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Executive summary

Overview of Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project

In 2009, the Oregon Legislature required Metro to develop and implement a strategy to reduce the region's per capita greenhouse gas emissions from cars and light trucks by 2035.

Over the last four years, the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project has engaged community, business, public health and elected leaders to shape a draft Climate Smart Strategy that supports local plans for downtowns, main streets and employment areas; protects farms, forestland, and natural areas; creates healthy and equitable communities; increases travel options; and grows the economy while reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

The project launched its final public engagement activity in the fall of 2014 to collect input and comments from stakeholders and the interested public to be shared with policymakers as they develop their final recommendation to the Metro Council on the draft strategy. The results of that input are summarized in this report.

Public comment period

The Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project held a public comment period on the draft Climate Smart Strategy from Sept. 15 to Oct. 30, 2014. There were several options provided for the public and stakeholders to weigh in: by sending an email message or formal letter, taking an online survey, attending a community leaders meeting, and providing public testimony. Over the 45-day period in September and October, thousands of the region's residents shared their thoughts on the draft Climate Smart Strategy.

Direct responses to the draft strategy

For those interested in reviewing and providing detailed feedback on the specific components of the draft Climate Smart Strategy, the following public review documents were posted to the project website at oregonmetro.gov/draftapproach:

- overview of the draft strategy
- key results from the draft strategy
- draft Regional Framework Plan amendments
- draft toolbox of possible actions
- draft performance monitoring approach.

Metro received 90 letters and emails from local governments, community-based organizations and individuals.

Responses to the online survey

To reach a wider audience across the region, Metro commissioned Pivot Group, LLC, to create an online survey to gather feedback on seven of 10 Climate Smart policy areas. The survey was hosted at makeagreatplace.org. Metro received 2,347 survey responses.

For each policy area, respondents were asked if they support more investment in area and what should be considered as communities and the region implement each of the policies. Of respondents to these questions:

1. 83 percent supported more investment in making transit convenient, frequent, accessible and affordable. Top requests for things to consider included:
   - provide more frequent, reliable transit service to reduce travel times
   - expand the transit network to provide greater access to transit stops
2. 83 percent supported more investment in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Top requests for things to consider included:
   - invest in a comprehensive system of sidewalks and bike lanes
   - separate modes for safety
   - focus on safety for walkers and bikers – and drivers too.

3. 76 percent supported more investment in making streets and highways safe, reliable and connected. Top requests for things to consider included:
   - prioritize investing in safety for all modes
   - focus on maintaining and repairing existing roads, highways and bridges
   - prioritize improvements to vehicular travel over other modes to help reduce congestion.

4. 85 percent supported more investment in technology to actively manage the transportation system. Top requests for things to consider included:
   - prioritize investments that improve traffic flow
   - make sure it is cost effective
   - don't prioritize technology.

5. 68 percent supported more investment in providing information and incentives to expand the use of travel options. Top considerations recommended included:
   - there is already enough information available about travel options
   - it is more important to fund system improvements than to spend money on education and marketing
   - invest in educating travelers about non-single occupancy vehicle options.

6. 72 percent supported implementation of policies to manage parking to make efficient use of land and parking spaces. Top requests for things to consider included:
   - provide more parking, free parking and fewer parking meters
   - increase cost of parking and remove on-street parking
   - provide more park and ride lots and parking management tools that support non-single occupancy vehicle modes.

7. 83 percent supported more investment in the maintenance of existing transportation infrastructure and new improvements to accommodate a growing region. Top requests for things to consider included:
   - use funding efficiently and ensure that users pay for the transportation they use in a fair way
   - prioritize maintenance and widening of roads to make auto travel efficient
   - prioritize investment in transit.

Verbatim responses to the survey are available in Appendix H and Appendix G to this report.

Community leaders meeting

Metro brought together community leaders working on issues related to equity, environment, public health, housing, and transportation to discuss the draft Climate Smart Strategy and implementation recommendations. The meeting called on community leaders that had been involved over the last three years in other Climate
Smart engagement activities. The meeting provided opportunities for community leaders to:

- learn about the key elements recommended in the draft Climate Smart Strategy and the benefits and costs that are expected with implementation by 2035
- discuss, ask questions and provide feedback on the draft strategy and implementation recommendations
- understand opportunities to provide additional feedback as part of the public comment period and how input will be used to inform the Climate Smart Strategy and implementation recommendations considered by the Metro Council in December.

A more detailed summary of the meeting is available in Appendix B to this report.

Public testimony, comment letters and emails

Metro received 90 letters and emails during the comment period. An opportunity to give public testimony was provided at a public hearing held Thursday, Oct. 30, 2014, at the Metro Regional Center. Seven individuals representing community organizations and a local jurisdiction provided testimony that supported the formal position letters they submitted during the public comment period. The letters and emails submitted during the comment period are available in Appendix C and Appendix D to this report.

Staff recommendations

Comments specific to the posted public review documents are included in the Summary of Recommended Changes table available in Appendix F to this report. The summary provides the comments, staff responses and recommendations for changes to the draft strategy, Regional Framework Plan amendments, toolbox of possible actions, and performance monitoring approach. These recommendations will be deliberated by Metro advisory committees and the Metro Council for action before the end of the year.

Comments received during this period specific to implementation efforts will inform existing regional planning and decision-making processes, including Regional Transportation Plan updates, Regional Flexible Funds allocation processes, growth management decisions and corridor planning, as well as local and state planning and decision-making processes.

Project staff expects to provide more detailed information gathered during this comment period in spring 2015 to other Metro staff as well as city, county and regional agency staff and policymakers to further inform these implementation efforts.
Project background

The Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project responds to a 2009 mandate from the Oregon Legislature for Metro to develop and implement a strategy to reduce per capita greenhouse gas emissions from cars and small trucks by 20 percent below 2005 levels by 2035. The project has engaged community, business, public health and elected leaders in a discussion to shape the Climate Smart Strategy that accommodates expected growth, exceeds the state mandate, and supports local and regional plans for downtowns, main streets and employment areas.

Working together over the last four years, community, business and elected leaders have been shaping a strategy to meet the state goal while creating healthy and equitable communities and a strong economy.

Phase 1: Understanding our land use and transportation choices (January 2011 to January 2012)

Phase 1 consisted of testing strategies on a regional level to understand which strategies can most effectively help the region meet the state greenhouse gas emissions reduction mandate. A strategy toolbox was developed to provide a comprehensive review of the latest research on greenhouse gas reduction strategies and their potential effectiveness and benefits. Staff also engaged public officials, community and business leaders, community groups and government staff through two regional summits, 31 stakeholder interviews and public opinion research.

The Phase 1 findings indicated that current adopted plans and policies – if realized – along with state assumptions related to advancements in cleaner, low carbon fuels and more fuel-efficient vehicle technologies, including electric and other alternative fuel vehicles, provide a strong foundation for meeting the state target.

Although current plans move the region in the right direction, current funding is not sufficient to implement adopted local and regional plans. As a result, the region concluded that a key to meeting the target would be a collaborative effort of city, county, state and regional governmental agencies to develop public and private partnerships to invest in communities in ways that support adopted local and regional plans and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Phase 2: Shaping our land use and transportation choices (January 2012 to October 2013)

Phase 2 focused on shaping and evaluating future choices for supporting community visions and meeting the state greenhouse gas emissions reduction target. Metro conducted a sensitivity analysis of the policy areas tested during Phase 1 to better understand the greenhouse gas emissions reduction potential of individual strategies within each policy area.
Metro undertook an extensive consultation process by sharing the Phase 1 findings with cities, counties, county-level coordinating committees, regional advisory committees and state commissions. As a part of this process, Metro regularly convened a local government staff technical work group throughout 2012 and 2013. The work group provided technical advice to Metro staff, and assistance with engaging local government officials and senior staff.

In addition, Metro convened workshops with community leaders working to advance public health, social equity, environmental justice and environmental protection in the region. A series of discussion groups were held in partnership with developers and business associations across the region. More than 100 community and business leaders participated in the workshops and discussion groups from summer 2012 to winter 2013.

A set of criteria were developed through the Phase 2 engagement process that would be used to evaluate and compare three scenarios considering costs and benefits across public health, environmental, economic and social equity outcomes.

**Phase 3: Development and selection of a preferred land use and transportation scenario (October 2013 to December 2014)**

Phase 3, the final phase of the process began in October 2013 with release of the Phase 2 analysis results. The results demonstrated that implementation of the 2040 Growth Concept and locally-adopted zoning, land use and transportation plans and policies would make the state-mandated greenhouse gas emissions reduction target achievable – if the region is able to make the investments and take the actions needed to implement those plans.

In February 2014, the Metropolitan Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC) and the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT) approved moving forward to shape and recommend a Climate Smart Strategy for the Metro Council to adopt by the end of 2014. As recommended by both policy committees, development of the key components of the Climate Smart Strategy began with the adopted 2040 Growth Concept, the 2014 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and the adopted plans of the region’s cities and counties including local zoning, capital improvement, comprehensive and transportation system plans. During this time, the RTP was in the process of being updated to reflect changes to local, regional and state investment priorities, which differed from what was studied in during Phase 2.

From January to April 2014, Metro facilitated a Community Choices discussion to explore policy priorities and possible trade-offs. The activities built upon earlier public engagement to solicit feedback from public officials, business and community leaders, interested members of the public and other identified audiences. Interviews, discussion groups and statistically valid public opinion research were used to gather input that was presented at a joint meeting of MPAC and JPACT on April 11, 2014. In addition, more detailed information about the policy areas under consideration was provided in a discussion guide, including estimated costs, potential benefits and impacts, and a comparison of the relative climate benefits and cost of six policy areas:

- make transit convenient, frequent, accessible and affordable
• use technology to actively manage the transportation system
• provide information and incentives to expand the use of travel options
• make biking and walking safe and convenient
• make streets and highways safe, reliable and connected
• manage parking to make efficient use of land and parking spaces.

Discussion guide for policymakers
The guide summarized the results of the Phase 2 analysis and public input received through the Community Choices engagement activities.

Metro staff worked with the project’s technical work group over the summer to develop modeling assumptions to reflect the draft strategy. Metro completed the evaluation in August, 2014. Analysis showed the draft strategy, if implemented, achieves a 29 percent per capita reduction in greenhouse gas emissions and delivers significant environmental and economic benefits to communities and the region, including:

• less air pollution and run-off of vehicle fluids, reducing environmental costs and helping save money that can be spent on other priorities
• less time spent in traffic and reduced delay on the system, saving businesses money, supporting job creation, and promoting the efficient movement of goods and a strong regional economy
• household savings by driving more fuel-efficient vehicles fewer miles and walking, biking and using transit more
• household savings for vehicle travel, helping household budgets and allowing people to spend money on other priorities; this is particularly important for households of modest means.

Between April 11 and May 30, the Metro Council and staff engaged local governments and other stakeholders on the results of the joint MPAC and JPACT meeting, primarily through the county-level coordinating committees and regional technical and policy advisory committees. On May 30, another joint meeting of the MPAC and JPACT was held to review additional cost information, public input and recommendations from technical advisory committees on a draft strategy for testing.

After a four-year collaborative process informed by research, analysis, community engagement and discussion, community, business and elected leaders shaped a draft Climate Smart Strategy that exceeds the state mandate and supports the plans and visions that have already been adopted by communities and the region.

On Sept. 15, 2014, Metro staff launched an online survey and released the results of the analysis and the draft strategy and implementation recommendation for review and comment through Oct. 30, 2014.
Summary of engagement

Promotion

The fall public comment period for the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project was promoted through postings on the Metro newsfeed and project website and email notification to the Opt In panel (an online opinion panel). Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project interested persons list (700+ subscribers), and the Metro planning department’s ePlanning news list (3,000+ subscribers). Notices were also disseminated through the Office of Neighborhood involvement (2,000 subscribers), Washington County community planning organizations system (17,000+ subscribers), Clackamas County citizen participation organizations system (200+ subscribers), Multnomah County Office of Citizen Involvement, and Metro’s Public Engagement Network. Ads were placed in the Beaverton Valley Times, Gresham Outlook and Portland Observer. Personalized email notices were sent to planning staff at all city and county jurisdictions as well as TriMet, Oregon Department of Transportation, the Port of Portland and The South Metro Area Regional Transit (SMART).

Copies of the public comment period notices, ads, emails and promotions by other organizations are available in Appendix A to this report.

Participants in the community leaders meeting, addressed below, were asked to communicate knowledge of draft strategy to their networks to encourage participation in public comment period. This was especially important to project staff to encourage participation by historically under-represented populations.

Outreach elements

During the Sept. 15 through Oct. 30 comment period, Metro received comments by email message, formal letter, an online survey, a community leaders meeting, and through public testimony.

Opportunity to offer detailed comments on the draft strategy

For those to review and provide detailed feedback on the components of the draft Climate Smart Strategy, the following public review documents were posted to the project website at oregonmetro.gov/draftapproach:

- overview of the draft strategy
- key results from the draft strategy
- draft Regional Framework Plan amendments
- draft toolbox of possible actions
- draft performance monitoring approach.

Metro received 90 letters and emails in response to these documents, including comments from:

- 1000 Friends of Oregon
- Bicycle Transportation Alliance
- Citizens’ Climate Lobby
- City of Happy Valley
- City of Hillsboro
- City of Wilsonville
- Clackamas County Board of Commissioners
- Coalition for a Livable Future
- Drive Oregon
- Oregon Health Authority
- Oregon Environmental Council
- Safe Routes to School National Partnership
- Transportation Justice Alliance
- Urban Greenspaces Institute
The letters and emails are available in Appendix C and Appendix D to this report.

**Online survey**

To hear from a wider audience, Metro commissioned Pivot Group, LLC to create an online survey to gather feedback on seven of the 10 Climate Smart policy areas. Since prior work with stakeholders through public engagement in the spring of 2014 prioritized the policy areas to be addressed in the strategy, the goal of the fall survey was twofold: to assess the sentiment of the region on investment levels for each policy area by asking, "Should your community and our region invest more in...” and to inform the work ahead by asking, "What should be considered when implementing this policy area?"

The results on levels of investments confirm the prioritization that emerged in the spring and provide a rich body of suggestions as regional, county and city staff and policymakers look toward implementation in 2015 and beyond.

To encourage participation and provide policymakers valuable feedback, the survey was designed to:

- allow people to respond from their experiential knowledge instead of needing to review paragraphs of explanation about the plan and process before answering questions
- be short enough for folks to want to complete the survey
- ask questions where the input received can be used to inform decisions on the table.

Metro received 2,347 responses to the survey. In comparison, similar outreach in spring 2014 garnered 1,225 responses to its online survey. Verbatim responses to the online survey are available in Appendix H and Appendix G to this report.

**Community leaders meeting**

As part of the public comment period and ongoing efforts to ensure community members have meaningful opportunities to inform the regional decision-making process, Metro convened community leaders working on issues related to equity, environment, public health, housing and transportation to discuss the draft Climate Smart Strategy and implementation recommendations for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and creating great communities.

The Oct. 1 meeting brought together community leaders who have been involved in past Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project engagement activities, and provided an opportunity for participants to ask questions and provide direct input on the draft strategy and implementation recommendations. The meeting also served to activate the community leaders to share knowledge of draft strategy to their networks to encourage participation in public comment period.

**Meeting participants:**

- Samuel Diaz, 1000 Friends of Oregon
- Chris Hagerbaumer, Oregon Environmental Council
- Andrea Hamburg, Oregon Health Authority
- Duncan Hwang, Asian Pacific American Network of Oregon
- Nicole Iroz-Elardo, Oregon Health Authority
- Lisa Frank, Bicycle Transportation Alliance
• Jared Franz, OPAL Environmental Justice Oregon
• Mary Kyle McCurdy, 1000 Friends of Oregon
• Pam Phan, 1000 Friends of Oregon
• Cora Potter, Ride Connection
• Kari Schlosshauer, Safe Routes to School
• Chris Smith, Portland Transport
• Steve White, Oregon Public Health Institute
• Elizabeth Williams, Coalition for a Livable Future

Public testimony

An opportunity to give public testimony was provided at a public hearing held Thursday, Oct. 30, 2014, at the Metro Regional Center. Seven individuals representing community organizations and a local jurisdiction provided testimony that supported the formal position letters they submitted during the public comment period. The letters are available in Appendix C to this report.

Individuals testifying included:
  o Mike Houck, Urban Greenspaces Institute
  o Lauren Patton, Oregon American Planning Association
  o Mara Gross, Coalition for a Livable Future
  o Jeannine Rustad, City of Hillsboro
  o Heidi Guenin, Transportation Justice Alliance
  o Sam Diaz, 1000 Friends of Oregon
  o Kari Schlosshauer, Safe Routes to School
Summary of comments

Direct responses to the draft strategy

Metro received 90 letters and emails in response to the draft strategy, Regional Framework plan amendments, toolbox of possible actions and performance monitoring approach.

Comments expressed opinions both supportive and critical of the general approach and specific components of the draft Climate Smart Strategy. The letters and emails are available in Appendix C and Appendix D to this report. A summary of recommended changes in response to comments received is available in Appendix F to this report. The summary provides the comments, staff responses and recommendations for changes for the draft strategy, Regional Framework Plan amendments, toolbox of possible actions, and performance monitoring and reporting approach to be deliberated by Metro advisory committees and the Metro Council for action in December 2014.

Community leaders meeting

The discussion at the community leaders meeting addressed topics from how public input is used, to the importance of addressing climate change, to the role of Metro in leading or enforcing policies that address issues of land use and transportation planning. Regarding the policy areas of the draft strategy, comments included:

- We are really good at implementing some parts of adopted plans and not completing other parts, such as the Regional Active Transportation Plan.
- Space and compact growth need to be addressed. Parking is an inefficient use of our land. Changing policies on parking is the new frontier in land use and transportation planning and can leverage behavior change.
- We need to demonstrate that this is possible so others will join us – our region's actions alone won't make a difference.
- We should build out the full Regional Active Transportation Plan to realize benefits, and then focus on transit.
- Parking brings up a couple of things, including a need for the dense, efficient use of urban space and a conversation on how we develop buildings.
- Vulnerable communities cannot adapt as transportation costs continue to climb.
- Leadership on climate change policy area needs more teeth; it needs to include specific actions of what Metro is doing or will do to lead on addressing climate change.

Comments regarding the draft performance monitoring approach included:

- The number of miles one travels actively is as important as vehicle miles traveled from a health perspective. Daily vehicle and pedestrian miles are important to track.
- Household cost burden needs to be added to housing and transportation.
- Household utility expenses should also be tracked.
- Measurement of fatalities should be called out in the walk/bike section.
- Affordability is part of the transit policy but there is no measurement for it.
- Residential units and jobs in the urban growth boundary should be broken down into sub-targets.
• "Make progress" and "Secure funding" are not measurable goals.

A complete summary of the meeting is available in Appendix B to this report. Recommended changes in response to comments received during the meeting are also included in Appendix F to this report.

Public testimony

Seven individuals representing community organizations and a local jurisdiction provided testimony that supported formal position letters submitted during the public comment period and available at the end of this report.

The letters are available in Appendix C to this report. Recommended changes in response to comments received during the meeting are also included in Appendix F to this report.
Online survey

Who participated?

A total of 2,184 surveys were collected from residents of the Portland metropolitan region. An additional 163 responses were received from participants who live outside the region, which were not included as part of the summary information compiled and reported by Pivot Group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Regional population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>County</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>1359</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clackamas</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of region</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school degree or less</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college/technical/community college/2 year degree</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College degree/4 year degree</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post graduate</td>
<td>1072</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length of time in the community</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fewer than 6 years</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 to 10 years</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 to 20 years</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 20 years</td>
<td>994</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 years or younger</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>(18-20) 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 to 35 years</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 to 50 years</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 to 65 years</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66 years or older</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American/Black</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Native American or Alaskan Native</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slavic</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White/Caucasian</td>
<td>1749</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Eastern</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Response</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ethnicity numbers reflect the option of selecting more than one race/ethnicity.*
Travel options

Policies one through three delve into various travel options available in the region. Respondents selected yes/no answers to the question of their willingness to support additional investment in the areas of regional transit, biking and walking, and road systems to better meet the public's transportation needs.

Policy 1. Invest more in making transit convenient, frequent, accessible and affordable?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1812</td>
<td>1213</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eighty-three percent of respondents supported additional investment into the region’s transit system. Seventeen percent of respondents were opposed to more investment.

- At 90 percent, respondents who live in Multnomah County were significantly more likely to support additional investment, followed by Washington County at 75 percent. Clackamas County residents expressed the least amount of interest in additional investment at 69 percent.
- Ninety-two percent of younger respondents (respondents under 36) supported additional investment in the region’s transit system. Comparatively, 82 percent of respondents age 36 to 50 supported more investment.
Respondents were asked what should be considered when deciding how to implement this policy. The following themes were identified and listed in order of frequency mentioned. Note that a single response could include more than one theme and minor themes are not reflected.

While some respondents expressed a need for free – or nearly free – transit, virtually all agreed on the need for an affordable and accessible transit system. People noted the importance of value when traveling and reported selecting travel options accordingly. In addition, respondents recommended pricing be appropriately reduced for users with low incomes that cannot afford transit.

There were many suggestions for improving transit. Most respondents determined the speed of transit trips and frequency need to be addressed. They expressed the need for competitive travel times compared to vehicle travel and greater frequency, during off hours and weekends in particular. In addition, respondents suggested the transfer times for transit need to be more realistic to make the service more practical for users.

Many people recommended improvements to biking and walking paths to stations to increase safety. Safe and easy access to stations was noted as a concern along with the need to feel at ease when using transit at all hours or with family. Encouraging non-auto transportation was supported by respondents, but no clear directive provided. Here, people were more focused on messaging than action. Single occupancy vehicle users, people felt, should be informed of the impacts of transportation, especially concerning environmental issues. It was noted in responses that many
places do not currently have access to light rail and/or limited bus access, including smaller cities and rural areas in the region.

