

Product Stewardship for Household Hazardous Waste

Stakeholder Outreach Meeting #1

DATE: Monday January 25th
TIME: 8:30 am to 12:00 pm PST
ROOM: Metro Council Chambers

ADDRESS: 600 NE Grand, Portland, OR 97232



Time	Topic
8:30 - 8:40	Welcome and Introductions
8:40 – 9:00	Stakeholder process - purpose and objectives (See also next page) Review agenda for today & overview of future meetings
9:00 – 9:30	Overview - Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) Health and Environmental Impacts of HHW Public Sector Response to HHW Existing Infrastructure in Oregon for HHW
9:30 – 10:00	What is extended producer responsibility (EPR)? How can it be used for HHW? EPR – Key elements; Oregon examples (paint and e-waste); Product Steward Institute papers Discussion of Canadian EPR for HHW Programs High Level Overview of Metro Proposal For Oregon (HB 3251-1)
10:00 – 10:15	Break
10:15- 10:30	Metro's Approach to EPR for HHW In-Depth Overview of Oregon Bill HB 3251-1
10:30- 11:45	EPR for HHW - Key Components of Approach in HB 3251-1 There will be a facilitated discussion covering at least the three topics below. The discussion is intended to help clarify the intent of the legislation and identify areas of stakeholder concern. (See also next page for more explanation of these issues) Scope of covered products Program financing Program performance
11:45 – 12:00	Next Steps Actions required as a result of meeting and schedule for next meeting(s)
12:00	Adjourn

Product Stewardship for Household Hazardous Wastes

Stakeholder Outreach Meeting #1

Stakeholder process - purpose and objectives

Metro, the regional government in the Portland, Oregon metropolitan area, has drafted a statewide concept bill under which manufacturers selling designated products (e.g., pesticides and herbicides; solvents and thinners; and other flammable, corrosive, toxic or reactive household products) into the state would be responsible for providing stewardship programs for the collection and proper management of waste from those products. An <u>informational hearing</u> on the proposal was held in May 2015 at the Oregon legislature (see <u>HB 3251-1 amended version</u> (PDF) and <u>HB 3251-1 information</u> (PDF)). Today's meeting is the first of three stakeholder meetings about the bill.

The purpose of the stakeholder meetings is to provide the opportunity for producers, local governments, state government, the solid waste disposal and recycling industry and other members of the community to learn about and share their perspectives on the proposal. We believe that all those attending would agree that protecting public health and safety is a priority. The objective of these meetings is to take stakeholder perspectives into account in order to improve the proposed legislative concept. The meetings will provide stakeholders the opportunity to:

- Show how the private and public sectors can work together to protect public health, safety and the environment.
- Increase consumer and public confidence that public health and the environment are being protected by ensuring that products are managed responsibility through the end of a product's life.
- Ensure that stakeholders' valuable expertise is reflected in any legislation going forward.
- Given growing national interest in EPR for HHW, address potential ways to harmonize legislation across
 different jurisdictions that may be interested in similar legislation potentially providing benefits to both
 industry and governments.

EPR for HHW - Key Components of Approach in HB 3251-1 discussion issues

Scope of covered products

- Are the proposed legislative provisions sufficient for manufacturers to know which of their products would be covered?
- How would consumers and current HHW programs respond to the establishment of this proposed legislation?
- Should HHW from small businesses be covered by the program?

Program financing

- The concept legislation employs a "cost internalization" financing approach because it was thought most appropriate for HHW products. The discussion will explore this in more detail.
- The concept legislation assigns manufacturers their obligations based on HHW collected. How do stakeholders view this approach could it be fair and efficient?

Program performance

- Would the concept legislation ensure HHW services are provided throughout the state both urban and rural areas?
- Would the proposed approach be sure to build on existing HHW programs (including local infrastructure such as permanent HHW facilities)?