deficiencies on those routes; <b>to regionally prioritize</b> <u>priorities among</u> the routes; <b>and address some of</b> the barriers and opportunities to transfer the prioritized routes from state <b>ownership</b> to local ownership."	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
228	RTP Chapter 8	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation that section 8.2.3.4 include a list of expected outcomes in the narrative (similar to the narrative for the Regional Mobility Policy Update on Page 8-16).  "We recommend that one of these outcomes be a funding strategy for implementation."	Amend as requested.
229	RTP Chapter 8	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	Page 8-77 - strengthen language for establishing priorities for MTIP investments. Suggestion is to add some more specific language under "Developing the MTIP" or adopting the RTP Ordinance with language that provides direction for the future updates of the MTIP policies."	Amend as follows: - page 8-71, revise the introduction as follows: "This section describes the role of the MTIP as a key tool for implementing the RTP, and <u>provides an outline of the expectations</u> <del>in</del> <u>for</u> demonstrating consistency with the RTP in order to be programmed in the MTIP for implementation. <u>The MTIP document provides more specific description of how projects proposed to be included in the MTIP are expected to demonstrate consistency with the RTP.</u> "  "- Page 8-71, revise the section titled "The Role of the MTIP in Regional Planning," as follows: "Through inter-regional cooperation throughout the planning and programming process, the MTIP ensures that investments of federal funds are consistent with the RTP and make progress in achieving performance targets established in the plan...One of the primary purposes of the MTIP is to ensure scarce federal transportation investments are making progress towards the regional vision set out for transportation system in the RTP. As a result, the greater Portland region's MTIP gives top priority to strategic transportation investments that leverage and reinforce the region's land use strategy envisioned in the 2040 Growth Concept and the supporting multimodal transportation investments."

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
								<p>"- Page 8-72, revise the section titled "Demonstrating Consistency Prior to Implementation," as follows:            "As the vehicle for implementing the RTP, the MTIP has two primary purposes:            1. ensure all federal requirements for expending federal transportation funds are being met; and            2. ensure the investments are making progress towards regional goals, objectives and implementing regional policies <u>as part of performance-based programming</u>.            Recognizing these two primary purposes of the MTIP, any investment requiring inclusion in the MTIP must demonstrate and justify how the investment is <del>consistent with</del> <u>implements</u> the RTP and regional policy outcomes. This is necessary to meet federal eligibility and compliance purposes...The determination and demonstration of consistency with the RTP, done through the MTIP process, comprises quantitative and qualitative evidence that the investment...<u>advances implementation of the RTP investment strategy</u>...In general, there are two main avenues to demonstrate consistency with the RTP <u>whether as</u> an individual transportation investment or an entire package of transportation investments may be included in the MTIP.</p>



## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underline</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underline</u> )
								<p>The two avenues include the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. During the prioritization process to allocate federal transportation dollars to various transportation projects, <u>including the identification of the criteria and the consideration of multimodal tradeoffs</u> (prior to the submission to the MTIP); and</li> <li>2. The process for amending the MTIP.</li> </ol> <p>As each four-year MTIP is developed, determination of consistency is also conducted and demonstrated programmatically <u>to show how the MTIP package is consistent with and advances the implementation of the Plan. Additionally, the programmatic evaluation serves as a monitoring tool for assessing progress in implementing the RTP.</u></p> <p>"- Page 8-73, revise the section titled "Regional Goals and Objectives," as follows:          "To be included in the MTIP, investments must demonstrate how implementation will address one or more of the RTP's goals, objectives and policies, listed in Chapters 2 and 3. <u>Moreover, the Metro Council identified these key regional policy priorities – transportation equity with a focus on race and income, safety, travel options, Climate Smart Strategy implementation, and managing congestion – to be the focus of this RTP.</u> The RTP's goals serve as the broad direction and expectation of what each investment in the system should aim to achieve, <u>but additional focus and attention should be paid to the RTP policy priorities.</u> These goals are consistent with the federal planning factors issued by U.S. DOT."</p>
230	RTP Chapter 8	Makler	Jon	ODOT	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation to add an overview of the federally-required Performance Based Planning and Programming (PBPP), perhaps under section 8.5.4	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
231	RTP Chapter 8 Appendix F	Kirzakhali	Ali	ODEQ	8/20/2018	Letter	DEQ appreciates Metro's participation in the work group to develop a regional clean air construction strategy for clean diesel equipment and vehicles on select public improvement projects. DEQ encourages that all projects in the RTP conform to the strategy when it is adopted.	Amend Appendix F and Chapter 8 Section 8.2.2.7 to reflect development of this strategy. The region (and RTP) will adhere to DEQ air quality program changes that are implemented through the State Implementation Plan as part of our ongoing implementation of the Transportation Control Measures.
232	RTP Appendix F	Jarvie	Kirk	Oregon Department of State Lands	7/27/2018	Email	Appendix F, Table 1: Edit the row "Department of State Lands" and column "Documentation or Process Required" as follows: "...wetland <u>and/or waterway</u> functional assessment...". Appendix F, page 12: Change the subsection title "Wetlands" to "Wetlands <u>and Waterways</u> ". Within the body of this subsection, references to "wetland" mitigation should be expanded to "wetlands <u>and waterways</u> " mitigation. Appendix F, page 13: Please replace the summary of wetland mitigation banks was follows: <u>Wetland Mitigation Banks Serving Metro Area as of July 2018: For service area maps and contact information for each bank:</u> <a href="https://www.oregon.gov/dsl/WW/Pages/MitigationMap.aspx">https://www.oregon.gov/dsl/WW/Pages/MitigationMap.aspx</a> - Foster Creek Bank, Nearest City: Estacada, Service Area: Lower Clackamas basin and Abernathy Creek watersheds, Potential wetland credits remaining: 8 - Butler Mitigation Bank, Nearest City: Hillsboro, Service Area: Tualatin watershed, Potential wetland credits remaining: 30 - Tualatin Valley Environmental Bank, Nearest City: Hillsboro, Service Area: Tualatin watershed, Potential wetland credits remaining: 12 - Halfmile Lane In-Lieu-Fee Mitigation Project, Nearest City: Forest Grove, Service Area: Tualatin watershed, Potential wetland credits remaining: 8, Currently offering stream credits - Bobcat Marsh Mitigation Bank – Available ONLY to Port of, Portland, City of Hillsboro, and ODOT, Nearest City: Hillsboro, Service Area: Tualatin watershed, Potential wetland credits remaining: 3.7	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
233	RTP Appendix F	MacDonald	Anne	Clean Water Services	8/20/2018	Letter	Include an analysis of impacts to water quality.  "We would be happy to assist Metro staff in evaluating DEQ's data for water quality limited bodies - this does exist in a regional database, although there is some additional detail that could be added."	No change recommended. This type of analysis will be considered for the next RTP update pending Metro Council and JPACT policy direction and adequate resources and data.
234	RTP Appendix F	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/20/2018	Letter	Recommendation to clarify what data sets are required for project analysis under the RTP, followed by a section describing key additional information resources.	Amend as requested.
235	RTP Appendix F	Labbe	Ted	Urban Greenspaces Institute	8/21/2018	Letter	Appendix F, the 2018 RTP Environmental Assessment and Potential Mitigation Strategies is missing, so we cannot fully evaluate the adequacy of this plan. At what stage will the public be afforded the opportunity to review and comment on this and other missing appendices?	Appendix F was posted on-line on July 20 and will be significantly updated to address comments received throughout the comment period pending approval of recommended changes by JPACT and the Metro Council to respond to comments.
236	RTP Appendix H	Tupica	Rachael	FHWA	8/21/2018	Consultation meeting and email	Update the Appendix to address the following comments: - Break out the Introduction and Summary into two separate sections - Ensure the content of each section/subsection match the name of the section/subsection - Page 6 - Explain more detail about the process and decision to convert to 2016 dollars - When developing a financial plan for a RTP, it is not necessary to go down to the federal fund code level - Page 23 – "Metro is the direct recipient of the above funds" – this is incorrect. ODOT is the direct recipient of that funding, through state processes STBG and CMAQ are allocated to funds to Metro and through Federal rules Metro has project selection authority for the TA funds.	Amend as requested.
237	RTP All Chapters and Appendices			Metro staff	8/21/2018	n/a	Miscellaneous technical corrections, copy edits, table and figure numbering corrections and add missing information consistent with the goals, objectives and policies contained in the 2018 RTP.	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
238	RTP All Chapters and Appendices			Metro staff	8/21/2018	n/a	Replace all references of value pricing and tolling with the term "congestion pricing" throughout the RTP document.	Amend as requested.
239	RTP General comment	Kubo	Dian	Community member	6/5/2018	Email	Requesting that the 47 bus be re-routed from NW Laidlaw onto Bethany Blvd.	This comment has been forwarded to TriMet for consideration as part of the agency's annual service planning.
240	RTP General comment	Potestio	Richard	Community member	5/31/2018	Email	Focus on these four outcomes to cost-effectively and equitably address the region's transportation needs and support the region's economy: 1. Slow down traffic 2. Change zoning to allow more density in all neighborhoods that dense street networks and bus lines 3. Invest in express trains between major regional hubs and other transportation systems such as Amtrak and airport, not freeways 4. Invest in completing pedestrian and bike facilities and crosswalks, not freeways	Comment noted. No change proposed.
241	RTP General comment	Iannarone	Sarah	Community member	8/2/2018	8/2 public hearing	Applaud your efforts to apply an equity lens to the RTP and the outreach that has been conducted for the RTP. Please remember that you are global policy leaders when it comes to climate change and allocate as much funding as possible to alternative transportation and as little as possible to the status quo, subsidizing single occupancy use. The plans should not have billions of dollars for freeway expansion because we know they won't solve our problems.	Comment noted. No change proposed.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
242	RTP General comment	Smith	Chris	Community member	8/2/2018	8/2 public hearing	General support for vision zero goal and system and demand management policies, particularly use of pricing strategies to manage travel demand and managing parking to reduce the amount of land dedicated to parking and vehicle miles traveled while generating revenue. These policies will go the longest way towards moving toward our equity and climate goals. More challenging are the motor vehicle network policies that led to the I-5/Rose quarter project which will cost half a billion dollars but have very little operational impact. A big miss not having a project for 82nd Avenue which has a high number of fatal and serious injury crashes.	Comment noted. No change proposed.
243	RTP General comment	Schlosshauer	Kari	Safe Routes to School National Partnership	8/3/2018	Letter	Request to remove all highway expansion projects that exist to increase capacity, including those that seek to do so via the addition of "auxiliary lanes."  "These projects go against the Climate Smart Strategy, and will also make it immensely more difficult to achieve other regional goals for equity, safety, air quality and health."	No change recommended. The Climate Smart Strategy included investments for all part of the transportation, including highway expansion projects adopted in the 2014 Regional Transportation Plan Constrained Project list. Updated greenhouse gas emissions reduction findings and related documentation are recommended, as follows: - correct the estimated per capita GHG emissions reduction - the reduction is 40% from 2015 levels (not 21%) - report that the 40% reduction is the result of the region meeting or exceeding most all Climate Smart Strategy monitoring targets, and, as a result, the region is deemed to be making satisfactory progress in implementing the Climate Smart Strategy and is reasonably expected to achieve the state targets to reduce per capita GHG emissions from light-duty vehicles by 20% by 2035 and 25% by 2040 - document fuel, fleet and technology factors and assumptions used to estimate emissions in the MOVES model - monitor future changes to fleet and technology assumptions in collaboration with DLCD, DOE, DEQ and ODOT  These actions will also be described in Appendix J.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
244	RTP General comment	Schlosshauer	Kari	Safe Routes to School National Partnership	8/3/2018	Letter	Complete 100% of the gaps in the regional active transportation network.  "We strongly support the initial focus of this work being on the high injury corridors that are in historically marginalized communities."	Comment noted. The 2018 RTP includes an updated performance target for 100 percent completion of the regional active transportation network by 2040. It should be noted that nearly 60 percent of the road and bridge projects complete gaps in sidewalks and bike facilities. As noted in recommendations related to the RTP project list, many of the funds identified for the 2018 RTP are obligated for specific projects or specific types of projects, such as highways or public transportation. Metro does not have authority to redirect funds. Cities and counties identify projects for the RTP which will implement regional policies and achieve regional and local goals. As noted in Chapter 5, the greater Portland region is falling behind in making the investments needed to achieve regional goals because of funding constraints. The project lists reflect the funding constraints and the lack of dedicated funding for active transportation projects. The region will continue to seek more dedicated funding for active transportation projects.
245	RTP General comment	Schlosshauer	Kari	Safe Routes to School National Partnership	8/3/2018	Letter	Increase and dedicate funding to prioritize and implement projects in the region that reduce speed limits and reduce the number of miles people travel in a private vehicle.  "We strongly suggest that this lack of hard evidence [of injury/traffic crashes] be balanced by the plethora of observed evidence that safety is a major health concern in our region, and urge Metro to take action to prioritize projects that address this growing crisis."	Comment noted. No change proposed.
246	RTP General comment	Schlosshauer	Kari	Safe Routes to School National Partnership	8/3/2018	Letter	Asking Metro to allocate additional staff time and funding to ensure implementation of the 2018 RTP will reduce disparities for historically marginalized communities.	Comment noted. In recognition of this important issue, Section 8.2.3.8 calls for development of a disparities baseline to inform future planning and decision-making. Allocation of Metro staff time and funding will be determined through future agency budgeting processes.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
247	RTP General comment	Schlosshauer	Kari	Safe Routes to School National Partnership	8/3/2018	Letter	Review all projects on High Injury Corridors and Intersections to ensure they meet the region's Vision Zero goals, specifically to ensure that these projects list "reducing fatal and serious crashes" as their #1 priority.	Comment noted. Jurisdictional partners reviewed projects on regional high injury corridors and updated project objectives and descriptions to reflect reducing fatal and serious crashes as a priority. As projects move forward to implementation, Metro's safety program will also provide expertise, design guidance and technical support to help ensure projects support the RTP's Vision Zero goal.
248	RTP General comment	Hagerbaumer	Chris	Oregon Environmental Council	8/11/2018	Letter	Suggestion for the plan and region to embrace the following statement in the context of affordable housing:  "Transit planners and advocates may not be able to meaningfully control market forces when improvements encourage or trigger gentrification, but they ought to be duty-bound to collaborate with transit agencies and municipalities and craft approaches to maintaining housing affordability in underserved neighborhoods and communities where transit improvements are being proposed."	No change needed. Metro is committed to equity and the region's decision-makers recognize the importance of this statement and are actively working to develop strategies to maintain housing affordability in underserved communities and communities where major transit improvements are being proposed. An example of the work is development of the Southwest Corridor Equitable Development Strategy.
249	RTP General comment	Hagerbaumer	Chris	Oregon Environmental Council	8/11/2018	Letter	Request to approve the 2018 Regional Transportation Plan only if it includes a robust strategy for congestion pricing that helps implement the Climate Smart strategy.	No change recommended. Oregon House Bill 2017 directs the Oregon Transportation Commission to develop a proposal for congestion pricing on I-5 and I-205. ODOT is currently conducting a feasibility analysis to determine how congestion pricing could help ease congestion. The analysis will be used to develop the proposal. If the Federal Highway Administration approves the proposal, the Oregon Transportation Commission is required to implement the proposal. In addition, Chapter 8 of the plan identifies future planning work to evaluate the potential role of congestion pricing in the region to help implement Climate Smart and other RTP goals and strategies.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
250	RTP General comment	Liden	Keith	Community member	8/12/2018	Email	<p>General comment that the budget does not reflect the commitment to Vision Zero and pedestrian and cyclist safety.</p> <p>"In spite of all the Vision Zero talk, the majority of the budget is proposed for auto congestion mitigation to minimize motorist inconvenience with much less funding devoted to make active transportation facilities complete, safe, and ultimately less dangerous."</p> <p>"The policy side of the plan is very solid, but as with past RTP's, policies are disconnected from the implementation strategy and the project funding priorities...In spite of the regional bike and pedestrian system being significantly incomplete, only 4-5% of the funding pot is proposed for active transportation (Table 6.2)."</p> <p>"I appreciate how difficult it is to break from auto addiction. It obviously won't happen overnight, but we need to be more committed and take serious steps to reduce our auto dependency. Active transportation funding at 4-5% just won't cut it."</p>	<p>Comment noted. No change proposed. The 2018 RTP includes an updated performance target for 100 percent completion of the regional active transportation network by 2040. It should be noted that nearly 60 percent of the road and bridge projects complete gaps in sidewalks and bike facilities. As noted in recommendations related to the RTP project list, many of the funds identified for the 2018 RTP are obligated for specific projects or specific types of projects, such as highways or public transportation. Metro does not have authority to redirect funds. Cities and counties identify projects for the RTP which will implement regional policies and achieve regional and local goals. As noted in Chapter 5, the greater Portland region is falling behind in making the investments needed to achieve regional goals because of funding constraints. The project lists reflect the funding constraints and the lack of dedicated funding for active transportation projects. The region will continue to seek more dedicated funding for active transportation projects.</p>
251	RTP General comment	Liden	Keith	Community member	8/12/2018	Email	<p>Refers to Table 3.8: Design Classifications for Regional Motor Vehicle Network on pg. 3-41.</p> <p>"...ODOT consistently focuses on car throughput by excluding or minimizing the active transportation design elements shown for major arterials...Metro needs to work with ODOT to help implement [active transportation design elements for major arterials], rather than ignore, this important aspect of the RTP."</p>	<p>Comment noted. No change proposed. Section 8.2.3.4 (Jurisdictional Transfer Assessment Program) in Chapter 8 of the plan identifies future work that is expected to help advance implementation active transportation and other design elements on state-owned major arterials.</p>



## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
252	RTP General comment	Wolcott	Abby	Community member	8/12/2018	Email	General comment about directing investment to widening freeways.  "...we are not convinced that the work on 205 will make daily driving from Troutdale to Tualatin any less tolerable...widening freeways, where possible, seems like the only way to help get our freeways moving again, not bike lanes or nature trails."	Comment noted. No change proposed.
253	RTP General comment	Savas	Paul	Clackamas County	8/13/2018	Letter	Request to analyze decision-making structure for creation of future regional transportation plans.	Comment noted. No change proposed.
254	RTP General comment	Banks	Rachael	Multnomah County Public Health	7/25/2018	Letter	Request to continue to lead with racial justice in the implementation of the RTP.  "The equity focus of the RTP is aligned with Multnomah County Health Department strategic objectives and we strongly support a continued focus on eliminating disparities."	Comment noted. No change proposed.
255	RTP General comment	Banks	Rachael	Multnomah County Public Health	7/25/2018	Letter	Request to better understand disparities in exposure to traffic related air pollution.  "While the MOVES model used in the planning process provides estimates of airshed-wide impacts, the RTP does not provide information about what disparities exist, nor an estimate of whether those disparities will be improved or exacerbated by the proposed projects. We request that Metro and its partners analyze air pollution disparities in the process of implementing this RTP and undertake a more detailed modeling exercise in the next update. To the extent possible, we also request that Metro take near term steps to mitigate any disparities."	Comment noted. No change proposed. Metro staff recognizes the limitations of the tools currently used related to analyzing and assessing mobile source emissions. If resources and capacity allow, Metro is interested in partnering with air quality experts, including DEQ, PSU, and other entities using more disaggregated emissions models, to conduct air quality analysis at smaller geographies (e.g. corridors, equity focus areas, etc.) in future RTPs and on projects.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
256	RTP General comment	Banks	Rachael	Multnomah County Public Health	7/25/2018	Letter	Request to improve project-level evaluation.  "As was repeatedly discussed with stakeholders during the development of this RTP, project-level evaluation would help decision makers understand a full accounting of project costs and benefits. It would also enable health stakeholders to provide a more detailed analysis of the health impacts of the plan."	Add a new subsection to Section 8.2.2 in Chapter 8 called "Performance-based Planning Program" that highlights project-level evaluation pilot conducted during the RTP update and recommendations for updating the pilot criteria and process for use in the next RTP update (due in 2023). This section will also describe other activities Metro will conduct to fulfill its MPO-related transportation performance measurement and reporting responsibilities.
257	RTP General comment	Prior	Garet	City of Tualatin	8/14/2018	Letter	Stating agreement with the following comments raised by Clackamas and Washington counties: a. Reducing delay and improving reliability in corridor refinement studies for I-5 corridor. This corridor impacts our freight needs; has significant, increasing congestion; serves growing areas and should be regional priorities. b. Identifying reliability and speed improvements on the regional transit network. c. New and improved processes to engage and build equitable capacity and distribution in the transportation field.	Comment noted. No change proposed.
258	RTP General comment	Charles	John	Cascade Policy Institute	8/13/2018	Email	Comment that the RTP is highly technical and hard for the average person to understand. Recommendation that future updates of the RTP be more user-friendly.	Comment noted. The comprehensiveness and complexity of the RTP presents significant challenges to the document's user-friendliness. Staff prepared less technical materials throughout the RTP update that aimed to be more user-friendly. The final published plan will include an executive summary and web-based links to allow for easier navigation of the information in the plan and supporting documents.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold strikeout**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b>strikeout</b> and <u>underscore</u> )
259	RTP General comment	Dula	Mariah	Northeast Coalition of Neighborhoods	8/8/2018	Letter	Recommendation to include measures in the RTP to mitigate the diversion of automobile traffic into neighborhoods.  "While we applaud the proposal to extend a light rail along MLK providing greater access to public transportation for northeast residents, we are deeply concerned that the reduction of lanes will simply divert automobile traffic onto residential side streets. As noted in the plan, even with the significant investment in bike, pedestrian and public transit infrastructure, automobile traffic is projected to increase. Increased traffic through residential neighborhoods impacts quality of life for residents and safety when automobiles use residential streets at inappropriate speeds."	Comment noted. No change proposed. Mitigation strategies to address traffic diversion of automobile traffic into neighborhoods are typically addressed as a project goes through more detailing planning and project development.
260	General comment	Robertson	Dave	Portland Business Alliance	8/13/2018	Letter	General comment that the 2018 RTP presents a sound strategy to accommodate growth and that the safety, equity, transit, freight and emerging technology strategies will help contribute to an effective multimodal transportation system.	Comment noted. No change proposed.
261	General comment	Ransom	Matt	Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council	8/13/2018	Letter	General comment on appreciation for safety, transit, freight and technology strategies in support of 2018 RTP. Also acknowledgement that RTC will continue to be committed to implementing a coordinated approach with Metro on bi-state transportation projects and other areas of mutual interest.	Comment noted. No change proposed.
262	General comment	Katz	Marissa	Community member	8/13/2018	Email	General support of increased emphasis on addressing climate change and congestion. General recommendations to better address: interconnected bike paths, greater MAX frequency, dog-friendly max cars and better roadway traffic enforcement.	Comment noted. No change proposed.
263	General comment	Van Dyke	Martha	Community member	8/14/2018	Email	General comment in support of congestion pricing and opposing highway expansion.	Comment noted. No change proposed.
264	General comment	Brashear	Dwight	SMART	8/17/2018	Letter	General comment in support of the 2018 Regional Transportation Plan language and projects, particularly the Regional Transit Network vision.	Comment noted. No change proposed.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
265	General comment	Borrego	Jeremy	Federal Transit Administration	8/21/2018	Consultation meeting	Commend the RTP, the equity analysis and the engagement of community members in the equity work throughout the process. As plan is finalized, look for opportunities to make the documents more user-friendly and accessible to the public, such as embedding hyperlinks to navigate between chapters and appendices, standardizing maps, etc.	Comment noted. As noted previously, the comprehensiveness and complexity of the RTP presents significant challenges to the document's user-friendliness. Staff prepared less technical materials throughout the RTP update that aimed to be more user-friendly. The final published plan will include an executive summary and web-based links to allow for easier navigation of the information in the plan and supporting documents.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on Draft 2018 RTP Project Lists (by project number)								
#	Project #	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC and JPACT recommendation (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
266	MTIP #70977	Fischer	Ed	Community member	4/5/2018	Email	Amend the project's scope of work to include examining widening the replacement bridge to accommodate a southbound I-5 on-ramp and acceleration lane.  "...close the I-5 SB on-ramp at Capitol Highway and replace it with a new southbound on-ramp beginning at the intersection of Barbur with SW 24th avenue."	This comment has been forwarded to ODOT for consideration.
267	RTP #10461	Krueger	Penny	Community member	7/3/2018	Email	Amend the project list to include project #10461 in the Financially Constrained Projects 2018-2027 list.  "Towle Road between Butler and Binford Lake is a safety hazard for bikers and walkers."	This comment has been forwarded to the city Gresham for consideration.
268	Not currently a project	Farkas	Susan	Community member	7/5/2018	Email	Amend the project lists to include more parking to Sunset transit station, more local connections between Portland and Beaverton and sidewalks on NW Filbert.	This comment has been forwarded to TriMet and the City of Portland staff for consideration.
269	RTP #11819			SE Uplift Neighborhood Coalition	7/11/2018	Letter	Amend the Financially Constrained (2018-2027) project list to include the Reedway Overpass project (#11819)  Also requested to include project in 2020 Metro transportation bond.  "The Reedway over crossing has been declared the highest transportation priority by all five nearby neighborhoods including: Sellwood-Moreland, Brooklyn, Reed, Eastmoreland and Woodstock."	Amend as requested. This recommendation has been coordinated with the City of Portland.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
270	RTP #11844			SE Uplift Neighborhood Coalition	7/11/2018	Letter	Amend the project list to include a ODOT-submitted major, multi-modal project for 82nd Avenue in the first ten years of the plan.  "In order to facilitate a transformation of this archaic auto-centric highway to a fully multi-modal main street...a major project [on 82nd Avenue] must be included in the near term Regional Transportation Plan."	This comment has been forwarded to ODOT for consideration.
271	Not currently a project	Granum	Patricia	Co-Operations, Inc.	7/17/2018	Email	Expressing support for bringing ferry system to metro Portland.  "Realizing the daily challenges already imposed on commuters with weather, traffic wrecks, bridges, etc. - this non intrusive method of transport seems like significant improvement."	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.
272	Not currently a project	Harder	Cindy and Steve	Community member	7/17/2018	Email	Amend the project lists to include a project establishing ferry service between Portland and Vancouver  "Given the growth of the area and lack of ability to upgrade the road system it seems a logical addition to our current and future transportation needs at a price point far less than light rail or acquiring land necessary for freeway widening."	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.
273	Not currently a project	Wilcox	Peter	Community member	7/18/2018	Email	Expressing support for the Frog Ferry concept.  "I want to express my strongest support and endorsement of the Frog Ferry regional foot (passenger only) ferry concept."	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underline</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underline</u> )
274	Not currently a project	LaBar	Janet	Greater Portland Inc.	7/19/2018	Letter	No specific request - offering support for the Frog Ferry project.  "This innovative transportation option will harness our region's rivers, alleviate congestion and cut down on commute times, making it attractive to prospective companies and investors."	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.
275	Not currently a project	Tortorici	John	Community member	7/19/2018	Letter	Expressing support for Frog Ferry concept  "I hope, on behalf of your constituents, you will take an active role in partnering with Frog Ferry to make our community a better place to live and work by improving the available transit options."	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.
276	Not currently a project	Bates	Alan	Reach Now	7/19/2018	Letter	Request to City of Portland to support funding in upcoming budget to perform a feasibility and operations plan for passenger ferry service.  "Car sharing can help mitigate <i>some</i> congestion, but Portland desperately needs improved transportation infrastructure and more non-SOV options to support our growing population and mitigate gridlock."	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.
277	Not currently a project	Weston	Linda	Rapporto, LLC	7/19/2018	Letter	Amend the project lists to include a project establishing ferry service between Portland and Vancouver  "As you consider the regional transportation plan, I urge you to give serious consideration to including the development of a ferry system, both across the Columbia between Portland and Vancouver, and across the Willamette at various points between the East and West sides."	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
278	Not currently a project	Markstaller	Matthew	Daimler Trucks North America	7/19/2018	Letter	No specific request - expressing support to explore feasibility of passenger water ferry service from Vancouver through Portland  "We look forward to the results of your study and hope it may lead to a viable water ferry service serving the Portland Metro area."	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.
279	Not currently a project	Malsin	Brad	CEIC	7/19/2018	Letter	Expressing support for feasibility study of Frog Ferry project  "The 2035 ferry study needs to be conducted, and operator selected and test runs conducted."	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.
280	Not currently a project	Robinhold	Curtis	Port of Portland	7/19/2018	Letter	Expressing support for the Frog Ferry initiative as a public-private partnership  "I applaud your efforts to spearhead the establishment of a passenger ferry system for the Portland metropolitan area."	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.
281	Not currently a project	Miller	Jeff	Travel Portland	7/19/2018	Letter	Expressing support for the Frog Ferry initiative  "The Frog Ferry proposal for a Portland-Vancouver passenger water taxi service is that type of innovative initiative that seeks to mitigate congestion while also offering an appealing alternative way to experience the region."	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.
282	Not currently a project	Hering	J. Clayton	Community member	7/19/2018	Email	Amend the project lists to include a project establishing ferry service between Portland and Vancouver  "I have long been a supporter of the utilization of our waterways as an opportunity to transport people to and from downtown Portland...this is a project Metro ought to get behind"	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.



## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

**All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.**

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
283	Not currently a project	Foti	Frank	Vigor	7/19/2018	Letter	Expressing support for the Frog Ferry initiative; Vigor is a maritime manufacturer and builds ferries for West Coast cities and SE Alaska  "We would like to be part of this solution and are especially interested in bringing the most sustainable practices to the Frog Ferry operations."	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.
284	Not currently a project	McIntyre	Capt. Anne	Community member	7/19/2018	Letter	Requesting the City of Portland include \$350,000 in upcoming budget cycle to perform feasibility and operations plan for passenger ferry service  "It is time for us to consider new solutions and take a deep look at including passenger ferry service as a part of the City's transportation plan."	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.
285	Not currently a project	Wax	Ellen	Working Waterfront Coalition	7/19/2018	Letter	Expressing support for the Frog Ferry initiative; WWC is organization concerned about economy and environment on the Portland harbor  "As a city that was founded on the benefits of being located along a river, we encourage looking for ways to enhance and embrace the river as a remarkable local resource."	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.
286	Not currently a project	Wheeler	Ted	City of Portland	7/19/2018	Letter	Expressing support for Frog Ferry feasibility study  "I support your next step to create a two-year feasibility study...which would articulate the operational requirements, costs, passenger research, public-private partnership construct and vessel specification."	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.
287	Not currently a project	Davidson	Todd	Travel Oregon	7/19/2018	Letter	Expressing support for the Frog Ferry initiative  "The Frog Ferry proposal for a Portland-Vancouver passenger water taxi would provide an attraction to promote the state on a larger scale and provide an alternative transportation option."	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
288	Not currently a project	South	Scott	Stevens Water Monitoring Systems, Inc.	7/19/2018	Email	Expressing support of a passenger ferry system on the Willamette and Columbia Rivers  "I strongly support Metro's consideration in advancing a plan and execution of a Portland/Vancouver river passenger ferry system as a viable transportation option and more."	Amend Section 3.6.4 to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Section 8.2.3 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.
289	RTP #11353	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland, Bev Drott, Urban Greenspaces Institute, Micah Meskel, Tim Helzer, Jeff Geilsen and 135 community supporters	7/31/2018 and other dates	Letters, emails and 8/2 public hearing	Remove project #11353 (West Hayden Island Rail Access) and project #11354 (West Hayden Island Rail Yard) from 2018 RTP constrained and strategic lists and Regional Freight Strategy  "The City of Portland Comprehensive Plan update, adopted in 2016, does <u>not</u> include development of a Port terminal on West Hayden Island in its inventory of lands necessary to meet industrial land demand."	Move project from RTP constrained list to RTP strategic list for the 2028-2040 time period. 2 – RTP Projects #11353 and #11354 (West Hayden Island Rail Projects) were adopted in the Port of Portland's transportation plan earlier this year (on the year 20 unconstrained list). The plan was adopted through a public process as required by the RTP. 3 – Both projects were submitted to the constrained list of projects in error. They should have been included in the unconstrained "Strategic" list. 4 – Port staff have explained they have no immediate plans to develop West Hayden Island, but it remains in their marine reserves. Port staff also confirmed their understanding is the same as the city of Portland's as it relates to the 2016 Economic Opportunity Analysis mid-range cargo forecast and the ability to meet needs within the existing supply over the next 20 years (through 2035). 5 – The draft 2018 urban growth report counts about 300 acres of West Hayden Island as industrial land supply. The UGR does not, however, specify that it is for a particular kind of industrial employment. This recommendation was developed in coordination with and is supported by Port of Portland staff.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
290	RTP #11176	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland, Bev Drott, Urban Greenspaces Institute and 135 community supporters	7/31/2018 and other dates	Letters, emails and 8/2 public hearing	Eliminate project #11176 (I-5/Rose Quarter expansion project) from 2018 RTP constrained and strategic lists and Regional Freight Strategy  "We believe that expansion of I-5 will be ineffective in terms of addressing congestion, is entirely at odds with Metro's Climate Smart Agenda, will increase pollution in local neighborhoods and will divert critical financial resources away from higher priority projects such as addressing road related safety issues in East Portland."	No change recommended. This project has been identified as a priority by the Oregon Legislature and has committed funding through HB 2017. No change recommended. The project design is consistent with RTP policy for the planned function and capacity of a throughway and includes transit and active transportation design elements identified through the project planning process.
291	Did not specify	Fitzgerald	Marianne	Community member	8/1/2018	Email	Add additional walking and biking projects close to station areas in SW Portland  "The RTP project list does not include enough projects to support walking and biking to the station areas...most of the streets in SW Portland need BOTH pedestrian and bicycle facilities to access the transit stations. A few examples include SW 30th (PBOT TSP 90100), SW Capitol Hill Road (PBOT TSP 90002, ), West Portland Town Center (PBOT TSP 90069), inner and outer Barbur (90016, 90017) and SW Pomona (TSP 90011)."	Amend the RTP Constrained list to include Portland TSP 90100 (SW 30th project) as a 1-10 year project that costs \$2.8 million as new RTP ID#12091.  Other project list changes are not needed for these reasons: - RTP project 11564 includes several station access projects considered by the Southwest Corridor project, including TSP #90002. - The City of Portland considers Inner and Outer Barbur in the TSP to be implemented with the reconstruction of Barbur with Southwest Corridor light rail project. - The Southwest Corridor light rail LPA assumes the viaducts will be replaced by new structures with bicycle and pedestrian facilities. The project will also consider including walk and bike access to the Barbur Transit Center, addressed through RTP project 10287. - The SW Pomona project (Portland TSP 90011) is already on the RTP project list as RTP 11825. - Portland TSP 90069 is in the RTP as West Portland Connected Centers Project (RTP 10287).  This recommendation has been coordinated with and is supported by the City of Portland staff.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
292	Did not specify	Fitzgerald	Marianne	Community member	8/1/2018	Email	Request to keep PBOT TSP projects 90016 and 90017 in the RTP.  "These key locations [on Barbur] desperately need walking and bicycling facilities and there is little in the RTP (other than RTP 10287) to make it safer to access light rail transit throughout SW Portland."	Comment noted; no change needed. This comment has been forwarded to the city of Portland for consideration.
293	RTP #10284	Fitzgerald	Marianne	Community member	8/1/2018	Email	Request for additional safety measures and jurisdictional coordination for project 10284  "Since the Taylors Ferry Road Project 10284 is key to accessing the existing Barbur Transit Center and SWC light rail on Barbur, it is imperative that this project be designed to much more safely allow people to walk and bike to transit, shops and services in the West Portland Crossroads Town Center..."	This comment has been forwarded to the city of Portland for consideration as project moves toward implementation.
294	RTP #10189	Fitzgerald	Marianne	Community member	8/1/2018	Email	"We are extremely grateful that PBOT is moving forward with design and construction of Project 10189 from Multnomah Village to West Portland."	Comment noted; no change needed. This comment has been forwarded to the city of Portland for consideration.
295	RTP #11564	Fitzgerald	Marianne	Community member	8/1/2018	Email	Recommend building the SW 26th Ave. project first from the bundle of projects considered "Project 11564".  "Southwest Neighborhoods, Inc. sent a letter to PBOT on November 15, 2017 asking that the SW 26th project be done well to serve the needs of all vulnerable road users before the other projects are funded, and recommended the order in which these projects be constructed. This is one example where the SWC Station Access Projects must support access to transit in the SW Corridor."	This comment has been forwarded to the city of Portland for consideration.
296	Not currently a project	McFadden	Robert	Community member	8/1/2018	Email	Request to add a project to the RTP that provides safe pedestrian and bicycle access from SW Marcile Lane through the intersection of SW Kemmer and SW 170th down to SW 175th and SW High Hill Lane.	This comment has been forwarded to the city of Beaverton for consideration.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
297	Not currently a project	Swaren	Ron	Community member	8/2/2018	8/2 public hearing	Request to include a Western Arterial Highway as a future study in the RTP that also includes high capacity transit on Columbia Boulevard to provide an express route to Portland International airport.	No change recommended. Section 8.2.4.6 identifies a corridor refinement planning effort for regional mobility corridors connecting Hillsboro to Portland. This work will look at a variety of potential solutions, including new and improved road, transit and active transportation connections to address current and future growth in travel.
298	Transit service	Schlosshauer	Kari	Safe Routes to School National Partnership	8/3/2018	Letter	Recommendation to expand transit capital and operations to meet or exceed service levels adopted in the Climate Smary Strategy."  "...including significant and early support for "enhanced transit" to ensure additional service will not get stuck in existing roadway congestion."	No change needed. The draft 2018 RTP transit service investment exceeds the service levels adopted in the Climate Smart Strategy by 100 hours.
299	Not currently a project	Axelrod	Russ	West Linn Mayor and Chair of Willamette Falls Locks Commission, on behalf of the WFLC	8/6/2018	Letter	Add the Willamette Falls Locks project to the 2018 RTP strategic list. As documented in the January 2018 Economic Benefits Report, completed by ECONorthwest, reopening the Locks will bring significant economic and transportation benefits to the entire region. The quantified benefits from tourism, recreation and commercial business uses outweigh the costs of repair and reopening over a 30-year horizon. Transportation benefits alone are estimated to be a minimum of \$12 million to \$49 million over that time period, including commodity movement efficiencies from shifting aggregate movements from truck to barge, and would help reduce congestion on the I-205 and Highway 99W corridors. The mode shift would also reduce greenhouse gases and air pollutants. Additionally, with proposed seismic upgrades, the Locks would provide alternative transportation routes in the event of a large scale seismic event, allowing goods to move north and south along the entire Willamette River.	Amend this project into the RTP constrained list as a new freight project for the 2028-2040 time period, RTP #12090. This recommendation has been developed in coordination with and is supported by Clackamas County staff.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
300	Not currently a project	Lindekugel	Annie	DePaul Industries	8/7/2018	Email	<p>Recommending additional transit hours for buses around the region.</p> <p>"Marine Drive to Kelly Point Park - there isn't off hour, early morning or weekend transportation at this location.</p> <p>Century Blvd Hillsboro OR - there isn't a bus at all for early morning and 3rd shift</p> <p>Tualatin - Herman Road and Tualatin Sherwood Road - there isn't an early morning 6am stop."</p>	No change recommended. This comment has been forwarded to TriMet, the agency responsible for developing and implementing specific routes, service hours and stop locations. The RTP and the RTS identifies future transit service improvements but does not identify specific elements of those service improvements. TriMet has been working with riders, residents, neighborhood groups, governments, schools and business to develop a future long-term vision to improve transit service through their Future of Transit Service Enhancement Plans. This plan cannot be built all at once but provides a basis for each new annual service plan. Additionally, as our communities change, each annual service plan allows for flexibility of implementing the transit vision.
301	Not currently a project	Jamtgaard	Ron	Community member	8/8/2018	Email	Recommend addition of a major project connecting Hillsboro and Interstate 5.	No change recommended. A corridor refinement plan has been recommended to evaluation potential multi-modal transportation solutions between Hillsboro and the City of Portland.
302	RTP #12028	Parker	Terry	Community member	8/9/2018	Email	Request to not remove motor vehicle capacity or parking as part of the NE Sandy Blvd Enhanced Transit project.	No change recommended. This comment has been forwarded to City of Portland and TriMet for consideration. The RTP and RTS identify future Enhanced Transit Projects but does not identify specific elements of those projects. No decisions have been made on specific project elements associated with any future projects, including Sandy Boulevard. More planning work still needs to occur. Any changes to the parking or lane utilization will be evaluated to identify impacts and benefits and shared with the community before any action is taken.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
303	RTP #10180	Parker	Terry	Community member	8/9/2018	Email	Request to not remove motor vehicle capacity or parking, not add bike lanes and not narrow lane widths as part of the Sandy Blvd. Corridor Safety Improvements project. Additionally, recommendation to add more crosswalks with flashing beacons.	This comment has been forwarded to City of Portland consideration. The RTP identifies future projects but does not identify specific elements of those projects. No decisions have been made on specific project elements associated with any future projects. More planning work still needs to occur. Any changes to the parking or lane utilization will be evaluated to identify impacts and benefits and shared with the community before any action is taken.
304	RTP #11320	Parker	Terry	Community member	8/10/2018	Email	Request to add \$250,000 to the 60th MAX Station Area Improvements project to add pedestrian scale street lights at intersections with new sidewalks on 60th Avenue between Halsey and MAX station.  Additionally, request to add left turn signal westbound on Halsey to southbound on 60th Ave. and that lane widths be no less than 11 feet wide	This comment has been forwarded to the city of Portland for consideration.
305	RTP #10316	Parker	Terry	Community member	8/10/2018	Email	Recommendation to remove, realign or modify guardrail that extends from southwest corner of 68th and Halsey intersection to southeast corner of the freeway overpass as part of Halsey Street Bridge Seismic Retrofit.  "The existing guard rail obstructs sight lines for cars turning left from 68th onto westbound Halsey. Drivers turning left from 68th must pull onto Halsey past the curb corners to see on coming eastbound traffic."	This comment has been forwarded to the city of Portland for consideration.
306	RTP #10320	Parker	Terry	Community member	8/10/2018	Email	Request to remove proposed two-way bike lane on south side of Halsey/82nd overpass and roundabout at 80th from the RTP altogether, as part of NE Halsey Safety and Access to Transit project.  "Instead of bypassing the 82nd Avenue max station, an option that routes bikes across I-84 on 82nd Avenue - connecting with max and crossing 82nd at the Jonesmore signal which connects to Halsey at about 84th - should be considered."	This comment has been forwarded to the city of Portland for consideration.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
307	RTP #10320	Parker	Terry	Community member	8/10/2018	Email	Recommendation to construct a two-way multi-use path between 67th and 80th on the north side of Halsey, as part of the NE Halsey Safety and Access to Transit project.  "Since the streets that cross Halsey between 68th and 80th are all offset with no direct crossing; and with the exception of 74th that has an overpass over I-84, the cross streets on the North side of Halsey are only one block long thereby carrying very little traffic compared to the streets on south side of Halsey."	This comment has been forwarded to the city of Portland for consideration.
308	RTP #10320	Parker	Terry	Community member	8/10/2018	Email	Request to retain on-street parking west of 67th on Halsey and not add bike lanes, as part of the NE Halsey Safety and Access to Transit project.	This comment has been forwarded to the city of Portland for consideration.
309	Did not specify	Parker	Terry	Community member	8/10/2018	Email	Request to redirect all funding for alternative mode infrastructure to the Rose Quarter I-5 improvements project	No change recommended. Many of the funds identified for the 2018 RTP are obligated for specific projects or specific types of projects, such as transit and active transportation, and Metro cannot redirect these funds.
310	RTP Projects General comment	Liden	Keith	Community member	8/12/2018	Email	Request to direct more funding projects to bicycle and pedestrian projects  "I definitely support transit investment, but frankly it hasn't offered the same return as bicycle infrastructure. The region needs to invest much more in bicycling and walking, which has proven to be very cost-effective."	No change recommended. It should be noted that nearly 60 percent of the road and bridge projects complete gaps in sidewalks and bike facilities. Many of the funds identified for the 2018 RTP are obligated for specific projects or specific types of projects, such as highways or public transportation, and Metro cannot redirect these funds. As part of the refinement of the draft RTP project list, Metro recommended prioritizing completion of biking and walking network gaps in the near term. While active transportation has limited dedicated funding sources, cities and counties identified active transportation projects in excess of the dedicated active transportation funds. In several instances, the majority of a city's funding budget is allocated for planned active transportation projects (including Portland, Tigard, West Linn and Milwaukie). The region will continue to seek more dedicated funding for active transportation projects.



## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
311	RTP Projects General comment	Liden	Keith	Community member	8/12/2018	Email	Suggestion to shift \$2-4 billion of investment from other categories into walking and bicycling projects.	No change recommended. It should be noted that nearly 60 percent of the road and bridge projects complete gaps in sidewalks and bike facilities. Many of the funds identified for the 2018 RTP are obligated for specific projects or specific types of projects, such as highways or public transportation. Metro does not have authority to redirect funds. Cities and counties identify projects for the RTP which will implement regional policies and achieve regional and local goals. As noted in Chapter 5, the greater Portland region is falling behind in making the investments needed to achieve regional goals because of funding constraints. The project lists reflect the funding constraints and the lack of dedicated funding for active transportation projects. The region will continue to seek more dedicated funding for active transportation projects.
312	RTP Projects General comment	Labbe	Ted	Urban Greenspaces Institute	8/21/2018	Letter	"Too many funds are being devoted to highway projects and road widening and insufficient investment in transit, biking/walking and transportation demand management."	No change recommended. It should be noted that nearly 60 percent of the road and bridge projects complete gaps in sidewalks and bike facilities. Many of the funds identified for the 2018 RTP are obligated for specific projects or specific types of projects, such as highways or public transportation. Metro does not have authority to redirect funds. Cities and counties identify projects for the RTP which will implement regional policies and achieve regional and local goals. As noted in Chapter 5, the greater Portland region is falling behind in making the investments needed to achieve regional goals because of funding constraints. The project lists reflect the funding constraints and the lack of dedicated funding for active transportation projects. The region will continue to seek more dedicated funding for transit and active transportation projects.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
313	General comment	RTP Projects General comment	Ted	Urban Greenspaces Institute	8/21/2018	Letter	"Most of the constrained projects are located at the periphery of the region. Too much road development/widening, particularly in areas that are on the outskirts of the region can facilitate land development where it is least appropriate from a growth management perspective. At the very least, many of these projects should be moved from the constrained project list to the strategic project list to allow more safety, active transportation and transit projects to be included in the constrained project list."	No change recommended. As noted previously, nearly 60 percent of the road and bridge projects complete gaps in sidewalks and bike facilities. Many of the funds identified for the 2018 RTP are obligated for specific projects or specific types of projects, such as highways, roads or public transportation. Metro does not have authority to redirect funds. Cities and counties identify projects for the RTP which will implement regional policies and achieve regional and local goals. Many of the projects in the "outskirts" of the region address expected growth and development in designated centers, downtowns, corridors served by transit and industrial and employment centers. As noted in Chapter 5, the greater Portland region is falling behind in making the investments needed to achieve regional goals because of funding constraints. The project lists reflect the funding constraints and the lack of dedicated funding for active transportation projects. The region will continue to seek more dedicated funding for safety, transit and active transportation projects.
314	Not currently a project	Lewis	John	City of Oregon City	8/10/2018	Letter	Request to add the Willamette Falls Legacy Project internal roadways to the 2018-27 financially constrained project list.	Amend as requested as new project #12089. This recommendation has been coordinated with and is supported by Clackamas County staff.
315	RTP #11816	Dublinski-Milton	Terry and Krystofer	Community member	8/13/2018	Email	Request to consider adding combined bus and bike lane on Burnside from 41st to 69th to East Burnside Improvements projects.	This comment has been forwarded to the city of Portland for consideration.
316	RTP #11320	Dublinski-Milton	Terry and Krystofer	Community member	8/13/2018	Email	Request to add bikeway access to the 60th MAX station improvements project.	This comment has been forwarded to the city of Portland for consideration.
317	RTP #11821	Dublinski-Milton	Terry and Krystofer	Community member	8/13/2018	Email	Stated that the six neighborhoods along its route, along with SE Uplift neighborhood coalition, all support this project.	Comment noted; no change requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
318	RTP #11819	Dublinski-Milton	Terry and Krystofer	Community member	8/13/2018	Email	Request to move this project from the 2028-2040 list to the 2018-2028 list.  "It is the biggest connectivity issue in inner SE, and considering the lack of projects in that region is an equity issue."	Amend as requested. This recommendation has been coordinated with and is supported by city of Portland staff.
319	Not currently a project	Dublinski-Milton	Terry and Krystofer	Community member	8/13/2018	Email	Request to include a project for a bike bridge, that can be used by emergency vehicles in a crisis, from the Gibbs street overpass in the South Waterfront to the Springwater in Brooklyn	This comment has been forwarded to the city of Portland for consideration.
320	Several projects	Dublinski-Milton	Terry and Krystofer	Community member	8/13/2018	Email	Does not support any highway expansion, aside from the Rose Quarter expansion, until the following criteria are met: 1. Entire limited access highway system has congestion pricing (I-5, I-205, I-84, OR 217, maybe US 26, OR 224) 2. All high crash corridors have had safety improvements within all Portland neighborhood corridors being reduced to one lane of travel in each direction 3. Each expansion is coupled with multi-use path improvements that parallel the roadway completion	No change recommended. Generally, the RTP sets broad policy outcomes which guide funding criteria and decisions. 1) Oregon House Bill 2017 directs the Oregon Transportation Commission to develop a proposal for congestion pricing on I-5 and I-205. ODOT is currently conducting a feasibility analysis to determine how congestion pricing could help ease congestion. The analysis will be used to develop the proposal. If the Federal Highway Administration approves the proposal, the Oregon Transportation Commission is required to implement the proposal. 2) Improving all high injury corridors is a top priority of the RTP. 3) ORS 366.514, commonly known as "the Bike Bill" requires the inclusion of facilities for pedestrians and bicyclists wherever a road, street or highway is being constructed or reconstructed and applies to the ODOT as well as Oregon cities and counties. So, technically, expansion of any highway facility would require adding bicycle and pedestrian facilities, often in the form of a parallel multi-use path (that is how the I-205 and I-84 MUPs were constructed).
321	RTP #11937	Dublinski-Milton	Terry and Krystofer	Community member	8/13/2018	Email	Suggestion to coordinate the Orange Line expansion project with the Willamette Falls project, as well as completing regional bikeway system connections to Willamette Falls.	Comment noted. This comment has been forwarded to TriMet, Oregon City and Clackamas County staff for consideration as the projects move forward to more detailed project development.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
322	RTP #10893	Dublinski-Milton	Terry and Krystofer	Community member	8/13/2018	Email	Recommendation to redesign the Columbia River Crossing project starting from the original proposal with, among other things, road reconfigurations, seismic upgrades and other bikeways and pedestrian improvements.	This comment has been forwarded to TriMet, ODOT and City of Portland staff for consideration. The locally preferred alternative adopted by JPACT and the Metro Council will be the starting point for any future updates to this project.
323	Not currently a project	Dublinski-Milton	Terry and Krystofer	Community member	8/13/2018	Email	Recommendation to build a light rail line that travels from the WES in Beaverton, bypasses downtown on to Powell Blvd, and then continues to Happy Valley.	No change recommended. A new potential high capacity transit connection has been identified between the southern terminus of the Southwest corridor project to the Clackamas regional center and from downtown Portland to I-205 via Powell Boulevard. The actual mode would be determined through more detailed planning.
324	Not currently a project	Dublinski-Milton	Terry and Krystofer	Community member	8/13/2018	Email	Request to deconstruct/remove the Eastbank Highway and the Marquam Bridge.  "...the metro region should investigate remodeling 405 and making it the new I5, increasing its capacity and...then remove all of the eastside from I84 to the SW interchange between I5 and 405."	No change recommended. This comment has been forwarded to ODOT for consideration.
325	RTP #11821	Dublinski-Milton	Terry	SE Uplift Neighborhood Coalition	8/13/2018	Letter	Submitted letter in support of project 11821, the 60s Bikeway.	Comment noted. No change recommended.
326	RTP #11376	Valencia	Joanna	Multnomah County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to move the Earthquake Ready Burnside Bridge Design and Right of Way phase (project 11376) from the Financially Constrained 2028-2040 list to the Financially Constrained 2018-2027 list.	Amend as requested.