Some expressed a need to not only provide service in underserved areas, but to provide robust transit options to those with limited income and resources. Improving or expanding service to communities of residents with low income emerged as a common priority. Individuals with low income need transit options and respondents expressed the need for a better balance of service to communities in order to assist this issue.

The importance of value emerged in the comments when considering investment on transit, particularly as it relates to cost effectiveness. Some suggested shifting emphasis to the bus transit system and reducing investment in light rail. They are aware of the cost difference between bus and light rail, and saw the value in improving the bus system.

Policy 2. Invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1811</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eighty-three percent of respondents supported additional investment in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Seventeen percent of respondents were opposed to more investment.

- At 89 percent, respondents who live in Multnomah County were significantly more likely to support additional investment, followed by Washington County at 78 percent. Clackamas county residents expressed the least amount of interest in additional investment at 70 percent.
- Younger respondents (respondents under 36) were more likely to support additional investment into biking and walking safety, with 93 percent supporting investment compared to 82 percent of respondents age 36 to 50.
Respondents were asked what should be considered when deciding how to implement this policy. The following themes were identified and listed in order of frequency mentioned. Note that a single response could include more than one theme and minor themes are not reflected.
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A common sentiment emerged in the responses that sharing the road with users of other modes of travel can be dangerous. There was a demand for improvements to make the roads safer for everyone. Some felt that there should be different roads for the different transportation users, while others felt that facilities especially designed for walkers and bicyclists would not be used unless they provided a direct route to where the person was traveling. Sharing the road was thought to be the most cost effective solution, but would require both motorists and bicyclists to abide by the rules of the road. Bike users, it was felt, needed to learn basic safety techniques so they are more visible and careful when sharing the road, and motorists need to be regularly reminded if they are traveling on a major bike thoroughfare.

Most people believe there is a balance between the space used for driving and that used for biking or walking. While respondents felt that roads should not lose much space for bike lanes, they still support biking and walking space in moderation. There are location specific needs for biking lanes, and respondents said they wanted to see that lanes are only implemented when needed.

Some people suggested bike lanes be separated from heavy traffic as much as possible. Current lanes, it was noted, are not safe enough to encourage use from the general public. This position advocated for safer intersections and routes to provide better overall conditions for users. There is a need to not only improve existing walkways respondents said, but to expand the infrastructure for easy accessibility. Bike lanes were called out as a priority; however, there was less emphasis on lanes being fully separated from traffic and greater focus on the extension of the network.

Respondents considered pedestrians underserved in general. They believed bike usage has enough support and would like to see greater intersection safety for walking. Focusing on walkway
investment, they suggested, would allow safer travel for pedestrians, encouraging people to feel
more confident in their safety when walking.

**Policy 3. Invest more in making streets and highways safe, reliable and connected?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Multnomah</th>
<th>Washington</th>
<th>Clackamas</th>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Under 36</td>
<td>36 and Over</td>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Non-White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yes</strong></td>
<td>1629</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>1430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No</strong></td>
<td>527</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No response</strong></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At 76 percent, additional investment in streets and highways was less popular overall compared to other policy areas.

- Respondents in both Washington and Clackamas counties were more in favor of additional investment in this area, at 84 percent and 82 percent respectively, compared to 71 percent of Multnomah County respondents.
- No significant difference was detected between ethnicities or education levels.

Respondents were asked what should be considered when deciding how to implement this policy. The following themes were identified and are listed in order of frequency mentioned. Note that a single response could include more than one theme and minor themes are not reflected.

There was support for additional investment toward the roads and highways of the region. Many of the respondents who supported additional investment in this policy area said they would like the focus to be on repairing and maintaining current thoroughfares, while some residents are
interested in adding new, connected roadways and highways to create alternate travel routes. Respondents believed these options would contribute to a safer environment for travelers.

"Maintain what we have" was emphasized by many people. They considered current infrastructure to be sufficient and wanted focus to be shifted toward maintenance of roads. Widening of roads was a primary concern from many people; they did not want to see investment spent here. Simple maintenance, such as repairing potholes, was called out as a necessity.

Many respondents were nervous about the potential tax increase that would result from investment in this area. They want to be confident that their money is being spent on long term solutions, and not short term "patch" work. They recommended that various developers be considered before simply choosing the lowest priced bid. Many proposed a higher fuel tax or taxation of private vehicles to assist with the expenses.

Improving traffic flow was listed as a primary concern. Respondents understand that car travel is the primary means of transportation and that investment here aides a utilitarian approach. Expansion of freeway lanes was called out as a way to reduce congestion the most, although there was also a voice for improving traffic signal timing to contribute to better traffic flow.

Many respondents were satisfied with current investment or considered the present system adequate. They believe further investment will increase issues and support investment in this area only when necessary.

**Optimization of systems and programs**

Policies four, five and six explore improving efficiency of the travel system through technology, public information and parking management.

**Policy 4. Invest more in technology to actively manage the transportation system?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Under 36</td>
<td>36 and Over</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1842</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>1508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>168</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 Eighty-five percent of respondents supported the use of technology to wisely manage the transportation system. This was the highest rated policy area.

- Support was high for respondents located in all counties, with the highest in Multnomah at 87 percent, followed by Washington and Clackamas counties, each at 82 percent.
- No significant difference was detected between age groups, ethnicities or education levels.
Respondents were asked what should be considered when deciding how to implement this policy. The following themes were identified and listed in order of frequency mentioned. Note that a single response could include more than one theme and minor themes are not reflected.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prioritize investments that improve traffic flow.</td>
<td><strong>48%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make sure it is cost effective.</td>
<td><strong>13%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not prioritize technology.</td>
<td><strong>11%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prioritize investments that make non-auto modes of travel more efficient.</td>
<td><strong>11%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use only proven technologies.</td>
<td><strong>5%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signs and reader boards on freeways were seen to be expensive and useless by many respondents. Without having information provided on alternate routes respondents said, the signs provide no assistance to travelers. Many expressed an opinion that technology as a resource lacks value and the ability to significantly improve the system.

Others believed that technology that improves traffic flow is an asset and warrants investment. They supported the use of Smartphone applications to alert travelers regarding traffic. This option was seen as cost effective and scalable to a large audience. Improved timing of traffic signals was a recurring theme. Some people added that pedestrian signals should make drivers of road vehicles more aware of when crosswalks are in use.

People supported technology investment in this theme, but want decision-making to focus on value. They were skeptical that all investments are necessary or a realistic expense. Most people preferred investment be spent on specific areas of need, while restricting investment on overdeveloped areas. They called for existing, established technology be used, rather than investing in new, unproven technology.

There was a call for using technology tools to improve transit. These respondents believe investment belongs with transit, not traffic flow. Traffic congestion was seen as a motivation to switch to mass transit and other strategies, such as timing traffic signals, were not viewed as useful expenditures.
Policy 5. Invest more in providing information and incentives to expand the use of travel options?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1456</td>
<td>992</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, at 68 percent, respondents were supportive of additional investment in providing information and incentives to promote alternative travel options, but less supportive of this than other policy areas:

- Multnomah County residents were far more likely to offer additional support to this area, with 74 percent giving a positive response compared to 56 percent in Washington County and 58 percent in Clackamas County.
- Other groups that expressed higher support of this policy included those under 36 years of age (76 percent compared to 66 percent for those 36 and older) and those who only have a high school diploma compared to respondents with some post-secondary education (81 percent compared to 68 percent).

Respondents were asked what should be considered when deciding how to implement this policy. The following themes were identified and listed in order of frequency mentioned. Note that a single response could include more than one theme and minor themes are not reflected.
Policy 6. Implement policies to manage parking to make efficient use of land and parking spaces?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1539</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Seventy-two percent of respondents supported the implementation of parking policies.

- Multnomah County residents were more likely to support parking policies, with 75 percent providing a positive response compared to 68 percent and 67 percent of Washington and Clackamas residents, respectively.
- No significant differences were detected between various age groups, ethnicities or education levels.

Respondents were asked what should be considered when deciding how to implement this policy area. The following themes were identified and listed in order of frequency mentioned. Note that a single response could include more than one theme and minor themes are not reflected.

- Provide more parking, more free parking and fewer parking meters. (365)
- Support increased cost of parking and removal of on-street parking. (255)
- Support more park-and-ride lots and parking management tools that support non-SOV modes. (246)
- Businesses and private sector should bear cost of parking. (111)
- Concern about parking problems associated with high-density growth. (103)
- Somewhat supportive. (48)
- Not useful in all communities. (45)

Most people desired greater efficiency from current parking options. These considerations ranged from smaller parking spaces, less/better regulated handicap spaces and extended free parking spaces. Efficiency of parking structures in particular was requested. Many want to focus on building taller parking structures or underground structures to increase capacity. Many commented that the lack of parking hurt businesses in the area. Several people mentioned that they explicitly avoid Portland due to parking issues.
Educating the public that parking isn’t “free” was a recurring comment. Respondents expressed the need for the price of parking to be increased and the removal on-street parking. They want heavy users of parking to bear the cost of parking and not have it subsidized. Having less parking and higher rates, they commented, would discourage vehicle traffic, which they felt would help alleviate congestion in dense areas.

Respondents saw privatization as a more efficient means to provide for the region’s varied parking demands. In general, having government manage this resource was not desired. Respondents observed that businesses in dense areas were expected to provide parking for their customers or suffer a decrease in customer traffic. It was also generally seen as the business community’s responsibility to share their parking spaces when not in use to help increase utility.

There was wide support for investment in park-and-ride lots. Many commented that the current lots are over utilized and in need of expansion, in particular, the Sunset Transit Center. The opinions were balanced between building more parking structures and adding locations. In addition, some people expressed concern about safety issues and saw the implementation of security guards as a necessity.

Density related issues and the impact on parking was a primary concern of survey takers. Respondents requested that developers be required to provide parking for apartment complexes. The consensus was that the lack of parking at these structures only adds to on-street parking congestion and people were adamant that the issues be addressed when planning of future apartments. Many referred to Northwest Portland as the hub of future density issues.

**Transportation investment overall**

**Policy 7. Invest more in the maintenance of existing transportation infrastructure and new improvements to accommodate a growing region?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Multnomah</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1773</td>
<td>1131</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eighty-three percent of respondents supported investment in the maintenance of current infrastructure and planning for growth. More Multnomah County residents were supportive of funding for this policy area than other respondents (85 percent compared to 79 percent for Washington and Clackamas counties, respectively).
Respondents were asked what should be considered when deciding how to implement this policy. The following themes were identified and listed in order of frequency mentioned. Note that a single response could include more than one theme and minor themes are not reflected.

The primary issue identified when considering investing in this area was how funds would be used and distributed among the various travel options. There was a shared opinion that depending on where certain funds are collected, those funds should be earmarked for specific uses. A common example given was using gas tax monies for non-road improvements. While some did not agree with how the funds were being allocated to different programs and projects, others felt that funds were not being used wisely and questioned the management of expensive transportation projects.

Maintenance of current roadways was identified as a top priority. Respondents, with various perspectives, generally felt that road maintenance should be mandatory. Opinions began to branch, however, when discussing the need to widen or expand roadways. Many felt that investing in the transit system would serve more of the population as public transit is adopted by more residents, while others felt that additional investment should go to expanding roadways since at this time more people drive than ride transit vehicles.

When it came to funding transportation projects, a wide variety of opinions were expressed. Some felt it was only fair that users pay for the maintenance and expansion of each transportation mode. This was true not only for those who thought that drivers should pay to maintain the road system but also for those who want the transit system to be more self-sustaining. Some suggested that bicycle licenses be required. Concern was also expressed about the ineffectiveness of the gas tax as more and more fuel efficient vehicles are on the road.
While some respondents did not necessarily want to encourage growth in the region by making forward-thinking improvements, many respondents felt improvement was necessary to maintain a workable transportation system.

A complete list of all verbatim comments by policy area and organized by zip code are available in Appendix F at the end of this report.
**Message to policymakers**

A final question on the survey gave participants the opportunity to provide one message regarding the Climate Smart Strategy to policymakers. The question read:

**Based on the draft Climate Smart Strategy as you understand it, what message would you like most to share with policymakers as they consider the draft strategy for creating healthy, equitable communities and a strong economy while reducing greenhouse gas emissions?**

Approximately 1,800 comments were submitted through the online survey. The top three themes that emerged in the messages to policymakers were:

- Invest more in transit, walking and biking
- Have a bold vision for the future
- Spend tax dollars wisely

These themes were communicated to the advisory committees and Metro Council during their deliberation process. A complete list of themes in descending order of frequency, along with the most common responses to this question follows.

1. **Invest more in alternative transportation**
   The most common message people wanted to send to policymakers is one of support for increased funding to alternative transportation. They particularly wanted investment in transit, but also in making walking and biking easier. Their sentiment was that investments should not be made to make driving easier; rather, investments should support active transportation and a new kind of sustainable transportation system for the region.

2. **Have a bold vision for a sustainable future**
   People urged policymakers to have a bold vision for the future, and to take the steps needed to make that vision a reality. They asked policymakers to do what is good for the region, regardless of politics. It was important to those who responded for policymakers to have a strong vision for reducing greenhouse gas emissions in the face of climate change, and creating a sustainable transportation system.

3. **Concern about the impact on taxpayers**
   Both supporters and non-supporters of the Climate Smart project expressed concern about the cost of investments. They urged policymakers to make cost-effective decisions, and to limit raising taxes and fees when possible, or to create an equitable tax structure that does not unduly burden one segment of society. Some opposed certain funding mechanisms proposed by the project, particularly the VMT fee (although it should be noted that an equal number expressed support for the VMT fee).

4. **Support for a proposed funding mechanism**
   Some people expressed support for a specific funding mechanism for investments. Most commonly, they supported a carbon tax, and others supported raising the gas tax, instituting the VMT fee, or some other funding mechanism. The sentiment behind much of this support was that such fees should be used to help fund alternative transportation or to help curb the effects of climate change.

5. **Support for using clean fuels, alternative energy and electric/hybrid vehicles**
   Some people wanted more investment in clean fuels, as well as making electric and hybrid vehicles more widely available and more affordable. Some also suggested greater
investment in electric or clean-fuel transit buses.

6. **Let the market decide, and reduce government regulation**

Some people expressed concern that there is too much government control implicated in this project. They would rather see more market-driven practices, or more control at the local level. Some also expressed distrust of government agencies.

A complete list of verbatim comments organized by zip code is available in Appendix B at the end of this report.

**Further informing implementation**

The Climate Smart Strategy will be implemented through existing regional planning and decision-making processes, including Regional Transportation Plan updates, Regional Flexible Funds allocation processes, growth management decisions and corridor planning, as well as through local and state planning and decision-making processes, rather than a specific Climate Smart implementation program.

Comments received during this period will inform these implementation efforts. Project staff expect to provide more detailed information gathered during this comment period in spring 2015 to other Metro staff as well as city, county and regional agency staff and policymakers for additional consideration. Through its planning processes, in coordination with its Equity Strategy (currently under development), Metro is committed to continue to improve its engagement practices to ensure more diverse perspectives – especially those of historically underrepresented communities – are meaningfully engaged in regional planning.

decision-making, and on-going implementation activities. Future public engagement processes will be developed in coordination with Metro's diversity, equity and inclusion program and Metro's existing advisory committees, and follow the best practices and processes set out in Metro's Public Engagement Guide.

As a large portion of Metro's implementation responsibilities will be carried out through the next Regional Transportation Plan, staff will begin scoping the work plan and engagement for the next scheduled update to the RTP in 2015. The scoping effort will engage local governments, community and business leaders and the networks they represent. The update is expected to occur over multiple years in order to address federal and state planning requirements and policy considerations and engagement recommendations identified through the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project and the 2014 RTP update.
Appendix A.

Public comment period notices, promotions and media coverage

This section is a compilation of notices posted and distributed by Metro announcing the public comment period, promotions of the comment period by other organizations, overview of how social media was used to promote the comment period, and media coverage received during the public comment period.
Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project 45-day comment period

Your input today will determine the future of the region for generations to come.

The Oregon Legislature has required the Portland metropolitan region to reduce per capita greenhouse gas emissions from cars and small trucks by 2035. Weigh in on a draft approach and proposed actions for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and building great communities.

Your input today will help inform the Metro Council’s decision in December.

Learn about and respond to how future transportation and land use policies and actions can shape our communities.

Visit www.makeagreatplace.org


The Metro Council is scheduled to hold a public hearing on the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project draft approach at 2 p.m. Thursday, Oct. 30, 2014, and scheduled to hold a public hearing and take legislative action on the draft approach at 2 p.m. Thursday, Dec. 18, 2014, at the Metro Regional Center, 600 NE Grand Ave., Portland.

Submit comments online at www.makeagreatplace.org, by mail to Metro Planning, 600 NE Grand Ave., Portland, OR 97232, by email to climatescenarios@oregonmetro.gov, or by phone at 503-797-1750 or TDD 503-797-1804, from Sept. 15 through Oct. 30.
Key public agencies

- Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT)
- Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)
- Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD)
- 25 cities and 3 counties in the Portland metropolitan region
- TriMet
- Port of Portland
- South Metro Area Regional Transit (SMART)

Citizen participation organizations and citizen community involvement contacts

Jonah Willbach
Information & Referral Specialist
Office of Neighborhood Involvement
503-865-2628
Jonah.Willbach@portlandoregon.gov
Notification distribution: oninotification@portlandoregon.gov
https://www.portlandoregon.gov/oni/28385

Barbara Smolak, Clackamas County Public and Government Affairs
2051 Kaen Road
Oregon City, OR 97045
503-655-8552
barbarasmo@co.clackamas.or.us
http://www.clackamas.us/citizenin/cpo.html

Dan Schauer
Citizen Participation Organization Program Coordinator
Oregon State University Extension Service-Washington County
155 N First Avenue, Ste. 200
Hillsboro, OR 97124
503-821-1123
dan.schauer@oregonstate.edu
http://extension.oregonstate.edu/washington/cpo

Multnomah County Office of Citizen Involvement
Robb Wolfson, Citizen Involvement Coordinator
501 SE Hawthorne Avenue, Rm.192
Portland, OR 97214
(503) 988-3450
citizen.involvement@multco.us
https://multco.us/oci (Citizen Involvement Committee for the county)
https://multco.us/oci/neighborhood-recognition (six associations in unincorporated areas)

Aaron Abrams, Gresham Citizen Involvement Committee
aaron.abrams@greshamoregon.gov
Public agency planning staff

karenb@co.clackamas.or.us;
jmorgan@damascusoregon.gov;
boyce@ci.gladstone.or.us;
michaelw@happeyvalleyor.gov;
kelverb@milwaukieoregon.gov;
butters@milwaukieoregon.gov;
aowings@ci.owsego.or.us;
jmlewis@ci.oregon-city.or.us;
zpelz@westlinnoregon.gov;
kraushaar@ci.wilsonville.or.us;
joanna.valencia@co.multnomah.or.us;
berrya@fairview.ci.or.us;
katherine.kelly@greshamoregon.gov;
steve.gaschler@troutdaleoregon.gov;
markg@ci.wood-village.or.us;
christina_deffebach@co.washington.or.us;
tjuhasz@beavertonoregon.gov;
rreynolds@ci.cornelius.or.us;
jholan@forestgrove-or.us;
don.odermott@hillsboro-oregon.gov;
brad.choi@hillsboro-oregon.gov;
hajdukj@sherwoodoregon.gov;
judith@tigard-or.gov;
bbryant@ci.tualatin-or.us;
Lidwien.rahman@odot.state.or.us;
Rian.m.windsheimer@odot.state.or.us;
Kirsten.pennington@odot.state.or.us;
hessee@trimet.org;
lehto@trimet.org;
Courtney.duke@portlandoregon.gov;
Peter.t.hurley@portlandoregon.gov;
Philip.healy@portofportland.com;
Susie.lahsene@portofportland.com;
Kathryn.williams@portofportland.com;
jerri.l.bohard@odot.state.or.us;
amanda.pietz@odot.state.or.us
Ads used to publicize public comment period to local Community Planning and Citizen Involvement organizations.

SHORT VERSION (138 words)

Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project 45-day comment period, Sept. 15 – Oct. 30, 2014

In 2009, the Oregon Legislature required the Portland metropolitan region to reduce per capita greenhouse gas emissions from cars and small trucks by 2035. After four years of collaboration and engagement with regional partners and the public, a draft Climate Smart Strategy is ready for review.

From Sept. 15 through Oct. 30, 2014, comments may be submitted online at www.makeagreatplace.org. Comments can also be sent by mail to Metro Planning, 600 NE Grand Ave., Portland, OR, 97232, by email to climatescenarios@oregonmetro.gov, or by phone at 503-797-1750 or TDD 503-797-1804.

Public hearings on the draft strategy: 2 p.m. Oct. 30 and Dec. 18, 2014, at Metro Regional Center, 600 NE Grand Ave., Portland.

For more information on the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project, visit oregonmetro.gov/climate scenarios.

LONG VERSION (182 words)

Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project 45-day comment period, Sept. 15 – Oct. 30, 2014

In 2009, the Oregon Legislature required the Portland metropolitan region to reduce per capita greenhouse gas emissions from cars and small trucks by 2035. After four years of collaboration and engagement with regional partners and the public, a draft Climate Smart Strategy is ready for review.

From Sept. 15 through Oct. 30, 2014, comments may be submitted online at www.makeagreatplace.org. Comments can also be sent by mail to Metro Planning, 600 NE Grand Ave., Portland, OR, 97232, by email to climatescenarios@oregonmetro.gov, or by phone at 503-797-1750 or TDD 503-797-1804.

To provide more in depth feedback, visit oregonmetro.gov/draftapproach beginning Sept. 15 to download and review:

- draft toolbox of possible early actions that can be taken in the next five years
- draft performance monitoring and reporting approach for how we will measure progress

Public hearings on the draft strategy: 2 p.m. Oct. 30 and Dec. 18, 2014, at Metro Regional Center, 600 NE Grand Ave., Portland.

For more information on the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project, visit oregonmetro.gov/climate scenarios.
The Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project draft Climate Smart Strategy is available for public review and comment from Sept. 15 to Oct. 30, 2014.

In 2009, the Oregon Legislature required the Portland metropolitan region to reduce per capita greenhouse gas emissions from cars and small trucks by 2035. After four years of collaboration and engagement with regional partners and the public, a draft Climate Smart Strategy is ready for review.

Your voice is important
You are invited to provide feedback during the public comment period from Sept. 15 through Oct. 30, 2014.

- Take a short survey online at makeagreatplace.org on transportation and land use policies and actions that can shape our communities.

To provide more in depth feedback, visit oregonmetro.gov/draftapproach to download and review the draft approach and implementation recommendations (Regional Framework Plan amendments, toolbox of possible actions and performance monitoring approach) and provide comments in one of the following ways:

- Mail comments to Metro Planning, 600 NE Grand Ave., Portland, OR 97232
- Email comments to climatescenarios@oregonmetro.gov
- Phone in comments to 503-797-1750 or TDD 503-797-1804
- Testify at a Metro Council hearing on Oct. 30, 2014, at 600 NE Grand Ave., Portland, OR 97232 in the Council chamber

To learn more about the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project, visit oregonmetro.gov/climatescenarios.

You have received this message as a member of Metro’s Planning enews interested persons list. To be removed from this list, notify trans@oregonmetro.gov.
Public comment period

Monday, Sept. 15 to Thursday, Oct. 30, 2014

Your input today on the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project will determine the future of the region for generations to come.

The Oregon Legislature has required the Portland metropolitan region to reduce per capita greenhouse gas emissions from cars and small trucks by 2035. Weigh in on a draft approach and proposed actions for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and building great communities. Your input today will help inform the Metro Council’s decision in December.

Your voice is important

You are invited to provide feedback during the public comment period from Sept. 15 through Oct. 30, 2014.

- Take a short survey online at makeagreatplace.org on transportation and land use policies and actions that can shape our communities.

To provide more in depth feedback, visit oregonmetro.gov/draftapproach to download and review the draft approach and implementation recommendations (Regional Framework Plan amendments, toolbox of possible actions and performance monitoring approach) and provide comments in one of the following ways:

- Mail comments to Metro Planning, 600 NE Grand Ave., Portland, OR 97232
- Email comments to climatescenarios@oregonmetro.gov
- Phone in comments to 503-797-1750 or TDD 503-797-1804
- Testify at a Metro Council hearing on Oct. 30, 2014, at 600 NE Grand Ave., Portland, OR 97232 in the Council chamber

To learn more about the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project, visit oregonmetro.gov/climatescenarios
Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project
Public comment period Sept. 15 to Oct. 30, 2014

The Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project responds to a state mandate to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from cars and small trucks by 2035. After a four-year collaborative process, a draft Climate Smart Strategy is now available for your review and comments.

Your voice is important
You are invited to provide feedback during the public comment period from Sept. 15 through Oct. 30, 2014.

Here are two ways you can participate:

1. Take a short (8-10 minute) survey at makeagreatplace.org on transportation and land use policies and actions that can shape our communities.

2. Provide more in-depth feedback by visiting oregonmetro.gov/draftapproach to review and provide comments on the draft approach and implementation recommendations.

To learn more about the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project, visit oregonmetro.gov/climatescenarios

Metro respects civil rights
Metro fully complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related statutes that ban discrimination. If any person believes they have been discriminated against regarding the receipt of benefits or services because of race, color, national origin, sex, age or disability, they have the right to file a complaint with Metro. For information on Metro’s civil rights program, or to obtain a discrimination complaint form, visit www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights or call 503-797-1536.

We respect the personal nature of e-mail communication. If you do not wish to receive e-mail from us in the future, please click here.

This e-mail was sent to the following address: chelsea@askpivot.com

Pivot Group, LLC
7145 SW Varns St., Suite 101
Portland, OR 97223
Reminder sent to Opt In online opinion panel of 20,000+ encouraging participation in online survey | Oct. 16, 2014

optin
PORTLAND-VANCOUVER AREA ONLINE PANEL

Take a short survey and join 1,200 friends and neighbors who have already shared their priorities for the future of the Portland metropolitan region.

Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project
Public comment period Sept. 15 to Oct. 30, 2014

The Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project responds to a state mandate to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from cars and small trucks by 2035. After a four-year collaborative process a draft Climate Smart Strategy is now available for your review and comments.

Your voice is important
Take a short (8-10 minute) survey at makeagreatplace.org on transportation and land use policies and actions that can shape our communities.

To learn more about the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project, visit oregonmetro.gov/climatescenarios.

Metro respects civil rights
Metro fully complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related statutes that ban discrimination. If any person believes they have been discriminated against regarding the receipt of benefits or services because of race, color, national origin, sex, age or disability, they have the right to file a complaint with Metro. For information on Metro’s civil rights program, or to obtain a discrimination complaint form, visit www.oregonmetro.gov/civilrights or call 503-797-1536.

We respect the personal nature of e-mail communication. If you do not wish to receive e-mail from us in the future, please click here.

This e-mail was sent to the following address: chelsea@askpivot.com

Pivot Group, LLC
7145 SW Varns St., Suite 101
Portland, OR 97223
Dear Kim,

This October we hosted a conference for the Growth Management Leadership Alliance. Smart growth and farmland protection advocates from around the country gathered in Oregon to share cutting edge work, bright ideas, and inspire our community of collaborators. You were part of that conversation: You make our work here in Oregon possible. Thank you.

Below you'll find a preview of the Rogue Valley Food System Network's launch next month, a request to Metro-area residents to weigh in on Climate Smart Communities planning, highlights from the Healthy Community Speaker Series, an offer for a free home energy assessment (which earns $100 for our strategic planning!), and opportunities to connect with land use.

All our best,
The team at 1000 Friends of Oregon

The Future of Food and Farming in the Rogue Valley: a discussion with the Rogue Valley Food System Network

A collaborative community of regional leaders has formed to develop and advocate for a healthy, sustainable food system in Jackson and Josephine Counties. The Rogue Valley Food System Network includes partners from many sectors, including our Southern Oregon Advocate, Greg Holmes. Greg works to

For more information, visit
ensure a reliable supply of the right kind of farmland across the region. We’re investing in the Rogue Valley Food System Network because farmland is a necessary but not sufficient condition for a sustainable, equitable, and economically robust food system in the Rogue Valley.

November 19 from 7:00-8:30pm at the Medford Public Library (205 S. Center, Medford, OR).

The event is free and open to the public. Please do RSVP here.

Let Metro Know you Want Healthy, Livable Neighborhoods

The Metro regional government is on the verge of adopting an exciting strategy to bring walkable neighborhoods, better transit service, cleaner air, and housing choice to our communities (which will also reduce pollution from cars and trucks!).

For this to be successful Metro and the region must invest in more affordable housing, sidewalks, bikeways, and transit. Read more about our input to Metro here.

Share your priorities here before Oct. 30: visit makeagreatplace.org to weigh in.

Remember Citizen Participation is Goal 1 of Oregon’s land use planning program -- make your voice heard!
Cooperating Attorney Program Works on Goal 1 (Citizen Participation) in Hood River

1000 Friends considers public participation in local land use decisions paramount. The ability to participate locally helps ensure local governments are responsive. Most land use decisions require a hearing with the opportunity to testify, but when neither policy nor legal judgment is necessary for a decision local governments can ministerially approve applications without consulting the public. Recently, Hood River County approved a large event venue under the ministerial exception, but this venue will have significant impact on the surrounding community. We are mobilizing our pro bono Cooperating Attorney Program to advocate for the public to have an adequate opportunity to participate in this important land use decision. Learn more from our affiliate, the Hood River Valley Residents Committee.

Healthy Communities are Active Communities

National healthy communities expert Jim Sallis, PhD visited Oregon this October. Sallis directs the Active Living Research Center at the University of California-San Diego. His remarks in Beaverton, Bend, Eugene, and Portland highlighted opportunities to rebuild physical activity into our daily lives in the built environment. Collaboration, Sallis noted, is key.

You can watch his public and professional presentations by clicking here. Metro Regional Government offers
### Friends Holiday Happy Hour

Celebrate the season by spending an evening with 1000 Friends. Join us to see friends, hear a brief update about 2014’s land use landmarks, and get a preview of our plan for 2015 -- our 40th year.

Can you join us between 5-7:30pm on Thursday, Dec. 11 at our Portland office? [RSVP here.](http://bit.ly/holidayhappyhour2014) We’ll have refreshments and Oregon wine.

### Your Home and Goal 13

Through October you can learn how to make your home more comfortable, earn support for 1000 Friends, and help realize Goal 13 of Oregon’s land use planning program -- Energy Conservation. Thanks to a collaboration with Neil Kelly, a family business that’s been weatherizing homes in Oregon since 1947, and Clean Energy Works, you can have a free home energy assessment! Every supporter who signs up earns 1000 Friends $100 toward strategic planning. [Just click here](http://neilkelley.com/1000friends) to learn more and sign up.
Share your Oregon Love

1000 Friends wants to see what you love about Oregon. What places, views, foods, or communities do you love capturing in photos? Send images to share@friends.org with your name, where the photo was taken, and when. Watch our newsletter and @1000Oregon on Instagram for shares of your Oregon love.

The Land Use Trail

Be inspired about Oregon and the benefits of land use at friends.org/trail. Visit the Land Use Trail.

You make our work possible.

Donate Now

1000 Friends of Oregon | 133 SW 2nd Ave., Suite 201, Portland, OR 97204
Regional Offices: Eugene, Grants Pass
503-497-1000 | info@friends.org
Tell Metro: Our Climate Needs Active Transportation

October 21, 2014 | by Lisa Frank | Posted in Action, Advocacy

Unless we prioritize active transportation, Metro’s climate plan will fall short of our state-mandated goals.

You can help fight climate change while bringing the region better bicycling.

Metro Regional Government recently calculated that our region needs to spend far more on active transportation in order to achieve our greenhouse gas emission reduction goals. In order to reduce emissions by 20% by 2035, they recommend we spend at least $14.4 billion building better transit and 663 miles of new trails, sidewalks, and bikeways.

This isn’t surprising. We know that active transportation reduces emissions while bringing us cleaner water, healthier streets, and a more livable region for us all. The biggest challenge we face is that our region currently lacks the funding required to invest in these priority improvements, like completing the Westside Trail or building neighborhood greenways in East Portland. The Bicycle Transportation Alliance is focused on dedicating all eligible funding to active transportation projects and finding new dedicated funding mechanisms to help our communities rise to the challenge.

Now is the time to tell regional leaders that to meet our climate goals, we need to:

- prioritize active transportation projects
- help raise new revenue for active transportation
- deprioritize road widening and freeway construction

There are two ways to make your voice heard:

1. Take the short survey at http://makeagreatplace.org/ and say yes to more investment in biking, walking, and transit.

2. Email climatescenarios@oregonmetro.gov and tell them that active transportation projects must be the region’s first priority. Here is a sample email you could send:

I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.
I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region’s real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Thank you for taking action to make our climate, and our bikeways, better.
We support the right to know: Yes on 92

Ballot Measure 92 is a proposal to label the foods in our grocery stores that are produced with genetically modified organisms (GMOs). Oregon Environmental Council supports this measure because we believe Oregonians have valid concerns about GMOs and their impact on our environment, including increased use of toxic herbicides and increased pesticide resistance. We all have a right to know which foods and products contain GMOs so that we have an opportunity to make an informed choice.

Oregon Environmental Council encourages our members and supporters to vote “Yes” on Measure 92.

OEC’s endowment: invested for impact

For over 45 years, Oregon Environmental Council has been leading the charge for impactful, lasting solutions to Oregon’s environmental challenges. Now OEC’s endowment investment portfolio reflects this commitment to innovative and enduring environmental solutions. We put together a custom-built investment portfolio that not only divests from polluting sectors and companies, such as fossil fuels and Monsanto, but also prioritizes investments in companies that are environmentally and socially responsible. Investing in socially responsible companies with a solid financial performance will allow us to serve Oregonians for
generations to come.

Learn more about our new, mission-driven investment strategy.

**Weigh in today on Metro's new Climate Smart Communities strategy**

In just five minutes you can help shape the Portland metropolitan area’s future. What strategies would you prioritize for reducing greenhouse gas emissions, improving public health and safety, lowering transportation costs, and boosting the region’s economy? Before October 30, we encourage Portland area residents to weigh in on Metro’s draft Climate Smart Communities strategy via a short online survey. Metro’s strategy to reduce transportation-related GHG emissions by nearly 30% by 2035 was spurred by legislation championed by OEC and 1000 Friends of Oregon in 2009. Our goal: as Oregon’s metropolitan areas grow, people move efficiently with lower energy costs and smart infrastructure. We hope you weigh in.

Tell Metro what you think here.

**Tackling pesticides, one year later**

Just over a year ago, Oregon established a statewide program to improve water quality by monitoring pesticides, providing training and tools for farmers to reduce pesticide run off into our streams and rivers, and coordinating community collection events for safe disposal of pesticides. This Pesticide Stewardship Partnership Program is a uniquely collaborative, Oregon solution to reduce unsafe levels of pesticides in our rivers, lakes and streams while helping farmers maintain their thriving operations. Pilot projects in Hood River and Walla Walla reduced pesticide runoff by over 90 percent and now Oregon is expanding this program to four new areas of the state: the Umpqua Basin, the Rogue Basin, Middle Deschutes, and the South Coast.

Learn more about this successful investment in Oregon’s farmers and water.

branch of the AAUW about toxics in everyday consumer products and how to avoid them. More info.

**Get Dirty for Clean Air ‘Friendraiser’**

*Nov 15, Portland*

Presented by the OEC’s Emerging Leaders Board. More info

**Climate Risk: What it means for Oregon's private and public health care sectors**

*Nov 21, Portland*

This forum for professionals in all reaches of health care will cover a question essential to the future of the industry. More info

**Fix-It Fair!**

*Nov 22, Portland*

These are free events designed to save you money and connect you to resources. Join your neighbors and talk to the experts about how to spend less. More info

---

Stay current

[Facebook Like] [Twitter]

Forward

Know someone who might be interested in this email? Forward it.

Subscribe

Subscribe to receive eOne* in your inbox monthly.

Manage preferences

Unsubscribe.
Green Living: Breast Cancer Awareness Month

October is Breast Cancer Awareness Month, and while many of us know too well the effects of this disease in our lives, we don’t have the tools to reduce our risk. What if we could change that? There’s hope. Only a small percentage of breast cancers are due to high-risk inherited genes. 

Find out ways to reduce your risk in our breast cancer infographic.

Corporate sponsors

OEC thanks these sponsors for their support:

- OSU
- The Standard
- Umpqua Bank
- Clean Energy Works Oregon
- Organic Valley

Oregon Environmental Council
222 NW Davis St. Ste 309,
Portland, OR 97209
503.222.1963 – www.oconline.org
Unsubscribe

Join OEC by becoming a member with a tax-deductible gift.

Join OEC today!
Traditional and Online Media Outreach: Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project Public Comment Period

We sought to engage a number of traditional and online media sources in the public comment period, including newspapers, radio, newsletters and specialized blogs.

Recognizing that many people receive news from sources like neighborhood association or nonprofit blogs and e-newsletters, we also reached out to community organizations with sample content they could share in these formats. We prepared a media resource guide to distribute this sample content.

We reached out three times to most of these sources: before the comment period, just after it began, and again partway through with video links.

Altogether this strategy resulted in approximately 16 news articles or blog posts during the comment period. They are listed below.

Portland Tribune, Sept. 15: "Tell Metro your ideas to cut greenhouse gases"

City of Portland Office of Neighborhood Involvement, Sept. 15: "CSC Comment period open"
http://www.portlandoregon.gov/oni/article/503145

Washington Co. CPO 10 "Hot Topics" page, Sept. 15:

Oregon Public Health Institute, Sept. 22: "Your voice is important: Climate Smart Strategy open for review"
http://ophi.org/your-voice-is-important-climate-smart-strategy-open-for-review/


Intertwine Alliance. Mention in October e-newsletter. "Share your Climate Smarts"
http://theintertwine.org/sites/theintertwine.org/files/october_2014_newsletter.html

Bike Portland, Oct. 3: "Five smart things our regional planning agency is doing to fight global warming"
http://bikeportland.org/2014/10/03/five-smart-things-regional-planning-agency-fight-global-warming-111784

Washington County Dept. of Land Use and Transportation, Oct. 8: Mention in weekly e-newsletter.  
http://myemail.constantcontact.com/LUT-Weekly-Update.html?oid=1113224726165&aid=DgLnHznoas

Safe Routes to School Pacific Northwest blog, Oct. 10: "Metro seeks input on Climate Smart Strategy"  
http://saferoutespacificnorthwest.org/2014/10/10/metro-seeks-input-on-climate-smart-strategy/

Bicycle Transportation Alliance blog, Oct. 21: "Tell Metro: Our Climate Needs Active Transportation"  
https://btaoregon.org/2014/10/tell-metro-our-climate-needs-active-transportation/

1000 Friends of Oregon blog, Oct. 27: "Tell Metro You Want Healthy, Livable Neighborhoods!"  
http://www.friends.org/latest/let-metro-know-you-want-healthy-livable-neighborhoods

Clackamas County wants Metro to fight climate change by widening roads, Oct. 30  

Clackamas County: Build more roads, Nov. 11  

Trying to reduce CO2 waste of money, Turlay says (again), Nov. 7  

Metro-area leaders: prioritize active transportation & transit — for our children, our health, our climate, Nov. 10  

Social Media Outreach

We used a multifaceted social media strategy during the public comment period. We hoped to reach traditionally engaged members of the public and typically underrepresented populations. Generally, our goal for social media was to increase the number of participants in the online survey at www.makeagreatplace.org.

The components of our social media strategy were:

TWITTER

We actively shared information about the draft strategy and encouragement to take the survey. Some of our tweets included videos or photos. Most used the hashtag #ClimateSmart in order
to help build interest. We sought to use plain language to express the significance of the plan and the importance of public input.

Key stats:

- 16 tweets during comment period related to draft strategy
- 16,329 total impressions
- 72 website clicks
- 155 total retweets, shares, clicks or other engagement

Additionally, we encouraged local governments, neighborhood associations, and interested community-based organizations to share links to the survey on their Twitter feeds. We wrote sample tweets and distributed them along with the Climate Smart videos via email.

Altogether we received at least 20 mentions of the comment period on others' Twitter accounts, though we cannot directly track the actions that resulted or the total number of impressions these mentions had.

**FACEBOOK**

We employed a two-part Facebook strategy during the comment period: video posts and ads.

*Video posts*

Once a week, we posted short videos from the series created by JLA. Each expressly directed viewers to visit makeagreatplace.org during the comment period and provide comments. Recognizing that Facebook's algorithm artificially constrains reach, we also chose to "boost" one post, spending $50 to promote it for one week.

Key stats:

- 5 posts
- 10,815 total impressions (2,250 organic, the rest paid)
- 1,216 video views (228 watched at least 30 seconds)
- 21 link clicks
- 64 total likes, comments, shares

*Facebook Ads*

In addition to standard posts, we piloted Facebook ads during the comment period. This is a new approach for Metro and for government generally, but we found that for a relatively minor expenditure we were able to reach many people. Facebook ads also allow a highly targeted
approach, allowing us to use them to try to attract specific populations to the survey, based on their demographic data and the kinds of pages they "like" on the site.

We ran Facebook ads in two campaigns to four different sets of populations. Each campaign used multiple combinations of pictures and short text; Facebook automatically determines which are receiving the most engagement and configures the campaigns to push these further.

Our ad sets for the campaigns were all directed at people within a 25-mile radius of Portland.

**Campaign 1: 10/8-10/15**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interests</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Reach</th>
<th>Website clicks</th>
<th>Cost per click</th>
<th>Total actions (like, share, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environment &amp; Zoo</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>3,045</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$1.14</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land use &amp; Transportation</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>2,740</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>$0.63</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Campaign 2: 10/21-10/30**

Toward the end of the comment period, we noticed that the respondent population was overwhelmingly white and older than 45. Recognizing this, we decided to run a second campaign that specifically sought younger people. Two ad sets were used: one targeted Millennials with a general interest in transportation; the other targeted Millennials with an interest in diversity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interests</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Reach</th>
<th>Website clicks</th>
<th>Cost per click</th>
<th>Total actions (Like, share, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diverse/ Millennial</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>5,059</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>$1.16</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation/ Millennial</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>5,323</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>$1.92</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overall results**

We were pleased by the overall results of our Facebook ad campaign pilot. Altogether our ads reached 16,167 people, including many who might not otherwise have heard about Climate Smart Communities (or Metro, for that matter). This led to a total of 131 clicks to the
makeagreatplace.org survey, at a cost of roughly $1.21 per click – less expensive than traditional means of outreach, and far cheaper and more effective than print advertising.

While Facebook advertising is certainly no replacement for traditional outreach/promotion, it makes a worthy supplement to these activities for future public comment periods.

Other Facebook Pages

Again, we urged others, including Metro councilors, partner governments and community-based organizations to share links and videos on their own Facebook pages. We wrote sample Facebook posts and distributed them to partners via email along with a list of links to our videos. It is not as easy to track the impact of such efforts on Facebook, but we directly observed at least 10 instances of other organizations sharing our comment period on their own Facebook pages.