327	RTP #11300	Valencia	Joanna	Multnomah County	8/13/2018	Letter	Recommendation to update project description to: "improve arterial corridor operations by expanding traveler information and upgrading traffic signal equipment and timings, and making intersection improvements to lanes. Includes the ACM project with signal systems that automatically adapt to current arterial roadway conditions."	Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
328	Not currently a project	Charles	John	Cascade Policy Institute	8/13/2018	Email	Recommendation to remove the Columbia River Crossing project from the RTP and include two new projects, both Columbia River bridges: one downstream of I-5, that would allow Wash Co. residents to cross the Columbia without having to go downtown to I-405; and a new bridge to Vancouver east of I-205.	No change recommended. The locally preferred alternative adopted by JPACT and the Metro Council will be the starting point for any future updates to the Columbia River Crossing project. Other crossings identified in the comment have not been studied through a public process.
329	Not currently a project	Charles	John	Cascade Policy Institute	8/13/2018	Email	Recommendation to include highway plans for the Westside Bypass and the Sunrise Highway in the RTP.	No change recommended. The RTP includes remaining arterial, transit, highway and interchange capacity projects consistent with the adopted Western Bypass Study recommendations (Metro Resolution No. 97-2497) and OR 217 study recommendations (Metro Resolution No. 06-3658). Future phases of the adopted Sunrise Highway locally preferred alternative are also included in the RTP (#11301 and #12020).
330	RTP #11176	Charles	John	Cascade Policy Institute	8/13/2018	Email	Recommendation to include the Marquam Bridge to I-84 stretch in the I-5/Rose Quarter project.	No change recommended. This comment has been forwarded to ODOT for consideration.
331	Did not specify	Dula	Mariah	Northeast Coalition of Neighborhoods	8/8/2018	Letter	Request that \$2 million designated for safety improvements from Lombard to Hancock be extended to the Williams and Vancouver corridor.  "Presently, there are four high injury intersections along the MLK, Williams and Vancouver streets as identified on the 2018 Metro safety map...the RTP has a combined \$95 million in planned transit projects for this corridor over the next 22 years, yet according to planning documents these projects provide 'no safety benefit'."	This comment has been forwarded to the city of Portland for consideration.
332	RTP #11176	Steeves Aaron	Marshall Brown	Community members	8/16/2018 8/2/18	Email 8/2 public hearing	Request to not fund the I-5 Rose Quarter expansion project and to use funding to build reliable and efficient transit options between the City of Portland and other adjacent jurisdictions.	No change recommended. This project has been identified as a priority by the Oregon Legislature and has committed funding through HB 2017. The project design is consistent with RTP policy for the planned function and capacity of a throughway and includes transit and active transportation design elements identified through the project planning process.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
333	RTP #11587	Caster	James	Community member	7/4/2018	Email	Expressing opposition to the SW Corridor light rail project, specifically that the project will displace businesses and homes. In lieu of light rail, advocating for expanded bus service with dedicated lanes, noting that it would be cheaper and would not displace homes or businesses.	<p>No change recommended. The Southwest Corridor light rail is projected to carry 43,000 weekday riders in 2035. Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) was studied as part of the Southwest Corridor planning process, but due to the smaller vehicle capacities compared to light rail, buses would need to run too often during peak demand to be granted signal priority along SW Barbur Boulevard without impacting traffic. The high service frequencies would also impact transit mall operations. Larger-capacity light rail vehicles can run less frequently and so would not have those issues.</p> <p>Introducing dedicated bus lanes on Highway 99W (SW Barbur Boulevard) would either require converting through traffic lanes to transit use, which the light rail project will not do in order to avoid traffic impacts, or require displacing homes and businesses.</p> <p>People are projected to access the Southwest Corridor light rail by walking/biking (59%), transferring from buses (26%), or by park and ride (15%). Today, the Blue line carries over 55,000 weekday riders with about 5,000 park and ride spaces, many of which are used by Red line and various bus line riders.</p>
334	RTP #10766	Faha	Lori	City of Tigard	8/20/2018	Letter	<p>Project is in the constrained list. Request to separate RTP project #10766: Regional Trail Gap Closure: Multiple Sections on Fanno, Washington Square Loop, and Westside Trails into two separate projects:</p> <p>1) RTP Project ID 10766: Regional Trail Gap Closure: Multiple Sections on Fanno, Washington Square Loop, and Westside Trails, with an estimated project cost of \$3 million dollars, and</p> <p>2) New RTP Project ID: Fanno Creek Trail: Bonita to Tualatin, with an estimated project cost of \$7 million dollars</p>	Amend project list to create a standalone project for Fanno Creek Trail (Bonita to Durham Park) as RTP Project #12088. The remaining Fanno Creek Trail gaps will be retained in #10766 pending more detailed planning.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
335	All projects	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/16/2018	Letter	Recommendation to incorporate the best available natural resource information for individual projects, specifically:  a) Add a line item to every RTP project to describe environmental enhancements or benefits included in the project, if any.	No change recommended at this time. It is unlikely project sponsors can provide this information within the time remaining to finalize the RTP. As noted, all projects will be flagged that intersect with resource units. An effort will be made to more fully address this comment as part of the next RTP (due in 2023).
336	RTP #11673	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/16/2018	Letter	Recommendation to incorporate the best available natural resource information for individual projects, specifically: In future RTP updates, consult with a Parks and Nature science staff earlier in the RTP process to provide a comprehensive project-by-project assessment for proposed projects.	Comment noted. Planning staff will consult with parks and nature science staff and federal and state resources agencies earlier in the RTP update process to ensure the best available natural resource information is used in future assessments.
337	RTP #11673	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/16/2018	Letter	Recommendation to change "includes regional trail" to "yes" for Project 11673	Amend as requested.
338	RTP #11674	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/16/2018	Letter	Recommendation to change "includes regional trail" to "yes" for project 11674.	Amend as requested.
339	RTP #10766	Blasher	Jon	Metro - Parks and Nature	8/16/2018	Letter	Recommendation to split RTP project 10766 into collection of projects, specifically:  "RTP ID 10766: This project is in fact a collection of several distinct projects. Each individual project should be broken out with its own RTP project number. Create a separate project for "Fanno Creek Trail – Bonita Road to Durham Park" and list the time period as "2018-2027."	Amend project list to create a standalone project for Fanno Creek Trail (Bonita to Durham Park). This change was also recommended by the City of Tigard. The remaining Fanno Creek Trail gaps will be retained in #10766 pending more detailed planning.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on Draft Regional Transportation Safety Strategy (by chapter)								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC and JPACT recommendation (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
340	Regional Safety Strategy Foreword	Grisham	Elka	Community member	7/13/2018	Email	Change photo on page 7 to show bicyclist with a helmet (current photo has bicyclist not wearing a helmet)  "Seems like you could send an important message with a different picture that encourages people to use proper safety gear while riding bicycles."	Amend as requested.
341	Regional Safety Strategy Chapter 1	Marek	Joe	Clackamas County	8/15/2018	Email	(Page 16) Recommendation to revise sentence to say "...the strategy should be dedicated to all persons who have been killed or seriously injured while using the transportation system in the greater Portland region."	Amend as requested. For consistency, the term "traffic violence" was also updated in the third paragraph on page 16, and on page 44 of the Regional Transportation Safety Strategy.
342	Regional Safety Strategy Chapter 1	Marek	Joe	Clackamas County	8/15/2018	Email	(Page 22) Recommendation to omit "or near zero" in the first sentence of the first paragraph. We should never waiver from our goal of Zero.	Amend as requested.
343	Regional Safety Strategy Chapter 1	Marek	Joe	Clackamas County	8/15/2018	Email	(Page 25) Recommendation for "Safe Speeds" paragraph to consider threading in a reference to kinetic energy transfer as you discuss physical limitations of the human body.	Amend as requested. The following sentence will be added: " <u>When speed increases, the risk of a crash and of its severity increases as well. The severity of a crash follows from the laws of physics. At higher speeds, the kinetic energy released in a crash increase with the square of the speed and the changes of speed experienced by those struck by or occupying the vehicles involved increase with speed.</u> " Reference to the following report will be added: "Speed and Crash Risk Research Report. International Transport Forum and International Traffic Safety Data and Analysis Group (2018).
344	Regional Safety Strategy Chapter 1	Marek	Joe	Clackamas County	8/15/2018	Email	(Section 2.5, Page 50) Recommendation to replace "stretches" with "segments" in the first sentence.	Amend as requested.



## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <b><del>strikeout</del></b> and <u>underscore</u> )
345	Regional Safety Strategy All Chapters and Appendices			Metro staff	8/21/2018	n/a	Miscellaneous technical corrections, copy edits, table and figure numbering corrections and add missing information	Amend as requested.
346	Other comments and recommendations will be added from the RTP document comments and recommendations in Exhibit C to Ordinance No. 18-1421, as appropriate.							Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on Draft Regional Transit Strategy (by chapter)								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC and JPACT recommendation (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
347	Regional Transit Strategy Chapter 4	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland	8/3/2018	Letter	(Ch. 4, Section 2) No recommendation made. Support of the including of Cornell Road as a frequent bus service corridor.  "Audubon is located on Cornell Road which continues to experience serious congestions and limited accessibility other than by car. We believe this corridor should be a priority for future bus route expansion."	Comment noted. No change needed.
348	Regional Transit Strategy Chapter 8	Pyszka	Alisa	Community member	7/16/2018	Letter	Include Frog Ferry in the Regional Transit Plan for further study  "As a resident within the Portland region, I support including the planning for passenger ferry service as apart of the <u>Regional Transit Plan</u> ."	Amend the strategy to add a call out box describing private efforts to study the potential for passenger ferry service.  Amend Chapter 8 to add a new study of the potential for passenger ferry service.
341	Regional Safety Strategy Chapter 4	Sallinger	Bob	Audubon Society of Portland	8/3/2018	Letter	(Ch. 4, section 2, strategy 2.7 of strategy 2 actions table) Recommendation to develop a "dark sky" policy to guide both Metro's policy decisions and management of its own properties in order to avoid unnecessary light pollution in region.  "We appreciate and support the statement in the illumination strategy...lack of real standards for lighting across the Metro region has unnecessarily allowed light pollution to proliferate not due to conflicting objectives but rather due to lack of <u>careful consideration</u> ."	No change recommended. While it is beyond the scope of the Regional Transportation Safety Strategy and RTP to define a "dark sky" policy for the region, minimizing light pollution has been identified as a potential mitigation strategy in Chapter 3 of the RTP in support of RTP Goal 6.
349	Regional Transit Strategy All Chapters and Appendices			Metro staff	8/21/2018	n/a	Miscellaneous technical corrections, copy edits, table and figure numbering corrections and add missing information	Amend as requested.
350	Other comments and recommendations will be added from the RTP document comments and recommendations in Exhibit C to Ordinance No. 18-1421, as appropriate.							Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on Draft Regional Freight Strategy (by chapter)								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC and JPACT recommendation (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
351	Regional Freight Strategy Chapter 2	Hagar	Jim	Port of Vancouver	8/16/2018	Email	(2.2 Freight trends - page 13) Recommendation to add to the third paragraph some mention that both Portland and Vancouver harbors will likely have a longer-term trend of growth.	Amend as requested.
352	Regional Freight Strategy Chapter 2	Hagar	Jim	Port of Vancouver	8/16/2018	Email	(Page 15) Recommendation to mention using the waterways in the region (Columbia and Willamette) as corridors as well, particularly for freight mobility, but also potentially as an alternative for mobility related to access to jobs, etc.	Amend Chapter 5, page 74, to add the following sentence under the Rivers and Barges section, " <u>The Willamette River also carries freight to and from Swan Island.</u> "
353	Regional Freight Strategy Chapter 2	Hagar	Jim	Port of Vancouver	8/16/2018	Email	(Page 17). Question about if the real export growth graphic includes Port of Vancouver or just Port of Portland	Amend page 17 to add the word " <u>Vancouver</u> " to the first sentence to reflect this graphic does include Port of Vancouver since the calculation is for Portland, OR-WA and includes Vancouver as part of the region.
354	Regional Freight Strategy Chapter 2	Hagar	Jim	Port of Vancouver	8/16/2018	Email	(Page 18) Recommendation to mention that Washington is the most trade dependent state in the US with 40% of jobs tied to trade.	Amend page 18 to add the following sentence, " <u>Washington is the most trade-dependent state in the US, and Oregon is the 9th most trade-dependent state.</u> "
355	Regional Freight Strategy Chapter 2	Hagar	Jim	Port of Vancouver	8/16/2018	Email	(Page 31) Recommendation to highlight SR 501 and SR 500 as part of the regional freight network  (Page 31) Recommendation to highlight in yellow the POV property directly north of the POP on the Columbia River  (Page 32) Recommendation to add Port of Vancouver to the multimodal maps in Figure 8	Amend the Regional Freight Strategy (and where appropriate the RTP) as follows: - revise the Regional Freight Map to better distinguish RTC and county designated freight routes outside of the metropolitan planning area boundary for reference. The RTP system maps currently reflect facilities that are within Metro's planning responsibility for federal and state purposes. - add a separate map of Regional Freight Routes and industrial lands and marine facilities designated by the RTC in their long-range transportation plan for reference. Marine facilities and other land use designations shown on the RTP System maps are only within the MPA boundary reflecting Metro's planning responsibility.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
356	Regional Freight Strategy Chapter 2	Hagar	Jim	Port of Vancouver	8/16/2018	Email	(Page 96) Recommendation to call out the I-5 bridge as project that needs to be completed.  "...using the Columbia River Crossing is probably not the way to address it. I'd say outdated or obsolete I-5 bridge."	No change recommended. This project is currently identified in the Financially Constrained RTP project list.
357	Regional Freight Strategy Chapter 3	Hagar	Jim	Port of Vancouver	8/16/2018	Email	(Page 3-99) Highlight the Port of Vancouver property directly north of the Port of Portland property on the Columbia River in yellow.  (Page 3-100) Recommendation to add Port of Vancouver to the multimodal maps in Figure 8.	Add a map of Regional Freight Routes and industrial lands and marine facilities designated by the RTC in their long-range transportation plan for reference. Marine facilities and other land use designations shown on the RTP System maps are only within the MPA boundary reflecting Metro's planning responsibility.
358	Regional Freight Strategy Chapter 3	Wright	Eric	PDX Container	6/13/2018	Email	Amend the third paragraph of Chapter 3.2 (page 25) to include "pipeline, air, <u>truck</u> routes..." in the first sentence and to say "Rail branch lines <u>and heavy vehicle corridors</u> " and "...to rail yards <u>and truck terminals</u> " in the third sentence.	Amend as requested.
359	Regional Freight Strategy Chapter 3	Kraushaar	Nancy	City of Wilsonville	7/2/2018	Email	(Ch. 3, Section 5) Amend the Regional Freight Network map to reflect completed construction of Kinsman Road (freight route) between Barber and Boeckman in Wilsonville. Request for it to be a solid line, not a dashed line.	Amend as requested.
360	Regional Freight Strategy All Chapters and Appendices			Metro staff	8/21/2018	n/a	Miscellaneous technical corrections, copy edits, table and figure numbering corrections and add missing information	Amend as requested.
361	Other comments and recommendations will be added from the RTP document comments and recommendations in Exhibit C to Ordinance No. 18-1421, as appropriate.							Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on Draft Regional Emerging Technology Strategy (by chapter)								
#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC and JPACT recommendation (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
362	Emerging Technology Strategy Chapter 3	Hagerbaumer	Chris	Oregon Environmental Council	8/11/2018	Letter	(Section 3.2.4.3) Suggestion to specifically state that one way to ensure that emerging technologies are shared is to price them in a way that encourages several passengers, rather than zero passengers.  "One principle states: 'Emerging technology companies and users should contribute their fair share of the stewardship cost of operating, maintaining and building the transportation system' OEC agrees."	No change recommended. This is already addressed in the RTP policies and the Emerging Technology Strategy, which goes into greater detail about how these policies can be implemented. Policy 2 in Section 3.2.4.3 commits to "Use emerging technology to improve transit service, provide shared travel options throughout the region and support transit, bicycling and walking." The first implementation action listed under this policy in the ETS is to "Price, manage and design streets to reduce vehicle miles traveled and prioritize transit use and shared travel." Other RTP policies calls for more general pricing of vehicle travel, which would also apply to shared vehicles: - "Expand the use of pricing strategies to manage vehicle congestion and encourage shared trips and use of transit." (RTP Goal 2, Objective 4.6, p. 2-15.) - "In combination with increased transit service, consider use of value pricing to manage congestion and raise revenue when one or more lanes are being added to throughways." (Regional Motor Vehicle Policy 6, p. 3-52.)
363	Regional Emerging Technology Strategy All Chapters and Appendices			Metro staff	8/21/2018	n/a	Miscellaneous technical corrections, copy edits, table and figure numbering corrections and add missing information	Amend as requested.
364	Other comments and recommendations will be added from the RTP document comments and recommendations in Exhibit C to Ordinance No. 18-1421, as appropriate.							Amend as requested.