TOTAL SOCIAL MEDIA IMPACT

Combined, our social media efforts resulted in at least 43,311 total impressions (note: not unique impressions) and 224 direct clicks to makeagreatplace.org, roughly 10 percent of the total respondents for the survey.
Climate Smart Communities Public Comment Period
September 15-October 30, 2014

Media & Web Hits


City of Portland Office of Neighborhood Involvement, Sept. 15(?): "CSC Comment period open" http://www.portlandoregon.gov/oni/article/503145

Washington Co. CPO 10 "Hot Topics" page, Sept. 15(?):

Oregon Public Health Institute, Sept. 22: "Your voice is important: Climate Smart Strategy open for review" http://ophi.org/your-voice-is-important-climate-smart-strategy-open-for-review/


Intertwine Alliance. Mention in October e-newsletter. "Share your Climate Smarts"
http://theintertwine.org/sites/theintertwine.org/files/october_2014_newsletter.html

Bike Portland, Oct. 3: "Five smart things our regional planning agency is doing to fight global warming" http://bikeportland.org/2014/10/03/five-smart-things-regional-planning-agency-fight-global-warming-111784


Clackamas County wants Metro to fight climate change by widening roads

Clackamas County: Build more roads
Trying to reduce CO2 waste of money, Turlay says (again)
http://blogs.columbian.com/all-politics-is-local/trying-reduce-co2-waste-money-turlay-says/#.VF1CnB1L4jc.twitter

Metro-area leaders: prioritize active transportation & transit — for our children, our health, our climate
Appendix B.

Community leaders meeting summary

Oct. 1, 2014
COMMUNITY LEADERS MEETING SUMMARY
October 1, 2014 | 1 to 3 p.m. | Metro Council Chamber | 600 NE Grand Avenue, Portland OR

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE
The Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project responds to a mandate from the 2009 Oregon Legislature to reduce per capita greenhouse gas emissions from cars and small trucks by 20 percent below 2005 levels by 2035.

Working together through a four-year collaborative process, community, business and elected leaders have shaped a draft approach that meets the state mandate while creating healthy and equitable communities and a strong economy. The draft Climate Smart Strategy and implementation recommendations were released for public review from Sept. 15 to Oct. 30, 2014 at oregonmetro.gov/draftapproach.

As part of the public comment period and ongoing efforts to ensure community members have meaningful opportunities to inform the regional decision-making process, Metro convened community leaders working on issues related to equity, environment, public health, housing, and transportation to discuss the draft Climate Smart Strategy and implementation recommendations for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and creating great communities.

The Oct. 1 meeting brought together community leaders who have been involved in past Climate Smart Communities engagement activities, and provided an opportunity for participants to ask questions and provide direct input on the draft strategy and implementation recommendations. The meeting also served to activate the community leaders to communicate knowledge of draft approach to their networks to encourage participation in public comment period.

A summary of the input provided at the meeting follows.
Meeting participants:
Samuel Diaz, 1000 Friends of Oregon
Chris Hagerbaumer, Oregon Environmental Council
Andrea Hamburg, Oregon Health Authority
Duncan Hwang, Asian Pacific American Network of Oregon
Nicole Iroz-Elardo, Oregon Health Authority
Lisa Frank, Bicycle Transportation Alliance
Jared Franz, OPAL Environmental Justice Oregon
Mary Kyle McCurdy, 1000 Friends of Oregon
Pam Pham, 1000 Friends of Oregon
Cora Potter, Ride Connection
Kari Scholosshauer, Safe Routes to School
Chris Smith, Portland Transport
Steve White, Oregon Public Health Institute
Elizabeth Williams, Coalition for a Livable Future

Metro Council:
Councilor Carlotta Collette

Facilitator:
Noelle Dobson, Metro Planning and Development Department

Metro Staff:
Kim Ellis, Planning and Development Department
Peggy Morell, Communications
Lake Strongheart McTighe, Planning and Development Department
Craig Beebe, Communications
Laura Dawson Bodner, Planning and Development Department
WELCOME
Metro Councilor Carlotta Collette thanked participants for their investment of time over the last two years of the project, and acknowledged the value of their feedback and outreach they've done with their networks about the project. She said the Climate Smart Communities (CSC) team produced a draft Climate Smart Strategy that is currently under public review, and is seeking additional feedback from communities. She reported the online survey received over 1,000 responses in the first two weeks of the public comment period and called on the leaders to activate their organization's networks to participate and weigh in.

ICEBREAKER AND INTRODUCTIONS
Noelle Dobson introduced herself and started the meeting with an icebreaker and introductions. She acknowledged the many different Metro engagement activities that that most people in the group had already participated in, including the Regional Transportation Plan, Regional Active Transportation Plan, Southwest Corridor Plan, Powell-Division Transit Project, Equity Strategy and Climate Smart Communities. She identified this group as primarily community leaders who were familiar with the Climate Smart project, and explained the purpose of the icebreaker was to highlight connections between Climate Smart and other Metro projects and programs and to acknowledge them for their ongoing participation and input on Metro's activities.

Noelle then asked participants to introduce themselves and explain why the Climate Smart work is important to them or their organizations. Comments included:
- Public health
- Work across sectors
- Multiple benefits
- Alignment with my organization’s goals
- Make funding happen
- Improves how we live, work and play
- Maintain livable communities
- Accessible to all incomes and abilities
- Engage the broader community
- Create model for other regions in Oregon
- Culturally relevant outcomes
- Voice for impacted communities
- System-wide impact
- Ensure policy turns into action
- Moral imperative to address climate change
- Hear our voices
- Model of state, regional and local partnerships
- Use low-tech tools
- Align regional and local models and planning
SETTING THE CONTEXT FOR THE MEETING
Noelle stated that the objective for this meeting was to make it easier for participants to provide comments during the public comment period, and ensure they have the information needed to do so. She asked that participants listen to each other, become familiar with the public review documents, activate their networks to weigh in, use their connections to policymakers, and strategize ways to ensure that policymakers receive community input.

Noelle reviewed the agenda and explained that the focus of this meeting would be on three components of the draft strategy: the draft toolbox of actions, the proposed monitoring approach and funding. She announced that the timeline to completion, decision-making process and next steps would be provided by Kim Ellis, the project manager. She asked that people share information with other community leaders who were not able to attend today’s meeting.

Question: Could staff provide information about the survey? This organization sent out the link to the survey. Feedback themes included:
- What are the goals of the survey?
- How will the information be used?
- Will information be carried over into the implementation phase?
- How will the survey impact the approach chosen?

Noelle explained that input from past discussion groups with community and business leaders has been documented in summary reports and provided to Metro’s policy advisory committees and the Metro Council. The 2012 scorecard on equity, environment and public health workshops helped shape the evaluation criteria that were used in 2012-13 to assess scenarios tested to date and inform the health impact assessment completed by the Oregon Health Authority. Nicole explained the past discussions about implementation led to a reframing of the policy areas that are reflected in the draft Climate Smart Strategy under public review today.

Noelle described additional public involvement opportunities the project provided in 2014 that helped to further shape the draft strategy, including an online survey, stakeholder interviews, discussion groups, public opinion research and a panel presentation at the April 11 joint meeting of the Metro Policy Advisory Committee (MPAC) and the Joint Policy Advisory Committee on Transportation (JPACT). This input helped inform what MPAC and JPACT recommended be included in the draft approach on May 30 and the draft toolbox of actions staff had since developed to guide implementation. Noelle also explained that in August, an early draft toolbox of actions and the draft monitoring approach were shared with Transportation Justice Alliance and their input was reflected in the public review drafts.

Noelle said that a summary of this meeting will go into the public comment record and a copy will be sent to meeting participants. She asked that organizations submit formal public
comments. All comments will be summarized into a public comment report that will be provided to Metro’s policy advisory committees and the Metro Council in November.

OVERVIEW OF TIMELINE, DRAFT CLIMATE SMART STRATEGY AND DECISION-MAKING PROCESS

Kim Ellis thanked everyone for their comments and involvement to date. She reviewed the project timeline and upcoming decision milestones. Kim explained that Metro is required by the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) to complete this work by the end of the year. On December 18, the Metro Council will consider recommendations on the draft approach by MPAC and JPACT. She said the Climate Smart Communities team has been working with the committees throughout this process and the last of three joint MPAC/JPACT meetings will be held in November to consider refinements based on technical committee feedback, this group’s feedback and other public comments.

She described the four documents that are currently subject to public review:

1. **The Draft Climate Smart Strategy** provides an overview of the 10 policy areas. Examples include information and incentives to use travel options, expanding transit service, completing more of the active transportation network, and using technology for traffic signal timing, etc. The strategy assumes certain levels of investment from the 2014 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), and identifies the need to secure additional funding to support implementation.

2. **The Draft Regional Framework Plan Amendments** identify refinements to existing regional policies that guide how Metro conducts land use and transportation planning and other activities. The amendments focus on integrating the key elements of the strategy and including greenhouse gas reduction as a consideration in future planning and decision-making.

3. **The Draft Toolbox of Possible Actions** identifies possible near-term actions (within the next 5 years) that the region, agencies, special districts, local governments and the state can take to begin implementation. She explained some actions are already underway, but there are also new actions partners are encouraged to consider. Kim explained the actions are intended to be a menu of options that allows local flexibility in how and when they are implemented. Actions range from advocating on legislative proposals and seeking new funding to updating parking policies and making investments to complete the active transportation network. The next Regional Transportation Plan update will build on these actions to identify medium- and long-term actions.

4. **The Draft Performance and Monitoring Approach** proposes an approach for tracking the region’s progress on implementing the key elements of the strategy adopted by the Metro Council. Kim explained the intent is to build on the existing land use and transportation performance monitoring Metro is already responsible for as a result of state and federal requirements.
Kim said the process remains on track to be completed by the end of the year with a final Metro Council action scheduled for Dec. 18. She reiterated that MPAC and JPACT will be asked to make their recommendations to the Metro Council in December. The Metro Council will hold public hearings on October 30 and on December 18.

**Question: Are the comments received to date positive or negative?**

Kim responded that there is general support for the ten policy areas and for the recommended levels of investment but concern remains about funding. At the beginning of the process, there was fear around potential new regulations that might be needed to meet the target, but the analysis found the region can meet the target if we are able to fully implement adopted local and regional plans. She explained some people do not believe in climate change and others don't consider this work a priority. Kim said it has been a priority for policymakers to shape a draft approach that meets the target and provides actions that can be tailored and are flexible to support community plans and visions.

Kim noted that there is no pushback on investing in the different areas; there is a recognition the region needs to be investing more in transportation infrastructure across all policy areas. She explained that MPAC and JPACT have asked staff to identify 3-5 priority actions that Metro, local governments, special districts and the state can work on together to begin implementation in 2015 and 2016. She described the criteria identified by Metro’s technical advisory committees – the Transportation Policy Alternatives Committee (TPAC) and the Metro Technical Advisory Committee (MTAC). She also explained that given the voluntary nature of the toolbox of actions, questions remain on how the region can demonstrate their commitment to each other to take action as well as demonstrate to the state that we are following through with implementation.

Kim explained that the online survey from last spring indicated that support exists for the level of investment recommended by MPAC and JPACT. Early results from the fall online survey that is part of the public comment period seem to validate this support. One of the largest concerns is policy area number 8 (securing adequate funding).

**Question: What are the demographics of survey respondents?**

Peggy Morell responded that the summary report on the public comment period will include demographic information. The survey captures age, zip code, race and gender. Questions are framed in a way that any person could answer them based on their experience living and traveling in the region, without specific knowledge of the previous project work completed to date. Peggy explained the survey addresses seven of the ten policy areas – focusing on the investment areas.

Noelle added that the team can continue to learn from community leaders about best practices for future survey development and encouraged participants to share any feedback they have on the survey design.
Group questions and discussion – Noelle
Noelle introduced the discussion by asking the group to prioritize the policy areas in order to identify which ones the group will discuss in more detail in the next agenda item. She asked each person to indicate their top two choices, which she noted on the flipchart using dots.

Results:
- Policy 3: Make biking and walking (and walking to transit) safe and convenient – 6 dots
- Policy 2: Make transit frequent, accessible and affordable – 5 dots
- Policy 2 and 3: People who voted ‘on the line’ between these two policies – 4 dots
- Policy 7: Manage parking and efficient use of space – 4 dots
- Policy 10: Demonstrate leadership on climate change – 3 dots
- Policy 9: Support Oregon’s transition to low carbon fuels, fuel efficient vehicles – 1 dot
- Policy 6: Information and incentives to expand travel options – 1 dot
- Policy 1: Implement 2040 Growth Concept and Plans – 1 dot
- Policy 8: Secure adequate funding – 1 dot
- Policies 4 (Make streets and highways safe, reliable and connected) and 5 (use technology to actively manage the transportation system) received no votes

Comments:
- We are really good at implementing some parts of adopted plans, and not completing other parts such as the active transportation plan.
- Technology will happen anyway, so we should focus our discussion on the other policy areas.
- The leadership in climate change policy: there is the question of who makes the decision on who gets the benefits. How can we bring more voices to the table?
- Space and compact growth need to be addressed. Parking is an inefficient use of our land. Changing policies on parking is the new frontier in land use and transportation and can leverage behavior change.
- We need to demonstrate that this is possible so others will join us – our region’s actions alone won’t make a difference.
- We should build out the full active transportation plan to realize benefits, and then focus on transit.
- Parking brings up a couple of things, including a need for the dense efficient use of urban space and a conversation on how we develop buildings.
- Vulnerable communities cannot adapt as costs continue to climb.
- Leadership on climate change policy area needs more teeth; it needs to include specific actions of what Metro is doing or will do to lead on addressing climate change.

OVERVIEW OF DRAFT TOOLBOX OF ACTIONS
Kim provided an overview of the draft toolbox of actions. She explained the document contains a menu of immediate actions for the next 5 years (near term 2017-2020). She noted we are seeking actions that will advance implementation by addressing barriers. She added many are actions that local government partners and others are already taking. There are more than 200 actions listed. Feedback to date includes determining actions that will give us quick immediate
results in order to show progress, as there is a desire to go beyond what is happening already. She asked the group to identify actions that are missing and which actions are most important to their organizations and networks.

Kim asked the group to think about potential criteria for identifying priority actions. She provided these examples: (actions should) produce high return on investment (significant greenhouse gas emission reduction), provide multiple community benefits beyond greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction, be achievable although may require a political lift, and require collaboration among multiple partners. She said we need early wins as a region to move more actions forward. We need to reflect a whole range of interests while achieving climate targets.

**Group questions and discussion**

Noelle asked the group: Which policy actions need to be elevated to the short list?

**Comments:**

- It is not true that these have to be entirely voluntary. Metro should use as a filter its own expenditures and whether or not they achieve Climate Smart Communities goals and reduce greenhouse gases. This idea can fall under leadership in climate change and also under funding for transportation. I would like Metro to take this on as its own guiding principle.
- "Lead by example" is something that Metro could do to elevate policy actions.
- Create impact by using existing small pots of money to help achieve goals.
- Lack of brownfields development holds communities back. Brownfields are underutilized and also have equity implications. Tie underutilized parking management into brownfields redevelopment actions.
- What are near-term projections, for example, for building projects? We need to know what is available and upcoming.
- Brownfields is a priority for the City of Portland. The City is being challenged to meet industrial land supply.
- Support and restore local control of policies and programs through legislative actions. Get rid of inclusionary zoning ban, think about housing investments that will serve the people who live there, make sure there is an equitable impact.
- Equity and health benefits came up frequently, but if we cannot guarantee affordable housing it is all for not.
- This is about implementing 2040. The analysis recommends keeping the urban growth boundary (UGB) tight and building inside the boundary. This is critical to achieve this goal. When you expand the UGB, emissions increase as people drive longer distance. Help people understand the connection, that how far they drive influences climate change.
- We have to serve those who are transit-dependent. Move some of the actions from shorter term to immediate.
- Research best practices now. Do that ahead of the investments.
- Change verb from *consider* ridership demographics to *use* ridership demographics.
• Link where people are living with accessible, frequent transit.
• Under 2040, don’t use the verb support; it is not strong enough. Language is squishy.
• Metro needs to research organizations or regions who "do it right."

**Question: how will suggestions regarding language amendments be used?**
Kim explained the public comment process, including the use of a comment log. She said that staff will make a recommendation on what to do with suggested changes. Staff recommendations are then forwarded to the technical committees for approval/recommendation to the policy committees.

**Comments:**
• We need to support local decisions while holding them to a certain standard, including housing/jobs balance and equitable development.
• Define Metro’s role and include language on "Metro’s job is to direct and guide."
• The goal should be to have affordable housing everywhere; the current language is unclear.
• It is a challenge getting care workers to Lake Oswego. We have an opportunity to move beyond transit shuttles. The travel burden is put on people who live far from their work. Workers need to spend less time traveling and have access to good school districts.
• Housing and transportation are symbiotic. We have to talk about both to make good decisions.
• The language we choose matters. This document looks a whole lot like NEPA. It needs to be more prescriptive. Use stronger language than consider.
• Increasing transit mode share is a good idea, but it will not necessarily show increased ridership. We have to make transit cost-competitive for choice riders and ridership will tell us how well the region is accomplishing that objective.
• We have a lower transit mode share now than at the beginning of the century. I would like a bigger conversation of what transit spending choices are made.
OVERVIEW OF DRAFT PERFORMANCE MONITORING APPROACH
Noelle asked the group to offer suggestions on the monitoring document.

Comments:
- We often speak of mode split, but the number of miles one travels actively is as important as vehicle miles traveled from a health perspective. Daily vehicle and pedestrian miles are important to track.
- Are there data points that came out of the HIAs (health impact assessments) that should be tracked? Information used was based on the travel demand model – advise Metro to track that and meet what the draft model states.
- Add household cost burden to housing and transportation.
- Household utility expenses should also be tracked.
- Measurement of fatalities should be called out in the walk/bike section.
- Specific measures should be tracked. Daily miles matter in biking and walking. There should be a target and a measurement of when all bike lanes and sidewalks are completed.
- Affordability is part of the transit policy but there is no measurement for it.
- Daily transit service revenue hours: ensure that they are not weighted by capacity.
- The walking/biking annual fatality target is noted as 32 and should be changed to zero.
- Kim explained the target reflects the adopted 2014 RTP target for a 50% reduction in fatalities and serious injury crashes.
- Residential units and jobs in the UGB should be broken down into sub-targets. The City of Portland talks about developing Lents or Gateway, but can use corridors to keep expanding the central city out rather than working on existing neighborhoods.
- Work went into state performance measures developed for Mosaic. Those measures could be a source for monitoring.
- “Make progress” and “Secure funding” are not measurable goals.
- The measures identified for leadership in climate change do not measure leadership; there are about process. Leadership is identifying ways to get the word out to other communities and the nation about this type of work.

FUNDING THE CLIMATE SMART STRATEGY
Kim said the overview brochure shows a breakdown of investment levels by policy area. The recommended level of investment reflects the Constrained Regional Transportation Plan for all policy areas except for transit service, using technology and providing travel information. The recommended transit service investment level reflects what is proposed in the full 2014 RTP.

Group questions and discussion
Peggy gave information about the online survey, saying that it addresses seven of the ten policy areas (policies two through eight). The purpose of the survey is to inform policymakers of what we have been hearing and provide an indication of what should be considered for
implementation. As of last week, there were over 1,000 responses. Peggy gave a quick overview of responses on where respondents supported more investment by policy area.

Comments:
- Seeking and advocating for new, dedicated funding for active transportation is a top priority.
- Develop a carbon pricing
- Things like $20 billion for streets and highways should be taken out. Leaders want it for other reasons, but it is not a recommendation for achieving a climate smart community. Kim responded that this project acknowledges the need to make investment in all of these areas, and policy makers are not backing away from strategically investing in streets and highways. She explained this is an opportunity to work together find revenue to advance completion of the active transportation network and expanding transit service.
- Observation on the Oregon Transportation Forum: there are no new ideas, no easy solutions.
- There is pessimism regarding funding; there is money to shore up some things without providing any new funding.
- So many funding options are constrained by constitutional amendment. Gas and vehicle taxes are for highway use and not allowed for active transportation.
- We need funding for transit operations, not for capital projects. It is much easier to get funding for capital projects than to fund what we already have.

Other possibilities for involvement
Noelle reiterated that there are several ways that people and organizations can provide comments.

Craig Beebe asked that people tap their networks, reach out to members, followers, friends and request that they comment. Craig offered a media resource kit that includes links, contact info, dates, sample tweets, and other things. He requested that they contact him directly if they needed anything else.

CLOSING COMMENTS
Councilor Collette thanked the group again for participating in and broadening the focus of this process.
Appendix C.

Public comment letters received

Sept. 15 to Oct. 30, 2014
The Oregon Health Authority Public Health Division (OHA-PHD) Environmental Public Health section works to identify, assess and report on threats to human health from exposure to environmental and occupational hazards, and advise the people and communities of Oregon to best understand potential risks where they live, work and play in order to remain healthy and safe. OHA-PHD recognizes climate change is happening in Oregon, putting our health and safety at risk. Some communities will be affected more than others; climate change will likely amplify existing health threats, particularly for the elderly, the sick, the poor, and some communities of color. OHA-PHD’s Climate and Health Program recently completed a Climate and Health Profile Report for the state documenting the pathways by which climate change could impact health in Oregon: heat-related illness, allergens, harmful algal blooms, vector-borne diseases, respiratory illness from deteriorating air quality, and potential increases in injuries and deaths from extreme weather events, landslides, and wildfires. Actions by other sectors can help protect people from some of the impacts of climate change. OHA-PHD is in support of efforts statewide to identify solutions to curb greenhouse gas emissions.

Strategies and investments intended to reduce greenhouse gas emissions may also impact health in other ways. OHA-PHD’s Health Impact Assessment Program completed a series of health impact assessments (HIA) to understand how land use and transportation strategies and investments influence community health. The most recent, the Climate Smart Strategy HIA, found that the Draft Approach as currently envisioned will reduce chronic disease and prevent premature deaths. These benefits are likely to occur through increased physical activity through active transportation modes, decreased exposure to air pollution through cleaner fuels and reduced per capita vehicle miles traveled (VMT), and increased traffic safety through reduced per capita VMT. The HIA contains specific recommendations to maximize health, and OHA-PHD’s Environmental Public Health Section urges Metro to consider these recommendations in the finalization of the Preferred Scenario, implementation throughout the region, and monitoring of key measures in coming years.

The full report, including evidence and recommendations, is available at www.healthoregon.org/hia.

Thank you for your consideration,

[Signature]
Curtis Cude
Interim Section Manager
Environmental Public Health
Center for Health Protection
Oregon Health Authority Public Health Division
Climate change threatens human health and well-being in many ways, including from increased extreme weather, wildfire, decreased air quality, threats to mental health, and illnesses from food, water, and disease-carriers such as mosquitoes and ticks. Climate change will, absent other changes, worsen existing health threats. Vulnerable communities, particularly children, older adults, poor, and some communities of color are particularly at risk. The changing climate has the potential to significantly impact health in the region. [www.healthoregon.org/climatechange](http://www.healthoregon.org/climatechange)

**Metro’s Climate Smart Communities Scenarios**

The Oregon Legislature has directed the Portland metropolitan region to reduce per capita greenhouse gas emissions from cars and small trucks by 2035. Metro, the Portland metropolitan regional government, is leading in the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project – a community process to plan to meet this requirement.

The Climate Smart Strategy HIA found that strategies and investments considered in Metro’s planning **reduce the risks of climate change, increase physical activity, improve air quality, and reduce traffic injuries and fatalities.**

- Demonstrate regional leadership and mitigate climate change by adopting and implementing a Scenario that meets or exceeds the GHG targets set for the Portland metropolitan area.

The Draft Approach is expected to result in **annual health benefits of 126 avoided premature deaths, a 1.6% reduction in diseases studied, and annual savings of $100-125 million** (2010$) in direct and indirect costs.

---

Flexible, reliable transportation systems  
**PROVIDE HEALTHY CHOICES.**
The Oregon Health Authority HIA Program used the Integrated Transport and Health Impact Model (ITHIM) to assess how increases in miles traveled by walking and biking combined with a decrease in per capita vehicle miles traveled would impact health. ITHIM estimates avoided deaths and avoided illness as measured by disability adjusted life years (DALYs) for 12 diseases over three domains: physical activity, air quality, and traffic safety. **ITHIM estimates that by 2035, the Draft Approach will prevent 126 premature deaths and reduce illness by 1.6% annually.** The vast majority of the health benefits from the draft approach are attributable to increased physical activity and improved air quality. (See above where attribution to pathways is represented as the size of the slice of the pie.)

**PHYSICAL ACTIVITY**

Transportation and land use strategies in the Draft Approach are expected to result in modest increases of active transportation. This translates into impressive health gains across the region.

Increasing the average distance walked from 1.3 to 1.8 miles per week will result in 48 avoided premature deaths. An additional 13 premature deaths will be avoided if miles traveled per person per week by bicycle increase from 2.1 to 3.6. Illnesses studies will decrease by 1.6%.

- Integrate multi-modal design in road improvement and maintenance to support all users.
- Implement Complete Streets strategies
- Complete the active transportation network.
- Meet or exceed 1.8 miles walked and 3.4 miles cycled per person per week by 2035 as projected in the Draft Approach.
TRAFFIC SAFETY

Reducing greenhouse gas emissions depends on expanded use of walking, biking, and transit. **Reductions in per capita vehicle miles traveled (VMT) improve traffic safety for all users.**

The Draft Approach would result in 5.9 avoided fatalities annually and decrease disabilities from severe injuries by 6.7%. However, the number of pedestrian and bicycle fatalities and severe injuries will increase even as overall injury and fatality rates fall for all modes. This absolute increase in bicycle and pedestrian fatalities and injuries can be avoided by designing for safety for non-motorized users.

- Adopt and implement investments and strategies that reduce per capital VMT from 130 to less than 107 miles per week.
- Prioritize expanding transit and providing travel information and incentives to reduce VMT and encourage active modes.

AIR QUALITY

Improving overall air quality is an important health benefit of greenhouse gas emissions reduction. The combined effect of reduced per capita vehicle miles traveled and clean fuel technologies is expected to improve air quality.

Air pollution can be highly localized with high concentrations near transportation corridors such as freeways and major roads. In 2010, 12.6% of the population – including many vulnerable communities – lived within 500 meters of the freeways highlighted at the left. Care should be taken in siting facilities that serve vulnerable populations in these areas.

- Reduce regional ambient concentrations of PM2.5 to 6.41 ug/m3 or below as projected in the Draft Approach
- Support state efforts to transition to cleaner low carbon fuels, more fuel-efficient vehicles, and transit fleet upgrades.

COST SAVINGS

Using a cost-of-illness approach, the HIA program estimates that the region currently spends between $4.8 and $5.8 billion (in 2010$) each year on diseases modeled in ITHIM. **The Draft Approach is expected to reduce illness and save the region $100-$125 million annually (in 2010$).** This includes annual savings of nearly $64 million in expenditures and lost productivity related to cardiovascular disease, $35 million associated with traffic injuries, and $26 million related to diabetes treatment.
Target investments to improve health for all populations

Not all residents of the Portland metropolitan region have equal access to healthy transportation options or health-promoting community resources.

- Ensure social and health goals are considered when prioritizing investments by explicitly and transparently addressing how investments link low-income and other vulnerable households to health-promoting resources.
- Protect populations – including the elderly, children, and low-income individuals – who live, work, and attend school near highways and major roads through siting, design, and/or mechanical systems that reduce indoor air pollution.
- Maximize health benefits by monitoring key health indicators, expanding partnerships that promote health, and developing tools to support the consideration of health impacts in future land use and transportation decisions throughout the region.

Health Impact Assessment

Health Impact Assessment (HIA) is a way to consider how a policy or plan affects community health before the final decision is made. By providing objective, evidence-based information, HIA can increase positive health effects and mitigate unintended health impacts. OHA conducted this assessment at Metro’s request, with funds provided by the Health Impact Project, a collaboration of the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and The Pew Charitable Trust.

An advisory group of more than 30 people representing local governments, state and regional agencies and public health nonprofits provided guidance and data for a series of three HIAs supporting Metro’s Climate Smart Communities Project. Six members of the advisory committee provided a full technical review of the report.

Climate Smart Scenarios Health Impact Assessment Scope

Geography: Portland, Oregon metropolitan region as defined by the Urban Growth Boundary

Timeline: 2010 (base year) to 2035 (horizon year)

Scenarios:
- A: adopted plans with existing revenues
- B: adopted plans with expanded revenues for priority investments
- C: adopted plans plus additional policy and infrastructure development (requires additional revenue/funding sources)

Draft Approach: full implementation of adopted 2014 Regional Transportation Plan with additional investment in transit; lower-cost transportation system management and operations; and lower-cost information and incentive strategies.

Exposure pathways: physical activity, traffic safety, air quality

Quantitative tool: Integrated Transportation Health Impact Model (ITHIM)

Other considerations: health costs associated with health pathways; vulnerable populations

The full report is available at [www.healthoregon.org/hia](http://www.healthoregon.org/hia).

To: Metro Planning

From: Chris Hagerbaumer, Oregon Environmental Council

RE: Draft Climate Smart Strategy

Date: October 15, 2014

Oregon Environmental Council (OEC) thanks Metro for doing a terrific job developing a robust plan to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from cars and trucks. Yes, it was mandated, but you took the task to heart and did the due diligence with regard to research, analysis and community engagement. It’s exciting and affirming that the approach relies on policies and investments you had already identified as important for the region’s future. Of course, the hardest part is yet to come—securing the funds to make the needed investments and bringing all parts of the region along, but the co-benefits are so huge and the costs of inaction so great, that it’s a true imperative.

OEC had the opportunity to participate in the October 1 Climate Smart Communities community leaders meeting. We second the many recommendations made there, and stress a few below:

OEC supports the **Toolbox of Possible Actions** in its entirety. Provision of transportation options (transit, pedestrian and bicycling facilities) is particularly important to us. We would also emphasize a few specific actions:

1. Restore local control of housing policies and programs. Too many lower-income residents have been pushed out of the region’s core due to the fact that affordable housing policies and investments have not been implemented along with all of the strategies that have made the core more desirable (and expensive). We suggest rephrasing this action to ensure that it’s about achieving housing affordability, not just restoring local control (local control works only if local decision-makers actually care about affordable housing). This needs to be a real regional conversation with real solutions that ensure housing affordability no matter where one lives in the region.

2. Use green street design, not only planting trees to support carbon sequestration and using materials that reduce infrastructure-related heat gain, but capturing,
absorbing and cleaning stormwater and making more use of pervious, rather than impervious, surface materials. These strategies will help the region save money and adapt to the unwelcome effects of climate change.

3. Fully utilize parking pricing strategies. Yes, this is a tough sell, but it’s one of the most effective ways to manage demand. Parking spaces are not truly “free,” and too much free parking merely subsidizes cars and car trips. In most urban areas, there’s more space for cars (roads, parking lots and driveways) than humans (buildings and sidewalks), which is kind of insane. Cities should charge the fair market price for on-street parking, using the revenues to finance added public services in the metered neighborhoods. Likewise, parking minimums hurt housing affordability (as mentioned above, housing affordability is one of the most important issues to grapple with).

4. Expand the list of actions under “Demonstrate leadership on climate change.” The actions listed are primarily focused on inventories, reports and plans. Yes, you will demonstrate true leadership by implementing the plan, but we suggest “evangelizing” in appropriate venues. Share your story with other metropolitan areas across the country. Be loud and proud about tackling the most pressing issue of our time. On a related note, some of the resistance to some of the tools (e.g., the current backlash against mixed-use development in downtown Lake Oswego) has to do with a lack of understanding of how these tools work, how they help the community broadly, and how everyone needs to be part of the solution. There continues to be a communication challenge about the necessity of compact urban development, not to mention climate change, which needs to be overcome. Not everyone will get on board, but more will as the merits are proved and the story is told.

With regard to the Draft Performance Monitoring Approach:

- You may have already done so, but we suggest reviewing the indicators developed for Mosaic, the value and cost informed transportation planning tool recently developed by ODOT. There may be some quantitative and qualitative indicators that would make sense to use in this process.
- Because of the importance of housing affordability, please develop an indicator related to housing affordability for the policy “Implement the 2040 Growth Concept and local adopted land use and transportation plans.”
- Perhaps adopt a measurement for 20-minute neighborhoods.
- Public EV charging stations could be a measure for the policy related to fuels and vehicles.
- The measure “secure adequate funding for transportation investments” could be quite specific, e.g., 60% of transit needs met by 20XX, 75% of sidewalk infrastructure complete by 20XX, etc.

Again, thank you for your great work. OEC will be with you all the way.

Chris Hagerbaumer | Deputy Director
Oregon Environmental Council
222 NW Davis Street, Suite 309
Portland, OR 97209-3900
503.222.1963 x102
October 22, 2014

Metro President Tom Hughes  
Metro Council  
600 NE Grand Avenue  
Portland, OR  97232

Re: Climate Smart Communities Strategy

Dear President Hughes and Council Members:

1000 Friends of Oregon is pleased to be before you, several years after the passage of HB 2001 (in 2009) and SB 1059 (in 2010), enthusiastically supporting the work and outcome of the ground-breaking and critical Climate Smart Communities project. The Metro Council and your staff not only embraced a state mandate, but used it to tie together the many related, but not always integrated, strands of land use and transportation work going on in the region to create a framework for the region’s future that goes beyond simply reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from light vehicles.

The Metro Council set the stage by requiring the Climate Smart Communities project to be measured against Metro’s “six desired outcomes.” The Metro staff worked incredibly long hours to ensure the project was guided by thorough, professional technical research and analysis, not just in GHG emissions but also in the relationship of various options to health, personal and public finances, and the environment. Integrating the Oregon Health Authority’s Health Impact Analysis (HIA) illustrated clearly that the choices the region makes to address greenhouse gas reduction can have profound – and if we do it right, beneficial - impacts on the everyday lives of residents and businesses, today and in the future.

Metro tried new methods of engaging a greater number and more diverse populations of local residents. The staff diligently obtained feedback at every stage during this 4-year long project from the myriad of advisory committees, planning staffs, and elected officials throughout the region.

It is critical to understand that the resulting proposed preferred strategy does not merely conclude that if the region implements its existing land use and transportation plans, it can achieve its GHG emission reduction target. That would result in missing significant opportunities to achieve more than one regional objective through a synergistic implementation approach, and the region would probably also miss the ultimate target of contributing meaningfully to reducing the impact of greenhouse gas emissions on climate.  

1 Metro’s Six Desired Outcomes are: Equity, Vibrant Communities, Regional Climate Change Leadership, Transportation Choices, Economic Prosperity, Clean Air & Water.

2 Just in the 4 years this project has been underway, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change has concluded that warming of the earth’s atmosphere is occurring faster than previously thought.  

Most importantly, it would hide the critical take-away from Climate Smart Communities: the region – cities, counties, transit agencies, and Metro - are not implementing their adopted plans now. Therefore, the region will not meet its GHG emission reduction target if we simply conduct business as usual. To meet the GHG target and achieve the many other benefits of creating walkable, mixed use communities requires greatly increased investment in transit, pedestrian infrastructure, bike facilities, and affordable housing. It also requires policy changes that integrate transportation investments, affordable housing, parking reduction strategies, and mixed-use development investments.

An ever-increasing number of studies demonstrates that collaboratively implementing particular actions can have beneficial impacts on several of the region’s desired outcomes at the same time. For example, the Oregon Health Authority’s HIA on Metro’s Climate Smart Strategy concluded that investing in safe and accessible walking, bicycling, and transit options that take residents from where they live to where they need to go not only reduces the amount of miles we all drive, but results in significant health benefits and health savings – savings both to the individual and to taxpayers – due to increased physical activity and decreased air pollution.³

We also know that transit will not be effective in reducing greenhouse gas emissions from light vehicles unless local governments ensure through planning and zoning that densities and housing options along bus and light rail lines are sufficient to generate ridership warranting frequent service. The highest levels of transit ridership are from those populations – mostly lower income and elderly – that are transit dependent. Recent extensive studies from California, which is implementing a similar GHG reduction program, have found:

“[W]ell-designed program[s] to put more affordable homes near transit would not just meet the requirements set by the California Air Resources Board (ARB), but would be a powerful and durable GHG reduction strategy – directly reducing driving while creating a host of economic and social benefits.”⁴

The integration of affordable housing into transit-oriented development is critical:

“Preserving and building affordable homes near transit will allow California to achieve the maximum VMT and GHG reduction benefits of investment in transit infrastructure and transit-oriented development. Actions must be taken to ensure that people with low incomes, who are most likely to use transit and to benefit from its presence, are able to live nearby.”⁵

---

³ Oregon Health Authority, www.healthoregon.org/hia
Therefore, Metro, cities, and counties must adopt policies and invest in affordable housing and senior housing in transit-oriented developments. Furthermore, well-located bus service not only makes employment opportunities available to all workers, but also benefits the local economy by making sufficient workers available to all employers.

Finally, surface parking lots, other impervious surfaces devoted to parking, and brownfields not only create deserts of lost economic opportunity in neighborhoods, but they lower densities making transit less effective. Policies to manage parking and investments to revitalize brownfields into uses that contribute to livability have multiple community benefits in addition to helping reduce the need to drive.

Achieving multiple benefits requires *coordinating and prioritizing investments* by Metro, cities, counties, and TriMet in safe and accessible sidewalks, bikeways, bus shelters, lighting, and frequent and integrated transit service along key corridors linking where people live with employment, shopping, schools, and other needs. It requires adoption of policies supporting affordable housing, managing parking, and re-using brownfields.

Therefore, adopting the Toolbox of Possible Actions and Performance Monitoring Approach, along with the Climate Smart Strategy, is essential for the region’s success. We emphasize below the specific tools and monitoring approaches we particularly support, and recommend some stronger actions we ask Metro to take.

**Toolbox**

*Demonstrate Leadership*

- To truly “demonstrate leadership on climate change,” Metro must commit to lead by example by **using the Climate Smart Strategy as a filter for Metro’s land use and transportation policy and investment decisions**. Each of those decisions must be measured against whether it helps or hinders achievement of the GHG reduction target.

*Implement the 2040 Growth Concept*

- We support Metro’s commitment to **restoring all affordable housing tools** to local governments. Providing local governments the full array of tools to provide for affordable housing is critical to a successful transit system, to the ability of the region’s residents to meet their daily needs, and the region’s employers to have a sufficient work force.

- Metro should specifically call out here its commitment to use the **2018 RTP revision** as a tool to implement the 2040 Growth Concept’s Climate Smart Strategies. For example, through the 2018 RTP, Metro should prioritize active transportation projects and investments, especially in designated centers and corridors and transit-dependent communities.
Among other actions in the Toolbox, Metro should commit to leveraging Metro’s and the region’s public investments to maintain and create **affordable housing** in transit-served areas.

Major investments in transit and other community development projects should be accompanied with policies that **protect against economic displacement** of lower-income residents.

**Make transit more convenient, frequent, accessible, and affordable**

Under Metro’s actions, move from “Near-term” to “Immediate” the action to “Research and develop best practices that support equitable growth and development near transit without displacement….” This research and implementation must start in the immediate time frame, so region and neighborhoods can get ahead of potentially displacing investments.

**Commit regional flexible transportation funds to active transportation.**

Specifically call out the 2018 RTP revision as a tool to implement the transit actions in the Climate Smart Strategy.

We strongly support Metro’s commitment to seek new sources for transit funding and to obtain reduced fare programs for youth, seniors, people with disabilities, and low-income residents.

Under the Immediate actions for local governments, the action to “Consider ridership demographics in [transit] service planning” is too weak. Ridership demographics should actually be **used** in service planning, to ensure that the communities of concern are prioritized in providing accessible and affordable transit. This same issue re-occurs under the list of special district action items.

**Make biking and walking safe and convenient**

Specifically call out 2018 RTP revision as a tool to implement the bicycle and pedestrian actions in the Climate Smart Strategy.

**Commit regional flexible transportation funds to active transportation.**

Use the Climate Smart Strategy as a filter for evaluating individual transportation projects to construct or widen major roads and arterials.
Manage parking to make efficient use of parking spaces

- Under Metro’s actions, move the item about researching and updating regional parking policies from the “Near-term” category to “Immediate.” It will take time to complete the research and conduct the pilot and demonstration projects that are likely to be needed.

- Link providing different parking policies in mixed use transit corridors and centers with maintaining/providing affordable housing (e.g., recoup some of the private savings from providing fewer parking places in a development in a frequent transit district, and use it to provide for or preserve affordable housing in the corridor).

Performance Monitoring

The following should be added to Performance Monitoring Approach:

- Metro should continue and expand the efforts it started during the development of the Climate Smart Strategy of engaging more and more diverse communities in the region as it implements the CSC strategy, decides which "Tools" to use, and monitors the performance. Therefore, we ask Metro to establish a public engagement process that is diverse and inclusive, which will oversee implementation of the Climate Smart Strategy.

- Specific actions that Metro will take to incentivize, reward, and penalize success and failure in achieving progress towards meeting the adopted Climate Smart Strategy.

- Specific benchmark dates for evaluating progress on the immediate and near term actions and a commitment to take appropriate steps, if necessary, to maintain progress towards the target GHG reduction.

- Add as a measure to be monitored the percentage of households whose combined housing and transportation costs make them “cost burdened,” by location. This is already measured by Metro. This should be linked to a goal should be to reduce the percentage of cost-burdened households, by increasing affordable housing, in transit centers and corridors.

- Incorporate as measures appropriate health categories from the HIA and rapid HIA completed by the Oregon Health Authority.

Thank you for consideration of our comments.

Sincerely,

Mary Kyle McCurdy
Policy Director and Staff Attorney
October 22, 2014

Council President Hughes and Metro Councilors
Metro Regional Center
600 NE Grand Ave
Portland, Oregon 97232

Re: Climate Smart Communities Preferred Alternative

Dear President Hughes and Metro Councilors:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments on Metro’s Climate Smart Strategy. We are appreciative of the incredible amount of work that went in to the process over the past several years, and of the difficult task your staff have undertaken. Clackamas County has several concerns with the strategy, and hope that they can be addressed in the final version.

**Maintain Local Flexibility.**

On numerous occasions we have heard that the preferred approach will consist of a “toolbox” of actions from which local governments may choose. It is essential that we maintain this flexible approach. Every jurisdiction is unique, and what works in one place might not work in another. Parking management is a key example of a local issue: Portland’s needs and context are very different from those in Oregon City or the Clackamas Regional Center. In every area, public and business input will be key to workable solutions. A top-down, one size fits all approach will not work. Nor will a bias toward spending regional funds in a manner that is not equitable between jurisdictions. The strategy must contain a clear and unequivocal commitment to maintaining local control and flexibility in both the adopting ordinance, and in the framework plan language itself.