## 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Appendices and Strategies Summary of Comments Received and Recommended Actions

(comments received June 29 through September 6, 2018)

The Public Review Draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), appendices and regional strategies for safety, transit, freight and emerging technology were released for final public review from June 29 through August 13, 2018. Comments were received through September 6. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website, in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on August 2, 2018 and through consultation of four Native American Tribes and federal and state resource, wildlife, transportation, land management and regulatory agencies. This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period. New wording is shown in underline; deleted words are crossed out in **bold ~~strikeout~~**. Amendments to relevant documents are made by JPACT and Metro Council adoption of this Exhibit C and will be reflected in the final printed RTP document, appendices and strategies.

All items in this Exhibit C are recommended by JPACT and MPAC for approval by the Metro Council.

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Comments on draft 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	MPAC AND JPACT recommendations (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
---	---------------------	-----------	------------	-------------	------	--------	---	--

### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION - Additional Comments on Draft RTP Project Lists (by project number)

#	Chapter or Appendix	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Method	Proposed change identified in comment (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )	JPACT recommendation (changes shown in <del>strikeout</del> and <u>underscore</u> )
365	RTP #12083 and RTP #10922	Owen	Jeff	TriMet	10/5/2018	10/5/18 TPAC meeting	Revise Red Line extension project description as follows, "Project Development to enable extension of Red Line service to the Hillsboro Airport/Fair Complex Station and improve reliability of the entire MAX light rail system. Project includes double-tracking <u>and a new inbound Red Line station at Gateway Transit Center, double-tracking at Portland Airport, upgrades to signals and switches along the alignment, <del>a new storage track at Ruby Junction,</del></u> and purchase of <del>eight</del> new light rail vehicles <u>needed to operate the extension and needed storage capacity at Ruby Junction to house the new vehicles.</u> "	Amend as requested.
							Revise Red Line extension project description as follows, Capital construction to enable extension of Red Line service to the Hillsboro Airport/Fair Complex Station and improve reliability of the entire MAX light rail system. Project includes double-tracking <u>and a new inbound Red Line station at Gateway Transit Center, double-tracking at Portland Airport, upgrades to signals and switches along the alignment, <del>a new storage track at Ruby Junction,</del></u> and purchase of <del>eight</del> new light rail vehicles <u>needed to operate the extension and needed storage capacity at Ruby Junction to house the new vehicles.</u>	

### **Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC)**

Denny Doyle, City of Beaverton, MPAC Chair  
Larry Morgan, City of Troutdale, MPAC Vice Chair  
Emerald Bogue, Port of Portland  
Steve Callaway, City of Hillsboro  
Sam Chase, Metro Council  
Chloe Eudaly, City of Portland  
Betty Dominguez, Metro Council  
Andy Duyck, Washington County  
Maxine Fitzpatrick, Multnomah County citizen  
Amanda Fritz, City of Portland  
Mark Gamba, City of Milwaukie  
Linda Glover, City of Vancouver  
Ed Gronke, Clackamas County citizen  
Jeff Gudman, City of Lake Oswego  
Kathryn Harrington, Metro Council  
Jerry Hinton, City of Gresham  
Brian Hodson, City of Canby  
Gordon Hovies, Tualatin Valley Fire & Rescue  
Teri Lenahan, City of North Plains  
Renate Mengelberg, City of Oregon City  
Luis Nava, Washington County citizen  
Nathan Phelan, Peninsula Drainage District #1  
Craig Prosser, TriMet  
Jim Rue, Oregon Dept. of Land Conservation and Development  
Martha Schrader, Clackamas County  
Loretta Smith, Multnomah County  
Jeanne Stewart, Clark County  
Don Trotter, Clackamas County Fire District #1  
Peter Truax, City of Forest Grove  
Mark Watson, Hillsboro School District Board of Directors

### **Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT)**

Craig Dirksen, Metro Council, JPACT Chair  
Shirley Craddick, Metro Council, JPACT Vice Chair  
Shane Bemis, City of Gresham  
Nina DeConcini, Oregon Dept. of Environmental Quality  
Denny Doyle, City of Beaverton  
Doug Kelsey, TriMet  
Tim Knapp, City of Wilsonville  
Anne McEnerny-Ogle, City of Vancouver  
Curtis Robinhold, Port of Portland  
Roy Rogers, Washington County  
Chloe Eudaly, City of Portland  
Paul Savas, Clackamas County  
Bob Stacey, Metro  
Jeanne Stewart, Clark County  
Kris Strickler, Washington Dept. of Transportation  
Jessica Vega Pederson, Multnomah County  
Rian Windsheimer, Oregon Dept. of Transportation

---

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

#### **Project management team**

Elissa Gertler, planning and development director  
Margi Bradway, planning and development deputy director  
Kim Ellis, project manager  
Tyler Frisbee, policy and innovation manager  
Clifford Higgins, communications manager  
Tom Kloster, regional planning manager  
Ted Leybold, transportation planning manager  
Jessica Martin, administrative supervisor

#### **Research center**

Chris Johnson, modeling manager  
Cindy Pederson, modeling manager  
Karen Scott-Lowthian, client services manager  
Peter Bosa, principal researcher and modeler  
Aaron Breakstone, principal researcher and modeler  
Clint Chiavarini, senior GIS specialist  
Joe Gordon, senior GIS Specialist  
Al Mowbray, associate GIS specialist  
Thaya Patton, senior researcher and modeler  
Kevin Saavedra, assistant researcher and modeler

#### **Parks and nature**

Tommy Albo, natural areas GIS coordinator  
Lori Hennings, senior natural resource scientist  
Robert Spurlock, senior regional planner

#### **Planning and development**

Grace Cho, associate transportation planner  
Tim Collins, senior transportation planner  
Paulette Copperstone, program assistant  
Rebecca Hamilton, associate regional planner  
Matthew Hampton, senior GIS specialist and cartographer  
Lisa Hunrichs, director's assistant  
Dan Kaempff, principal transportation planner  
Ben Kahn, intern  
Frankie Lewington, communications specialist  
Ken Lobeck, senior transportation planner  
Andre' Lightsey-Walker, assistant regional planner  
Lake McTighe, senior regional planner  
John Mermin, senior regional planner  
Marie Miller, program assistant  
Peggy Morell, senior public affairs specialist  
Tim O'Brien, principal planner  
Jeff Raker, economic development planner  
Eliot Rose, senior technology and transportation planner  
Jamie Snook, principal transportation planner  
Julie Stringham, intern  
Caleb Winter, senior transportation planner