**Maintain an emphasis on increased highway capacity as a method of reducing greenhouse gas emissions.**

Congestion is a key contributor to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Of all of the proposed strategies, congestion-based GHG emissions are the most easily reduced, and the GHG reduction is the most direct. It is critical that the language in the
Preferred Strategy reflect a continued commitment to increasing highway capacity, particularly in those areas of critical congestion like the I-205 South Corridor and the Rose Quarter.

In addition, increased highway and road capacity has the most obvious co-benefits in terms of increased economic activity and freight mobility. It also relies on less behavior modification and social engineering than other elements of the strategy. Through appropriate strategies like High Occupancy Transit, High Occupancy Vehicle and dedicated freight lanes, it is possible to increase capacity while maintaining control of congestion.

We are concerned that the preferred strategy will become a “filter” through which more Regional Flex Funds and MTIP money is allocated to non-road projects, or to support projects in particular areas. We want to be sure that that is not the case, and that the region retains its ability to invest in highway capacity. Moreover, since the preferred strategy and the RTP itself were based on local Transportation Systems Plans, it is important that the region remain committed to the implementation of local plans.

Assure that enhanced transit leaves ample opportunities to innovate with local or supplemental service.

Clackamas County and several of our cities are interested in evaluating the potential to provide a supplemental transit service along the lines of Grove Link, Forest Grove’s local service. We want to be sure that the preferred strategy expressly include the opportunity for this kind of innovation and experimentation.

Clackamas County appreciates the opportunity to provide these comments.

Sincerely:

CLACKAMAS COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

John Ludlow  
Chair

Jim Bernard  
Commissioner

Paul Savas  
Commissioner

Martha Schrader  
Commissioner

Tootie Smith  
Commissioner
October 24, 2014

Hon. Tom Hughes, President,
And Metro Councilors
600 NE Grand Avenue
Portland, OR 97232

Re: Climate Smart Scenarios – Preferred Approach

Dear President Hughes and Metro Councilors:

With the passage of House Bill 2001 in 2009, the Region was faced with the daunting task of reaching an agreement on how to meet the state targets for reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from light-duty vehicles. Through Metro’s leadership and guidance and the hard work and commitment of regional leaders and their staff, this spring, we did come to consensus on the concepts for the Climate Smart Strategy. We applaud Metro and the local government efforts on reaching this historic milestone. We hope that the region will stay engaged as we move forward with reporting back to the State Legislature and implementation.

In order to accurately reflect the regional consensus and local priorities, as well as protect current and future generations from undue financial burdens or unrealistic expectations, a few changes and clarifications to the implementing documents are necessary before the region moves forward. These changes and clarifications, as outlined below, are necessary before we can support the package at the November 7, 2014 joint JPACT/MPAC meeting:

**Commitment to adopted plans.** Our first commitment needs to be to adopted plans, as implementation of these plans gets us to the state greenhouse gas reduction target. Additionally, these plans reflect our local priorities and the desires of our citizens. We should celebrate the fact that our adopted plans will further the regional and statewide goals regarding reduction of greenhouse gas emissions from light-duty vehicles.

**Local Choice in the Regional Context.** Metro has stated throughout this process that the solution will not be one-size-fits all, and that local jurisdictions will be able to chose implementation measures that suit their community needs. This has been a crucial factor in obtaining regional buy-in to the preferred strategy. While draft Ordinance 14-1346 clearly articulates the ability to “locally tailor” implementation tools, the amendments to the Framework Plan and the tool kit need to contain identical language. Furthermore, the Performance Monitoring measures need to account for this local autonomy.
Funding. We agree that we need to be aspirational when planning for climate change, as we’re not only planning for today, but future generations. However, we do need to balance these aspirations with realism, and not over commit funding we do not have. To this end, we recommend the following:

- Given that existing, adopted plans get us to the state targets and the uncertainty of future funding and technological advances, we recommend that the regional approach be to first set forth the few implementation actions for the next few years that have firm commitment, followed by an “aspirational” list of items to pursue dependent on available funding. This tiered approach will also allow further refinement of and collaboration on the longer term implementation actions.

- Focus efforts on any “funding coalition” on federal and state funds. Funding strategies should not include a new regional tax or jeopardize existing local funding sources. Washington County and its cities have long been progressive with providing funding for transportation improvements and maintenance through sources such as the County Major Streets Transportation Improvement Program and Transportation Development Tax and local funding sources such Transportation Utility Fees and adopted and anticipated supplemental transportation fees for new growth areas. We encourage Metro to work with neighboring jurisdictions to come up with similar measures; however, given commitments of these funding sources, dilution of these funds would jeopardize years of local planning that has been acknowledged to be in compliance with the Metro 2040 Plan.

- Rather than a blanket statement of prioritizing transit, we need local governments within transportation corridors to prioritize improvements. While transit may be a priority where there is a complete road network, in other locations, completing road connections may be a prerequisite to transit. Simply stating that transit is a funding priority is too simplistic given the diversity and complexity of the region.

The Future of Technology. In addition to tempering the cost of the additional efforts above-and-beyond adopted plans with reality of funding, we need to keep our options open to new technological advances. It is foreseeable that such advances will move us forward towards reducing greenhouse gas emissions in ways the proposed strategy does not take into account. We need to build in periodic review to be able to adjust and respond to such advances, as they may relieve some of the financial burdens that remain unsolved in the proposed strategy.

Legislative Priorities. Before the region can start setting priorities for the 2015 Legislative Session, we need the clarity outlined above. Furthermore, there needs to be clarity regarding the expectations from local governments – is Metro looking for local jurisdictions to sign onto a regional legislative agenda? This may be problematic, as individual jurisdictions are working with their Councils to formulate legislative agendas and regional and local priorities may not align.
Regional Framework Plan. The proposed amendments to the new Goal 11 of the Regional Framework Plan need to be edited to be consistent with previous sections of the Framework Plan. To this end, this section should be limited to the goals and objectives, with the individual action items left to the toolbox and Climate Smart Strategy report.

Further Refinement of the Toolbox and appropriate form of adoption. With regard to the Toolbox of Possible Actions, we support the development of a short list of priority actions. However, the Toolbox itself needs refinement, which we would like to see accomplished through a series of workgroup meetings (similar to what Metro did with the Active Transportation Plan) over the next 3-6 months. To accomplish such a task, the 8th and 9th clauses on page 3 of the Resolution need to be modified to reflect such an effort. Additionally, #4 (page 5) should be reworded as follows:

Metro Council directs staff to provide opportunities for further review and refinement of the Toolbox of Possible Actions by local governments, ODOT, TriMet and other stakeholders.

We think this extra work will go far in avoiding misunderstanding and help build consensus around possible actions to be taken to implement the Climate Smart Strategy. Furthermore, given the four years that went into analyzing and discussing the preferred approach, it is appropriate to be more thoughtful and considerate in devising the toolbox, which will guide implementation of the preferred Strategy over the next 20 years.

If the Toolbox is to be “adopted,” it should be done so through Resolution (similar to the Active Transportation Plan), not ordinance.

Again, the region has much to be proud of with the work accomplished to date on the Climate Smart Strategy. With continued effort to reflect the comments above, we will be ready to move into the implementation phase and refinement of our longer-term actions.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

CITY OF HILLSBORO

[Signature]

Jerry W. Willey
Mayor
October 30, 2014

Hon. Tom Hughes, President
And Metro Councilors
600 NE Grand Avenue
Portland, OR 97232

Re: Climate Smart Scenarios – Preferred Approach

Dear President Hughes and Metro Councilors:

As noted by Mayor Jerry Willey in his October 24, 2014 letter, the region has achieved a monumental milestone in reaching consensus on a preferred approach to meet the state goals for reduction greenhouse gas emissions from light-duty vehicles. The agreement on the approach is testament to the region’s commitment towards improving the quality of the environment for generations to come. While we may take a moment to celebrate this accomplishment, the larger tasks are still ahead of us: gaining understanding and agreement of how we will go about implementing the preferred approach and the actual tasks of implementation. In order to get to implementation, we need to be as thoughtful in developing the implementation tools and documentation as we were in analyzing and selecting a preferred approach.

With the consideration of implementation in mind, we offer the following suggestions, in addition to Mayor Willey’s testimony, which is attached:

**Goals, Targets and Timing.**

It is important to keep in mind some key statutory/rule goals, targets and their timing:

1. **“By 2050, achieve greenhouse gas levels that are at least 75 percent below 1990 levels.” ORS 468A.205(1)(c)**
2. **By 2035, reduce greenhouse gas emissions from light vehicle travel by 52 percent by 2035 (OAR 660-044-0010(2)(a)).**
3. **February 1, 2014 – the Land Conservation and Development Commission and Department of Transportation report to the House and Senate interim committees related to transportation on progress toward implementing the land use and transportation scenarios required under House Bill 4078 (2009).** (Oregon Laws 2009, chapter 865, section 38(3)).
4. **December 31, 2014 – Metro to “...amend the regional framework plan and the regional growth concept to select and incorporate a preferred land use and transportation scenario that meets [the 2035] targets.” (OAR 660-044-0040(1).)**

**Commitment to Adopted Plans.**

The importance of our commitment to our adopted plans must be paramount to our implementation efforts under the Climate Smart Scenarios project. The implementing rules for the Climate Smart Scenarios project provide that the purpose of scenario planning is intended:

...to be a means for local governments in metropolitan areas to explore ways that urban development patterns and transportation systems would need to be changed to

---

1 The requirements for the preferred land use and transportation scenario are set forth in OAR 660-0040(3), which is attached to this letter.
achieve significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions from light vehicle travel. OAR 660-004-0000(4).

The result of the scenario planning is to provide:

...information on the extent of changes to land use patterns and transportation systems in metropolitan areas needed to significantly reduce greenhouse gas emissions from light vehicle travel in metropolitan areas, including information about the benefits and costs of achieving those reductions. (OAR 660-004-0000(5)).

This information is then to be used to “inform local governments as they update their comprehensive plans, and to inform the legislature, state agencies and the public as the state develops and implements an overall strategy to meet state goals to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.” (Id.)

As the scenario testing has shown, implementation of our adopted plans not only achieves the state greenhouse gas reduction goals for the region, they exceed the target reductions, reflecting the commitment of all the Metro jurisdictions to solving this issue. Thus, while we do need to be aspirational in our planning, we must heed the remainder of the above OAR:

Scenario planning is a means to address benefits and costs of different actions to accomplish reductions in ways that allow communities to as how to meet other important needs, including accommodating economic development and housing needs, expanding transportation options and reducing transportation costs. (Id.)

Technology.

Throughout the process, Hillsboro has consistently advised that we need to remain open to how technological advances may further efforts in meeting the state goals in ways we cannot foresee. This sentiment is echoed in the implementing statewide rules:

Pursuant to OAR 660-044-0035, the commission shall review the targets by June 1, 2015, based on the results of scenario planning, and updated information about expected changes in vehicle technologies and fuels, state policies and other factors. (OAR 660-044-0000(6)).

Clearly, it is contemplated that we will revisit our progress and need not come up with all answers today. This is an important fact to keep in mind in the following discussion regarding the proposed implementation Toolbox.

Our adopted plans reflect the balance of needs of the individual jurisdictions. As these plans have been subject to extensive public outreach, they must be honored.

The Toolbox.

Local autonomy in choosing implementation methods. OAR 660-044 states in several places that the preferred strategy should allow implementation in a manner that “maximizes attainment of other community goals and benefits.” (OAR 660-044-0040(5)(b); see also 660-044-0000(4), “scenario planning is a means to address benefits and costs of different actions to accomplish reductions in ways that allow communities to assess how to meet other important needs.” Emphasis added.)

While draft Ordinance No. 14-1346 clearly articulates the ability to “locally tailor” implementation tools, the amendments to the Framework Plan and the Toolbox need to contain identical language.

More time and collaboration needed in refining the Toolbox. The draft Toolbox is a starting point for providing more detail on the required “policies and strategies intended to achieve the target reductions in greenhouse gas emissions” (OAR 660-0040(3)(c)), which are outline in both the proposed

---

2 OAR 660-044-0035(1) requires a review of the greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets every four (4) years starting June 1, 2015.
Framework Plan amendments and the Draft Climate Smart Strategy. As the Toolbox is not one of the required components necessary for adoption of the preferred strategy, we recommend that Metro convene a working group to refine the Toolbox over the next few months.

Our general concerns with the Toolbox are:

- **Undefined terms** throughout, such as “Vision Zero strategy” (in the Making biking and walking safe and convenient strategy) and “EcoRule” (in the policy regarding the provision of information and incentives to expand the use of travel options). Without definition or additional context, it is impossible to evaluate the monetary implications of such strategies. Moreover, such tools are likely to be underutilized if there is no understanding on what they are, potentially creating a lost opportunity for the region.

- **Too broad a spectrum of policies.** Climate smart cannot be the cure-all for any perceived shortcomings in our land use regulatory system. For example, we were surprised to see removing the ban on inclusionary zoning as a strategy.\(^3\) Similarly, there needs to be more of a connection of Brownfield redevelopment with achieving the greenhouse gas reduction target.

- **Need for additional emphasis on development patterns in new urban growth areas.** While there should be emphasis on development in existing centers and corridors, new expansion areas, such as South Hillsboro, South Cooper Mountain and River Terrace, offer opportunities to further the region’s efforts towards achieving the greenhouse gas targets. These new areas can be developed to accommodate alternative modes of transportation, such as walking, biking and transit, from the outset, versus expensive retrofitting. As these expansion areas are being planned as complete communities, they will offer the opportunity for new residents to reduce or eliminate vehicular trips for every day needs such as shopping, dining, education and recreation. Another area that will bring benefit to the region is the ability to place more emphasis on using best practices to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the built environment (i.e., green building practices).\(^4\)

- **Overbroad statements on local funding for transit and road maintenance.** In several locations, Metro is tasked with considering local funding. More description is needed on how Metro will be involved in local funding - Will Metro be assisting local jurisdictions in securing funding? What is the source of such funding? What impact will there be to existing funding mechanisms? We would also like to see further discussion about the role and function of the proposed funding coalition.

- **Managed Parking.** There needs to be consistency that managed parking is an option only in areas served by frequent transit and active transportation connections.

- **Analysis and discussion is necessary on how the Metro draft Toolbox compares to the state toolbox** (www.oregon.gov/ODOT/TD/TP/Pages/ghgtoolkit_categories.aspx#cat2)

Given that the Toolbox will guide implementation over the next 20 years, we should take great care in getting this right and getting a better regional understanding of the tools and their implications.

**More information needed to determine compliance with OAR 660-044-0040.**

More information and analysis is necessary to determine compliance with the following to provisions of OAR 660-044-0040:

- **Funding.** OAR 660-044-0040(2)(i) requires that "If the preferred scenario relies on new investments or funding sources to achieve the target [Metro shall] evaluate the feasibility of the"

\(^3\) Under the policy for implementing the 2040 Growth Concept and local adopted land use and transportation plans, the strategy for supporting the restoration of “local control of housing policies and programs…”

\(^4\) While buildings and the built environment are not part of the Climate Smart Strategies, greenfield development provides an opportunity to help reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Such efforts are consistent with the State Ten-Year Energy Action Plan, Goal 1 (Maximize energy efficiency and conservation to meet 100 percent of new electric load Growth).
investments or funding sources.” With a total price tag of $24 billion and an annual cost of $1.425 billion ($945 million plus $480 million to maintain and operate our road system), more detail is needed to satisfy the requirements of the OAR.\(^5\)

- Effects of alternative scenarios on development and travel patterns in the surrounding area. Metro is required to evaluate “whether proposed policies will cause change in development or increased light vehicle travel between metropolitan area and surrounding communities compared to reference case.” (OAR 660-044-0040(2)(i)(D)).

If these items are to be addressed in the findings, we ask that the findings be made available for discussion by the Metro Technical Advisory Committee in early November.

**Ordinance**

We have raised several concerns with the draft ordinance with Metro staff and look forward to working with staff and the Metro Technical Advisory Committee prior to the December hearing.

In summary, we recommend that Metro, prior to adopting the preferred scenario, direct staff to take the following actions:

- Work through the various committees to refine the short list of actions to be undertaken in the next year (Mayor Willey’s letter dated October 24, 2014).
- Work with the various committees to refine the Toolbox, which would be adopted by resolution in 2015 (Mayor Willey’s and this letter).
- Include language in the Framework Plan amendments and the Toolbox identical to the draft Ordinance and consistent with OAR 660-044 that local jurisdictions have the ability to “locally tailor” implementation tools.
- Provide information on OAR 660-044-0040(2)(i) in timely manner so that jurisdictional partners can review and comment.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Colin Cooper, AICP
Planning Director

\(^5\) At the October 22, 2014 Metro Policy Advisory Committee meeting, it was indicated that identifying other funding would be difficult over the next two months. However, per the OAR, funding sources need to be identified and evaluated for feasibility.
OAR 660-044-0040

Cooperative Selection of a Preferred Scenario; Initial Adoption

(1) Metro shall by December 31, 2014, amend the regional framework plan and the regional growth concept to select and incorporate a preferred land use and transportation scenario that meets targets in OAR 660-044-0020 consistent with the requirements of this division.

* * *

(3) The preferred land use and transportation scenario shall include:

(a) A description of the land use and transportation growth concept providing for land use design types;

(b) A concept map showing the land use design types;

(c) Policies and strategies intended to achieve the target reductions in greenhouse gas emissions in OAR 660-044-0020;

(d) Planning assumptions upon which the preferred scenario relies including:

(A) Assumptions about state and federal policies and programs;

(B) Assumptions about vehicle technology, fleet or fuels, if those are different than those provided in OAR 660-044-0010;

(C) Assumptions or estimates of expected housing and employment growth by jurisdiction and land use design type; and

(D) Assumptions about proposed regional programs or actions other than those that set requirements for city and county comprehensive plans and land use regulations, such as investments and incentives;

(e) Performance measures and targets to monitor and guide implementation of the preferred scenario. Performance measures and targets shall be related to key elements, actions and expected outcomes from the preferred scenario. The performance measures shall include performance measures adopted to meet requirements of OAR 660-012-0035(5); and

(f) Recommendations for state or federal policies or actions to support the preferred scenario.

(4) When amending the regional framework plan, Metro shall adopt findings demonstrating that implementation of the preferred land use and transportation scenario meets the requirements of this division and can reasonably be expected to achieve the greenhouse gas emission reductions as set forth in the target in OAR 660-044-0020. Metro’s findings shall:

(a) Demonstrate Metro’s process for cooperative selection of a preferred alternative meets the requirements in subsections (2)(a)-(j);

(b) Explain how the expected pattern of land use development in combination with land use and transportation policies, programs, actions set forth in the preferred scenario will result in levels of greenhouse gas emissions from light vehicle travel that achieve the target in OAR 660-044-0020;
(c) Explain how the framework plan amendments are consistent with and adequate to carry out the preferred scenario, and are consistent with other provisions of the Regional Framework Plan; and,

(d) Explain how the preferred scenario is or will be made consistent with other applicable statewide planning goals or rules.

(5) Guidance on evaluation criteria and performance measures.

(a) The purpose of evaluation criteria referred to in subsection (2)(h) is to encourage Metro to select a preferred scenario that achieves greenhouse gas emissions reductions in a way that maximizes attainment of other community goals and benefits. This rule does not require the use of specific evaluation criteria. The following are examples of categories of evaluation criteria that Metro might use:

(A) Public health;

(B) Air quality;

(C) Household spending on energy or transportation;

(D) Implementation costs;

(E) Economic development;

(F) Access to parks and open space; and,

(G) Equity

(b) The purpose of performance measures and targets referred to in subsection (3)(e) is to enable Metro and area local governments to monitor and assess whether key elements or actions that make up the preferred scenario are being implemented, and whether the preferred scenario is achieving the expected outcomes. This rule does not establish or require use of particular performance measures or targets. The following are examples of types of performance measures that Metro might establish:

(A) Transit service revenue hours;

(B) Mode share;

(C) People per acre by 2040 Growth Concept design type;

(D) Percent of workforce participating in employee commute options programs; and

(E) Percent of households and jobs within one-quarter mile of transit.

Stat. Auth.: ORS 197.040 & 2009 OL Ch. 865 §37(8) (HB 2001)
Stats. Implemented: 2009 OL Ch. 865 §37(8) (HB 2001)
Hist.: LCDD 10-2012, f. 12-4-12, cert. ef. 1-1-13
October 27, 2014

Tom Hughes, President
Metro Council
600 NE Grand
Portland, OR 97232

Dear President Hughes and Councilors,

I am writing on behalf of the Urban Greenspaces Institute to comment on Metro’s Climate Smart Communities project. I’ve read the documents and, while I we are pleased with actions intended to reduce greenhouse emissions from vehicles, as mandated by the state, we are disappointed at the project’s narrow focus. There is nothing in the documents regarding carbon sequestration nor is there even a reference Climate Adaptation. With regard the latter, serious negative human health and ecological impacts due to Climate Change.

The City of Portland and Multnomah County have recently adopted a Climate Preparation Strategy and will adopt an updated Climate Action Plan this winter that will incorporate the Preparation (Adaptation) strategies as well. I am writing to urge you to expand your Climate Change agenda to incorporate both the updated Climate Action Plan and Climate Preparation Strategy.

Portland City Council recently accepted the Climate Preparation Strategy two weeks ago, including the city’s Planning and Sustainability Commission’s recommendation that the city work with Metro to ensure that the Climate Preparation Strategy and updated Climate Action Plan are implemented regionally. I have attached a copy of the conveyance letter from the Planning and Sustainability Commission. Climate Change is an issue of regional significance. The city and county working alone will not be sufficient to respond to this regionally important issue.

Metro is, of course, already doing much to address Climate Change, through the Climate Smart Communities effort and other programs in its portfolio. However, there is an urgent need to evaluate both Climate Smart Communities and other programs to identify gaps, particularly with regard to Climate Adaptation or Preparation, that need to be addressed at the regional scale.

Respectfully,

[Signature]

Mike Houck, Director
As a follow up to UGI comments on Climate Smart Communities I am attaching two letters from the City of Portland Planning and Sustainability Commission letters to Portland City Council. The first is a June 6, 2014 letter regarding the PSC's response to Climate Smart Communities. The second is a September 9th, 2014 letter of conveyance of the City/County Climate Preparation Strategy which was accepted by City Council on October 8th.

Mike Houck
--

Mike Houck, Director
Urban Greenspaces Institute
PO Box 6903
Portland, OR 97228-6903
503.319.7155
mikehouck@urbangreenspaces.org
www.urbangreenspaces.org

Endless Pressure, Endlessly Applied

In Livable Cities is Preservation of the Wild
May 27, 2014

Mayor Charlie Hales
Commissioner Steve Novick

Dear Mayor and Commissioner,

At our May 13, 2014 meeting, Metro Councilor Bob Stacey provided a briefing to the Planning and Sustainability Commission (PSC) about Metro’s Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project (CSC). We understand the CSC goals are to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from cars and light trucks to less than half of the levels of 2005. There are expectations for Metro and other regions from the State to allow people to make shorter driving trips and more active transportation via changes in community design.

In preparation for the May 30 joint MPAC/JPACT meeting, the PSC offers our support for options that would prioritize fully building out the region’s active transportation infrastructure. While transit investments are critical, active transportation investments are likely to provide greater rates of return in mobility for the relatively modest funds invested and will also generate significant health co-benefits.

The Commission also believes CSC would be greatly strengthened by incorporating a direct nexus with climate adaptation strategies to complement greenhouse gas reduction strategies. Regardless of our success in reducing greenhouse gases in our region, significant negative human health and ecological impacts are likely to occur in our region due to climate change.

Using green infrastructure to address climate change, such as planting trees and interconnected bioswales along transportation corridors, would simultaneously promote active transportation, provide much needed bike and pedestrian safety, sequester carbon dioxide, reduce urban heat island effects, and improve air quality. These co-benefits are not considered in Metro’s scenarios because CSC focuses exclusively on CO2 reduction. Including climate adaptation expands the range of transportation alternatives and designs that can and should be considered. Regional policies must, in our opinion, consider these multiple benefits in any climate related program.

Thank you for representing the best interests of our entire community in shaping the preferred approach for Climate Smart Communities.

Sincerely,

Andre’ Baugh
Chair

Cc: Metro Councilor Bob Stacey
September 19, 2014

Portland City Council
Portland City Hall
1211 SW 4th Avenue
Portland, OR 97204

Dear Mayor Hales and City Council Members:

On August 26, 2014, the Planning and Sustainability Commission (PSC) voted unanimously to recommend City Council’s adoption of the joint City & Multnomah County Climate Change Preparation Strategy, and the associated Climate Change Preparation Risk and Vulnerabilities Assessment.

Staff has briefed and updated the PSC throughout the development process. Staff has shared content updates, an overview of public comments received on the draft and how that feedback was incorporated into the final documents.

PSC members commend staff for creating a well-researched and strategic Climate Change Preparation Strategy. PSC members specifically appreciate the Climate Change Preparation Strategy’s alignment with the Portland Plan framework for equity. The preparation strategy considers the impacts and unintended consequences that under-served and under-represented Portlanders may experience as a result of climate change. The Climate Change Preparation Strategy also prioritizes preparation actions in communities most likely to be vulnerable to climate change impacts such as the urban heat island effect.

Although it is important to adequately prepare for the impacts of climate change, continuing to reduce carbon emissions is also a key direction. As such, the City’s existing Climate Action Plan and this new Climate Change Preparation Strategy are fundamentally linked. The PSC is pleased to see that key findings and actions from the Climate Change Preparation Strategy will be integrated into the City and County’s updated Climate Action Plan that is expected later this winter.

The PSC applauds the City and County’s work to conduct risk and vulnerability assessments for key sectors, including infrastructure and the built environment, natural systems, and health and human services. This plan is an excellent example of cross-bureau and cross-jurisdiction collaboration, and we ask that the City work with surrounding jurisdictions, particularly with Metro, as responding to climate change is clearly an issue of regional import.

Thank you for the opportunity to participate in the review of this strategy.

Sincerely,

Andre Baugh
Chair, Portland Planning and Sustainability Commission
October 28, 2014

Sent via email to climatescenarios@oregonmetro.gov

Metro Planning
600 NE Grand Ave.
Portland, OR 97232

Attention: Climate Smart Strategy

I am pleased to submit these remarks on the Draft Climate Smart Strategy on behalf of Drive Oregon, a nonprofit organization working to accelerate the growth of Oregon’s electric vehicle industry and promote the electrification of our transportation system.

General Comments

We applaud Metro for its excellent work to reduce the greenhouse gas emissions of our regional transportation system. The Draft Climate Smart Strategy rightly recognizes that this will require a comprehensive approach that includes promoting walking, bicycling, transit, and other options, as well as complete and well-planned communities that reduce the need for travel altogether.

However, we believe the strategy does not adequately recognize the important role that cleaner, more efficient fuels and vehicles must also play in this strategy. In fact, the Oregon Global Warming Commission Roadmap to 2020 report projects that the state will need 90% of all vehicle miles travelled to be electric by 2050 and 10% of the fleet to be electric by 2020. (See http://wvw.keeporegoncool.org)

We understand that the strategy includes a number of assumptions about the expansion of cleaner fuels and more fuel-efficient vehicles. However, those developments are far from certain, and Metro and its partners have important roles to play in achieving these targets.

While the draft Toolbox of Possible Actions contains some good ideas, we believe these can be strengthened. We also believe that the Climate Smart Strategy itself should address the role of vehicle and fuel technology more directly. This could be done in a new stand-alone section, but the strategy could also address vehicle and fuel issues within each section as outlined below. A number of suggestions for the Toolbox are also included below, and could be adapted to fit the roles of state, Metro, city/county, and special district stakeholders.

Make transit convenient, frequent, accessible, and affordable

It is worth noting that electric buses and transit vehicles are increasingly available and affordable. In addition to lowering greenhouse gas emission, electrified transit produces no unhealthy smog-generating pollution. While they typically have higher up-front costs, they yield substantial savings in fuel, operating, and maintenance costs.
Suggestions for the Toolbox relevant to this section include:

- Support transit partners in seeking federal grant funds for electric buses
- Seek increased state funding for electric buses
- Increase funding flexibility to allow for greater upfront capital spending on electric buses if those expenses are offset by operating savings

Make biking and walking safe and convenient

Electric-assist bicycles (e-bikes) have gained wide popularity in Asia, and are increasing popular in Europe as well. In fact, in some European countries e-bikes now account for 40% of new bicycle sales. These bikes may be an important tool for encouraging greater bicycling, and several pilot projects are underway to better understand and promote their use. This section of the strategy should explicitly include and encourage the use of e-bikes as part of a broader overall bicycle promotion strategy.

Suggestions for the Toolbox relevant to this section include:

- Simplify and clarify policy on e-bike use of bike lanes and other infrastructure
- Clarify that e-bikes are part of the region’s active transportation strategy
- Fund pilot project to test the efficacy of e-bikes in attracting new riders

Use technology to actively manage the transportation system

ITS has the potential to dramatically improve transportation system efficiency and reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and we strongly support its inclusion as a key element in the draft strategy. It is worth noting that electric vehicles – which tend to have built-in telematics and more advanced computer software – make ideal “test beds” for this technology. While many early ITS projects have focused on using technology to increase road capacity, we believe the Portland metropolitan area is well positioned to test applications of ITS and connected vehicle technology that make the region smarter, safer, and more sustainable.

Suggestions for the Toolbox relevant to this section include:

- Pursue opportunities and funding for pilot projects that help establish the Metro region as a living laboratory for sustainable and multi-modal ITS
- Seek opportunities to leverage Oregon’s road user fee pilot project to provide additional services to participating drivers
- Develop a pilot project to test wireless charging of electric vehicles, ideally encompassing both transit vehicles and passenger cars

Provide information and incentives to expand the use of travel options

Unless Metro chooses to add a high level strategy focused on vehicle and fuel efficiency, this would be the most logical section in which to incorporate a number of recommendations in this area. Overall, we would suggest that Metro integrate the promotion of efficient vehicles and fuel choices
into the promotion of other travel options. Just as the 'reduce-reuse-recycle' hierarchy has become well understood in solid waste, the transportation message of ‘if you must drive, please drive electric’ can help complement discussions of walking, biking, transit, and carpooling.

Suggestions for the Toolbox relevant to this section include:

• Clarify that e-bikes are part of the regional toolkit of travel options
• Encourage regional car sharing services to increase their use of electric vehicles and other clean alternatives
• Integrate promotion of workplace charging into employer-based outreach programs that encourage use of other alternatives such as transit, cycling, and carpooling.
• Integrate education about vehicle and fuel efficiency into public awareness strategies such as eco-driving promotion

Manage parking to make efficient use of land and parking spaces

One of the key roles for Metro and local governments in the region is to ensure that electric vehicles – like pedestrians and bicycles - have adequate infrastructure. In the case of electric vehicles, this means that charging facilities should be widely available and highly visible to potential electric vehicle buyers. While most charging occurs at home, it is also important to have easily accessible “fast chargers” (also called DCFC or level 3 chargers) available for longer trips. Highly visible charging in public areas can also make potential EV buyers more confident in their purchase, just as highly visible bike racks on the street encourage more cycling.

Workplace charging is also very important, as it supports those with longer commutes and drivers who do not have private garages. Furthermore, just as people who see colleagues biking to work or participating in the “bike commute challenge” feel more confident trying it themselves, workplace charging also promotes more purchase and use of electric vehicles. For these reasons, the US Department of Energy has launched a Workplace Charging Challenge, and Drive Oregon is an Ambassador promoting this program. Many major employers in Oregon have already joined, from Intel and Mentor Graphics to the State of Oregon and the cities of Hillsboro and Beaverton.

Suggestions for the Toolbox relevant to this section include:

• Metro should join the Workplace Charging Challenge as a Partner
• Metro should encourage other local governments in the region to join the Workplace Charging Challenge
• Develop and support pilot projects and model planning approaches to encourage highly visible charging infrastructure in the public right of way and on the street
• Develop and support “charging oases” with multiple chargers, modeled on the Electric Avenue project at Portland State University
• Support efforts to future-proof new development projects, particularly multifamily housing and large parking lots, by installing conduit for future charging of at least 20% of parking spaces, similar to standards in Hawaii, California, and elsewhere
• Convene regional transportation and planning officials to develop strategies for developing cost-effective charging infrastructure that also reinforces regional planning goals
Specific Comments on the Electric Vehicle Toolbox

While the draft strategy does not have a section dedicated to fuel and vehicle efficiency, we are pleased to see that the Toolbox does have such a section. We particularly appreciate this section’s recognition and support of Oregon’s Zero Emission Vehicle Program. Some of the suggestions we have provided elsewhere could be incorporated into this section of the toolbox, and we have some additional specific suggestions:

- Increase Metro fleet use of electric vehicles, including non-passenger cars (e-bikes, utility vehicles, etc.)
- Expand availability of charging at Metro venues (Zoo, Expo Center, Convention Center, Portland’s etc.)
- Support renewal of Oregon’s tax credits for charging stations and other alternative fueling infrastructure
- Support legislation being promoted by Drive Oregon and the Energize Oregon coalition to create a purchase rebate for electric vehicles
- Join Drive Oregon and the Energize Oregon Coalition as a member organization and participate as an active partner in promoting electric vehicle readiness and deployment

Thank you again for the opportunity to submit these comments. Please let me know if we can provide any additional information.

Best regards,

Jeff Allen
Executive Director
Drive Oregon
1600 SW 4th Avenue, Suite 620
Portland, OR 97201
www.driveoregon.org

Mobile (503) 724-8670
October 28, 2014

Metro President Tom Hughes
Metro Council
600 NE Grand Avenue
Portland, OR 97232

Re: Draft Climate Smart Strategy

Dear President Hughes and Council Members:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Climate Smart Strategy. I am the Pacific Northwest Regional Policy Manager for the Safe Routes to School National Partnership (National Partnership), and I applaud and support the work and outcome of the Climate Smart Communities project to date. The importance of Climate Smart planning crosses over from greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions to include positive impacts on transportation, land use, equity, health, economy, and the environment. How the Metro region chooses to plan for and implement strategies addressing GHG reduction will profoundly shape our region for decades, truly for centuries — and if we do it right, will have immense positive beneficial impacts on the everyday lives of children, residents, and businesses.

The National Partnership is pleased to see that Metro’s approach relies on and affirms policies and investments already identified as important for the region’s future; however, it is essential to understand that simply by implementing existing plans, we will not achieve our GHG emission reduction targets. What will be required is for Metro to demonstrate strong leadership on this issue, that will allow and support the region to achieve multiple regional goals through a cooperative, collaborative approach to our region’s future.

The GHG target will achieve many other regional benefits by creating walkable, bikeable, mixed use communities that serve people of all ages and abilities. This will require greatly increased investment in transit, pedestrian infrastructure, and bike facilities. Achieving the multiple benefits possible through GHG reduction requires leadership, coordination, and prioritization of investments by Metro, TriMet, and every jurisdiction in the region, as well as adoption of policies beyond transportation that will support equity, health, affordable housing, access to schools and transit, and ensure our economy is strong — well beyond the next funding cycle. It will require leadership on policy changes that integrate all modal transportation investments, housing and land-use developments, parking strategies, and a focus on serving destinations through a well-supported mix of transportation options. In short, it will require jurisdictions across the region to look hard and seriously about how we must plan our transportation system to be Climate Smart, and it will require coordination and cooperation in order to fund and build it accordingly, starting now.

The National Partnership supports the Toolbox of Actions in its entirety, and recommend its adoption together with the Climate Smart Strategy. These are essential steps for the region’s success. In particular, we support and recommend some stronger actions on the following specific tools. Furthermore, we recommend Metro brings forward and stands behind 5-10 actions that local, regional and state partners sign on to in the first year for achievable, early wins.
Implement the 2040 Growth Concept

- Metro should specifically call out here its commitment to use the 2018 RTP revision as a tool to implement the 2040 Growth Concept's Climate Smart Strategies. For example, through the 2018 RTP, Metro should prioritize active transportation projects and investments, especially in designated centers and corridors and transit-dependent communities.
- Too often, transportation decisions are made without taking into account land-use, and, especially in the case of school siting, transportation impacts and costs are frequently not considered in the process. Metro should offer clear guidance to cities and counties on location of new schools, services, shopping, and other health-promoting resources and community destinations close to neighborhoods.

Make transit more convenient, frequent, accessible, and affordable

- Commit regional flexible transportation funds for access to transit.
- Fund reduced fare programs and service improvements for transit-dependent communities such as youth, older adults, people with disabilities, and low-income families.
- Expand and sustain the Youth Pass program, including expanding routes and frequency along school corridors.

Provide information and incentives to expand the use of travel options

- Commit a larger portion of funds to expand travel options that will include grade-school populations and school staff through education and encouragement programs such as Safe Routes to School.
- Link completion of transportation- and parking-demand management initiatives to scoring criteria for infrastructure funding opportunities such as regional flexible funds, ConnectOregon, and Oregon Statewide Transportation Improvement Program.

Make biking and walking safe and convenient

- Complete a region-wide active transportation needs assessment, including needs around schools and access to transit.
- Commit a larger portion of regional flexible funds to active transportation, and expand funding available for active transportation and transit investments.
- Adopt a Vision Zero strategy — and ensure targets contained within the Performance Monitoring Approach match this strategy.
- Build a diverse coalition working together to build and monitor local and state commitment to implement and fund the Regional Active Transportation Plan, including Safe Routes to Schools and Safe Routes to Transit.

Funding

- Metro should specifically call out the 2018 RTP revision as a tool to implement the transit and active transportation actions in the Climate Smart Strategy.
- Metro should use the Climate Smart Strategy as a filter for evaluating individual transportation projects and GHG reduction benefit when providing funding for projects within the region; Metro should advocate that other partners, such as the Oregon DOT or TriMet, have similarly stringent requirements for GHG reductions for projects funded within the Metro region.
- At all levels, Metro should utilize its leadership and role as the region’s Metropolitan Planning Organization to support and seek opportunities to advocate for new, dedicated funding mechanisms for active transportation and transit, and leverage local, regional, state and federal funding to achieve local visions that align with the region’s desired outcomes.
Performance Monitoring Approach
The performance monitoring approach is in need of completion, with many metrics not yet finalized. The National Partnership recommends the following as this approach is completed:

- Metro must ensure targets contained within the Performance Monitoring Approach match the toolbox’s strategy and are well coordinated. For example, adopting a Vision Zero strategy should have a related 2035 target of zero fatalities; measurement of pedestrian and bicycle injuries and fatalities should be linked with motor vehicle injuries and fatalities; etc.
- Measurement of transportation investments should include specific near-term and longer-term targets, and in some cases, measure both system completeness and number of miles. Examples could include: 75% of regional pedestrian network complete by 2020; 80% of schools region-wide participate in Safe Routes to School programs and have safe walking and bicycling infrastructure within a mile around schools by 2025; 100% of base year (2010) transit stops are fully accessible by 2035; etc.
- Coordination of immediate and near-term actions from the toolbox should include specific benchmark dates for evaluating progress.
- Metro leadership should make a commitment to take appropriate steps to incentivize, reward, or penalize success and failure of local, regional, and state partners in achieving the adopted Climate Smart Communities Strategy and target GHG reductions.
- While many of the performance measures will ensure positive equity outcomes for the region, the performance monitoring should explicitly include measurement of data that benefits equity outcomes. For example, share of low-income households within 1/4-mile frequent bus service and 1/2-mile of high capacity transit.

Thank you for recognizing the elemental role of investment in safe walking, bicycling, and transit to creating a region that will be Climate Smart, healthy, livable, and economically and environmentally sound. Your leadership on Climate Smart Communities will ensure a coordinated and cooperative outcome with the regional partners who will be needed to help to prioritize and fund the recommended approach. This, in turn, will allow each jurisdiction to implement existing plans and provide clear guidance for near-term and future policies, plans, and investments that will provide multiple benefits for this region and the many lifetimes ahead.

We strongly support the vision and outcomes of the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios project and will be strong proponents to help propel its implementation. We welcome the adoption of these strategies and complementary Toolbox of Actions, and we look forward to working with Metro and regional partners to ensure these strategies are supported to be quickly funded and implemented so that everyone in our region can be guaranteed a Climate Smart future that reaches GHG reduction targets while creating a region that is healthy, equitable, active, well-connected, and economically and environmentally secure.

The National Partnership urges you to recognize the importance, inherent in this Climate Smart work, of supporting our region’s children — who will be the ones who benefit, or suffer, from the decisions you make today. We thank you for your forward-thinking analysis and recommendations, and for the opportunity to comment on this important work for our region.

Yours sincerely,

Kari Schlosshauer
Pacific Northwest Regional Policy Manager
Safe Routes to School National Partnership
Portland, Oregon
October 29, 2014

Dear President Hughes and Members of the Metro Council, MPAC, and JPACT:

The Oregon Chapter of the American Planning Association represents more than 800 professional and citizen planners in the state of Oregon.

We commend the attention you are giving the Climate Smart Scenarios initiative. Through listening, leadership, innovation, and investment, we know that we can make a difference on greenhouse gas reductions from the land use and transportation sectors in Oregon. We acknowledge that progress on the proposed climate smart strategies can also contribute to other goals shared by Metro and the state including environmental protection, community resilience to natural hazards, social equity, and economic development. We applaud your efforts to identify Climate Smart implementation measures that achieve multiple community objectives. It is possible to affirm that our communities, ecosystems and future generations are worth the considerations and necessary investments you are weighing. Course correction is both possible and responsible.

The changes you are considering to the Regional Framework Plan are commendable. OAPA agrees that for this effort to yield desired results, we must:

- Provide resources to track, respond and invest accordingly in strategies to implement the preferred scenario.
- Support implementation of locally adopted plans aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions.
- Increase support for transit and associated transit oriented developments.
- Invest in transition to cleaner fuels.
- Implement a price on carbon pollution to fuel a cleaner Oregon economy.
- Commit that we can grow cleaner and better.
- Require, rather than encourage, climate responsive actions in Policy 11.3 of the draft Regional Framework Plan amendments.

OAPA members stand ready to help implement the Climate Smart Communities Scenario. We urge you to adopt the Scenario and allow our communities to advance to the work of implementing strategies to reach our desired future conditions.

Please contact us about taking our next steps, together.

Sincerely,

Jason Franklin, AICP, President
American Planning Association, Oregon Chapter
October 30, 2014

Tom Hughes, President
Metro Council
600 NE Grand
Portland, OR 97232

Dear President Hughes and Councilors,

I am writing on behalf of myself and my two young children to comment on Metro’s Climate Smart Communities project. I’ve read the documents and, while I applaud Metro’s efforts to identify and fund actions intended to reduce greenhouse emissions from vehicles, as mandated by the state, I am disappointed at the project’s narrow focus. There is nothing in the documents regarding Climate Adaptation. Humanity must quickly act on climate mitigation, but I believe that Metro has a bigger, more relevant, role to play as a facilitator of climate adaptation.

Over the years, Metro has always done a good job at addressing issues of livability at and within the urban-rural interface, recognizing its role as a regional player in between the national and local scale. However, this time I couldn't find a reference to Metro's role in the greenhouse gas emissions problem relative to state and national emissions targets. Without this context, the reader doesn’t see the ‘big picture’ of our emissions problem, and that Oregon and Metro hold sway over a relatively small piece of the puzzle. Without this contextual information, Metro risks losing the support of its electorate who may not see the response as commensurate with Metro's level of impact on the problem. There are reasons for Metro to do what it can to reduce vehicle emissions. Demonstration of what can be done here is essential to sparking the imagination, courage, and can-do attitude of planners worldwide. However, the truth is that leaders of the world's largest countries and other people involved with the decision making leading up to the United Nations December 2015 Meeting in Paris are the people who will make the meaningful decisions about what our automobile and energy use emissions will be. As a taxpayer in the metropolitan area with serious concern about my childrens’ future vis-a-vis climate change impacts, I can not support a Climate Smart Communities effort that addresses only the mitigation piece. It appears naive of the global context of the problem and ignores the arena where Metro has the biggest responsibility and opportunity to make a difference for future generations who will be living here - by working on adaptation to climate change.

Because I am concerned about my childrens’ ability to manage their household, live and work in a metro area experiencing additional stresses related to certain climate change impacts, I was at the hearing with my four-year old daughter two weeks ago where Portland City Council recently accepted the Climate Preparation Strategy, along with an updated Climate Action Plan. Today I could not attend your hearing so I am writing to urge you to do three important things:
• Realize we are facing a huge and multi-decade lag effect that we have to deal with in regards to climate change and the best place to do this preparation and adaptation work is at the local level.
• Acknowledge that Metro, as regional coordinator for natural resources and land use policies, is positioned better than any other local agency to take the lead and become a player preparing our communities for climate change.
• Specifically, expand your climate change agenda to find the time and resources to identify and implement preparation actions. The Preparation Strategy approaches detailed in Portland’s document are a good place to start. It will not necessarily require additional program or resources. It will, however, take prioritization and moving certain projects and programs up in the schedule. I request that you identify actions and then set up systems to prioritize these actions for funding.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Daniela Brod
Volunteer with Citizens’ Climate Lobby and SW Portland Mom
October 30, 2014

Metro Council
600 NE Grand Avenue
Portland, OR 97232

Re: Comments on Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project

Dear President Hughes and Metro Council Members:

The Coalition for a Livable Future is pleased to support the Climate Smart Communities project. Climate change is one of the defining issues of our time, and our response to it will affect both local communities and the planet far into the future. We look forward to working with Metro to implement climate strategies that also support equitable development, public health, and widely shared economic prosperity.

Several years in the making, the Climate Smart Communities plan not only integrates land use and transportation to meet greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from light vehicles, but focuses on strategies that meet the aspirations of cities and counties around the region and all of Metro’s six desired outcomes. We served on the Technical Work Group, and found the analysis to be detailed and incredibly well-thought out.

We appreciate that staff consistently included elements beyond the important work of addressing climate change to also create vibrant communities, improve health, address equity, improve the environment, and support the local economy. Oregon Health Authority’s Health Impact Analysis demonstrated the opportunity for the Climate Smart Communities plan to increase physical activity, reduce air pollution, reduce crashes, and save lives and health care costs.

The addition of The Toolbox of Possible Actions is essential, as the next steps will include the difficult task of coordinating action and finding the resources to implement the plan. The Performance Monitoring is also very important, as it allows the region to evaluate its level of success and consider strategies and priorities in light of what we learn.

Below are several elements we want to highlight, some with recommendations for changes:

**Increased Transit:** We strongly support the plan’s call for significant increases in transit service as well as reduced fares for populations in need. More transit creates climate improvements as well as better job access, cleaner air, and many other health and safety benefits. A major commitment by Metro and local governments to increase transit revenue will be necessary to achieve this goal.

**Increased Walking and Biking:** We strongly support increasing funding for walking and biking, as called for in the Climate Smart Communities plan and the region’s recently adopted
Active Transportation Plan. These investments are key to addressing climate change, as well as creating safe, healthy, vibrant communities.

**Recommended edit:** The Draft Toolbox of Possible Actions currently calls for advocating for increased funding for all transportation modes, prioritizing maintaining and preserving existing infrastructure. However, to reach our climate goals, we need to do more on active transportation than merely maintain current infrastructure. As a result, we recommend that the plan prioritize funding for new transit, walking, and biking infrastructure, and for transit service.

**Recommended edit:** Add Regional Flexible Funds to the Draft Toolbox of Possible Actions as an opportunity to increase funding for active transportation.

**Implementation through the Regional Transportation Plan:** The next Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) is an important vehicle for implementing the Climate Smart Communities plan, and we appreciate that the ordinance reflects this opportunity. The RTP update should include a financially constrained project list that meets the GHG target called for in the Climate Smart Communities plan, and also provides the opportunity to update performance measures, policies, and the Regional Transportation Functional Plan.

**Recommended edit:** Add the upcoming RTP Update to the Draft Toolbox of Possible Actions as an opportunity to implement the Climate Smart Communities plan.

**Affordable Housing:** Creating affordable housing options near frequent transit lines is a significant factor in reducing GHG emissions. It is also an important equity strategy, supporting low income communities’ ability to affordably access housing, transportation, jobs, and other key destinations. This strategy also has additional co-benefits, including reducing auto reliance, improving health, and helping seniors to continue living independently. Metro’s new effort to advance housing choice could be a valuable part of implementing the Climate Smart Communities plan.

**Recommended edit:** In the Toolbox of Possible Actions, include supporting increased funding for affordable housing, particularly along frequent transit lines.

**Recommended edit:** In the Toolbox of Possible Actions, rather than simply recommending the restoration of local control, be explicit in supporting local tools for affordable housing, including the removal of the statewide ban on inclusionary zoning.

**Recommended edit:** In the Draft Performance Monitoring Approach, include an indicator related to housing affordability such as housing cost burden, which incorporates both housing and transportation.

**Implementation of Local Plans:** The Climate Smart Communities plan is significantly dependent on the implementation of adopted plans. However, many local jurisdictions are currently unable to successfully carry out their adopted plans. To do so will require local policy changes to support affordable housing, parking, and mixed-use development, and increased
funding for active transportation as discussed above. Metro will have a role in supporting many of these changes.

**Recommended edit:** Add language indicating that Metro’s transportation and land use policy and investment decisions will be evaluated based on whether they help the region achieve the GHG target.

**Under-Utilized Land:** Surface parking lots and brownfields are inefficient uses of land that make it more difficult to create healthy, vibrant communities where people don’t need to drive to meet daily needs. Changing policies to manage parking, and increasing funding to revitalize brownfields, are important elements of the Climate Smart Communities plan and will support a host of other benefits.

**Climate Adaptation:** By design, the Climate Smart Communities plan did not focus on adaptation to the changing climate and instead focused on mitigation of GHG emissions. As discussed in the comments by Urban Greenspaces Institute, our region’s changing climate will increasingly cause significant health and ecological consequences, and it is important to address climate adaptation at every level of government. We appreciate that the Toolbox of Possible Actions includes green street designs that include tree plantings to sequester carbon emissions, and hope to see an increased focus on adaption in future regional and local efforts.

**Recommended edit:** Find opportunities within the Climate Smart Communities plan to add references on the need to adapt to the changing climate.

**Recommended edit:** Consider additional green streets strategies to include in the Toolbox of Possible Actions.

Thank you for considering these comments, and for thoughtfully developing this important plan.

Sincerely,

Mara Gross
Executive Director
Coalition for a Livable Future
October 30, 2014

Councilor Donna Jordan  
Member of JPACT  
600 NE Grand Avenue  
Portland, OR 97232-2736

Dear Councilor Jordan,

The City of Happy Valley has been one of the fastest emerging cities in Oregon for well over a decade. As a growing municipality, the City acknowledges the need to participate in environmental stewardship through climate reduction policy development. In consideration of this responsibility, it is imperative that the Climate Smart strategy be inclusive of two elements in order to effectively engage local jurisdictions: local flexibility and a commitment to increasing highway capacity.

It is paramount that local jurisdictions retain absolute flexibility in implementing climate reduction strategies. A streamlined policy for emission reduction will not be effective environmentally, economically or otherwise in municipalities that are less dense or not easily serviced by certain modal transportation options. Local flexibility provides jurisdictions with fluidity to invest in innovative solutions, harnessing resources unique to the communities they represent. This fluidity of choice will maximize both economic and environmental efficiency.

Anticipating transportation system changes induced by the Climate Smart project, the City strongly encourages the expansion of motor vehicular capacity on existing freeways and highways. Expanding capacity for long term population growth will ease congestion, thereby mitigating emissions attributable to idling vehicles. Reduced congestion will also decrease motorist fatality, and increase regional economic prosperity as households expend a lesser portion of time and income on travel expenses.

In summary, with respect to the innovative local climate reduction solutions already being implemented, and acknowledging the regional significance of the Climate Smart project, the City strongly encourages Metro to affirm and promote policies that uphold local flexibility and increases in long term highway capacity.

Sincerely,

Lori DeRemer, Mayor  
City of Happy Valley

16000 SE Misty Drive, Happy Valley, Oregon 97086  
Telephone: 503-783-3800 Fax: 503-658-5174  
happyvalleyor.gov

Preserving and enhancing the safety, livability and character of our community
October 30, 2014

Chair Jody Carson  
Member of MPAC  
600 NE Grand Avenue  
Portland, OR 97232-2736

Dear Chair Carson,

The City of Happy Valley has been one of the fastest emerging cities in Oregon for well over a decade. As a growing municipality, the City acknowledges the need to participate in environmental stewardship through climate reduction policy development. In consideration of this responsibility, it is imperative that the Climate Smart strategy be inclusive of two elements in order to effectively engage local jurisdictions: local flexibility and a commitment to increasing highway capacity.

It is paramount that local jurisdictions retain absolute flexibility in implementing climate reduction strategies. A streamlined policy for emission reduction will not be effective environmentally, economically or otherwise in municipalities that are less dense or not easily serviced by certain modal transportation options. Local flexibility provides jurisdictions with fluidity to invest in innovative solutions, harnessing resources unique to the communities they represent. This fluidity of choice will maximize both economic and environmental efficiency.

Anticipating transportation system changes induced by the Climate Smart project, the City strongly encourages the expansion of motor vehicular capacity on existing freeways and highways. Expanding capacity for long term population growth will ease congestion, thereby mitigating emissions attributable to idling vehicles. Reduced congestion will also decrease motorist fatality, and increase regional economic prosperity as households expend a lesser portion of time and income on travel expenses.

In summary, with respect to the innovative local climate reduction solutions already being implemented, and acknowledging the regional significance of the Climate Smart project, the City strongly encourages Metro to affirm and promote policies that uphold local flexibility and increases in long term highway capacity.

Sincerely,

Lori DeRemer, Mayor  
City of Happy Valley

16000 SE Misty Drive, Happy Valley, Oregon 97086  
Telephone: 503-783-3800 Fax: 503-658-5174  
happyvalleyor.gov

Preserving and enhancing the safety, livability and character of our community
Re: Climate Smart Communities draft approach

Dear President Hughes and Metro Councilors,

We are excited today to share our thoughts with you on the draft approach for Climate Smart Communities. As member organizations of the Transportation Justice Alliance have been engaged in this process, we have worked with staff to provide feedback and have been happy to see the many ways that community expertise has influenced the strategies and the monitoring approach.

We very much appreciate that Metro went above and beyond its mandated task throughout the process, working with community based organizations, the Oregon Health Authority, and others to understand the impact of the scenarios on community health and well-being.

The Transportation Justice Alliance is keenly aware of how critical it is to integrate transportation and housing policies, and we support Metro’s efforts to include housing supports in the Toolbox. There is a range of tools that we would like to see available across the region, and we were very supportive of the earlier Toolbox language that explicitly emphasized inclusionary zoning as one of these tools. Because affordable housing is a regional issue, while we support increasing the tools available to local jurisdictions, we are concerned that “restore local control” can be read in such a way as to undermine the role that Metro should play in this issue. There is also an opportunity in the Toolbox to commit agency partners across the region to seeking funding for affordable and accessible housing.

The Transportation Justice Alliance, is excited to support several of the existing policies in the draft approach, including making transit more convenient, frequent, accessible, and affordable and making biking and walking more safe and convenient. These two policy areas have the highest relative climate benefits according to Metro’s analysis and were strongly supported in each meeting and workshop we attended. However, when the
Approach, the Toolbox, the Performance Monitoring, and the Early Actions are examined together, it becomes clear that these two policies are not fully supported and are often undermined by other policies.

For example, one of the three Early Actions TPAC will be discussing is to advocate for increased funding for all transportation modes and well over half of the recommended investments in the draft approach are road projects that will not help the region reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Given the technical analysis that shows that investments in transit and active transportation have the greatest climate benefit, the recognized multiple social, environmental, and economic benefits of improving our transit and active transportation systems, and the strong support that the public has shown in elevating transit and active transportation above the other strategies – the Approach, Toolbox, Performance Monitoring, and Early Actions should all be aligned to prioritize investments in transit and active transportation. We support the language of Early Action #3. We would like to see similar language that makes clear the necessity to prioritize greenhouse gas emissions-reducing projects, and we recommend that Metro convene an oversight committee made up of transportation, land use, public health, environmental, and social justice advocates and professionals.

Because our region’s most vulnerable community members will disproportionately bear the burdens of climate change, we look forward to working with Metro and other partners to implement a robust climate mitigation plan. It’s also important to recognize, however, that adaptation supports will also be critically important for the members of our community who have the fewest resources. Investments in transit and in active transportation bolster both climate mitigation and climate adaptation. To make the most of these benefits, though, transportation options must be affordable. The draft approach recognizes this in policy language, but there are no performance measures addressing the affordability. We would like to see Climate Smart Communities monitoring include tracking transit costs over time compared to inflation and include a measure of household housing + transportation cost burden.

The Transportation Justice Alliance looks forward to continuing to work with Metro and other regional partners to achieve the Climate Smart Communities goal of demonstrating leadership on climate change.

Thank you for your time.

Asian Pacific American Network of Oregon

Coalition for a Livable Future

Community Cycling Center

OPAL Environmental Oregon

Upstream Public Health

1000 Friends of Oregon
Testimony of Wilsonville Mayor Tim Knapp Before the Metro Council in Support of Ordinance No. 14-1346, “For the Purpose of Adopting a Preferred Climate Smart Communities Strategy and Amending the Regional Framework Plan to Comply with State Law”

Good day Council President Hughes and Members of the Metro Council:

I am Tim Knapp, and I serve as Mayor for the City of Wilsonville. I am here today to express my support for Ordinance No. 14-1346 that adopts a preferred Climate Smart Communities Strategy and amends the Regional Framework Plan to comply with state law. I want to commend all those whose efforts went into developing the region’s draft preferred approach and this strategy in response to the mandate of the 2009 Oregon legislature.

In this testimony, I call out several salient issues that I believe are necessary in order for the Strategy to succeed.

First, I strongly support having the “toolbox of actions” in hand for cities to use to help the region achieve greenhouse gas-reduction goals. Being able to customize a community’s response to the issue of climate change is important for gaining public acceptance and matching local aspirations and resources to the task at hand. Elected officials from across the region made it clear that a one-size fits all approach is not practical for our communities, and we appreciate the flexible approach of the draft Strategy to accommodate local situations. I believe that many components of the toolbox are applicable and useful for Wilsonville.

I support the Strategy’s recommendation to advocate for state legislative initiatives related to the Oregon Clean Fuels program, brownfield redevelopment, local housing policies and programs, and transportation funding. In order to achieve the greenhouse gas-reduction targets mandated by the state legislature, it is appropriate to request greater assistance from the state in helping local jurisdictions meet these regional goals, which have obvious state-wide significance.

I want to call out the recommendation for expanding funding for low-carbon travel options and programs, including transit, intelligent transportation systems (ITS), travel information and incentives, Safe Routes to Schools and especially Safe Routes to Transit programs. The City has had good success to date with our “SMART Options” transit-ridership outreach program with our larger industrial employers.
In particular, commuting workers and major employers like Xerox, Mentor Graphics and Rockwell Collins have embraced our “last-mile” connection from the SMART Transit Center / WES Commuter Rail Station that meets every arriving WES train and delivers employees to their Wilsonville worksites within 10 minutes of arrival. The state could be of great assistance working with TriMet and local jurisdictions on improving those “last-mile” connections from the home or workplace to public transit services.

In calling for a dramatic expansion in the levels of transit service with a $4 billion increase in public transit funding, new, diverse, sustainable funding sources need to be developed. Over reliance primarily on employer-paid payroll taxes places an unfair burden on the region’s private employers to pay for enhanced transit service. Until we as a region and state can develop wider sources of support for an increase in public transit services, I do not understand how we can achieve the goals of the Strategy.

I will note that the draft plan calls for $100 million in operational investments in SMART, but I am not clear that we have a plan for how we will generate funds of that magnitude. Even more puzzling is how Tri-Met is expected to come up with $3.9 billion in increased transit operating funding. To achieve an increase in transit operating funds of this scale requires major political lifting by state and regional leaders.

And while the legislature’s mandate focused on light trucks and vehicles, I believe that the region could make major headway on greenhouse gas-reduction by changing over the transit fleets from high-carbon diesel fuel to low-carbon alternative fuels, including CNG and battery-electric power. Transitioning the public transit fleet to alternative fuels could be a potential effort shared with private-sector utility, shipping and distribution firms for financing and implementing the needed fueling infrastructure.

One item that the City is especially concerned about that is not addressed by the proposed recommendations in the Climate Smart Communities Strategy pertains to the larger issues of community design in the Regional Framework Plan. That is, I do not understand how we can achieve the targeted greenhouse gas-reductions if we continue to site a majority of employment opportunities on one side of the region while planning for a majority of new housing on the other side of the region.

While it is true that workers may not necessarily prefer to live close to where they work, limiting possibilities for those that seek a shorter commute inhibits the region’s ability to achieve reductions in vehicle miles traveled targeted in the Regional Transportation Plan and greenhouse gas-reduction goals of the Climate Smart Communities Strategy.
Rather than force workers to commute, our city, for example, seeks the ability to offer local housing opportunities to accommodate future development of the approximately 1,050 acres of regionally significant industrial and employment lands at Coffee Creek and Basalt Creek that have already been brought into the UGB adjacent to Wilsonville, Tualatin and Sherwood. This kind of thoughtful land-use planning contributes to livable communities, reduces the demand on regional roadways, and improves access to travel choices such as transit (SMART in Wilsonville) and active transportation options.

All in all, I believe that the seven policies/categories that form the basis for the preferred approach of the Strategy (Adopted Plans; Transit, Biking and Walking; Streets and Highways; Technology; Travel Information/Travel Options; and Parking Management) provide an easy-to-understand framework for our future actions. In addition, long-term success of the proposed Climate Smart Communities Strategy relies on policies that support greater fuel efficiency, cleaner fuels and securing adequate funding for our transportation investments.

I thank you for your time today and welcome any questions that you may have.
Appendix D.

Public comment emails received

Sept. 15 to Oct. 30, 2014
I would like to make a suggestion relative making Oregon and the Metro area in particular better aligned to reduce carbon dioxide emissions. I come at this from an educational and career background (I am now retired) in physics, risk management and a nearly life-long observational standpoint that oil based energy needs to be replaced with solar energy. When I was young this was considered ridiculous because the energy cost of making silicon was a lot higher than pumping West Texas crude and refining it in Pasadena Texas. Unfortunately even though the economics have given way to the reality of the cost of a drilling platform going from $10,000 (Wyoming in 1960) to $20,000,000,000 (deep water off Brazil in 2010) and silicon costs going from a few bucks per 2 inch diameter slice (1960) to $500 for a 12 inch diameter slice with 48 times more area (2010), powerful entrenched (economically and mentally, although in Oregon we are only consumers in denial) have convinced us to avoid legislation such as a carbon tax, an eminently reasonable thing to do but politically suicide.

My suggestion is pretty simple and is based on thinking about what the most important thing is. And that thing is to allow our children to be educated and at the same time reduce greenhouse emissions and carcinogenic emissions from school buses. As you probably know the Oregon Legislature passed legislation that school buses, which I am told are mandatory and are 70% funded out of Salem for public schools, shall not be required to meet the 2007 Clean Air Standards until 2017 and no incremental progress is required. There is another proviso that this will only be required if it can be shown that school kids get cancer from the bus fumes at a rate of more than one in a million. (This is not a big deal because the initial EPA findings, rejected by Congress and sent back, were that one in 2000 school kids that are exposed daily to the carcinogenic fumes of a non-filtered diesel school bus will get cancer on average. Even allowing for massive error in that number, which is not, unfortunately necessarily the case, the cancer rate for exposure inside the bus is much higher than one in a million.)

So the biggest and most successful and effective way to reduce carbon emission, reduce childhood poisoning for kids going to school and utilize the resources of Oregon to set the pace is to convert the school bus fleet to electric.* These vehicles are available from a couple of suppliers and the cost is over $150,000. But think of the long term benefit. Not only are these buses cheaper in the long run, they improve the quality of life (air quality) for the communities they are in (here in LO the fleet of school buses queue up in a residential neighborhood every day and a friend who lived there and mentioned how he was limited in traveling because of this in front of his house has now died of lung cancer. You will probably suspect smoking or Radon. Neither of these were existent.

Of course you could go part way and consider natural gas school buses. And you could go further and consider natural gas Trimet buses (following LA’s example) or electric Trimet buses or safe bike paths through cities like Lake Oswego.

So that is my big suggestion. Like my childhood idea of making solar panels to replace burning oil for energy, it is not going to happen in my lifetime. But you might consider it for when we flat run out of stuff that comes out of the ground, especially since Oregon has no energy source that comes out of the ground but uses a lot and has some of the worst quality air at schools in the US according to the EPA.

Thanks for considering!

*Good use of the "Kicker" rather than returning to taxpayers! 100% for clean school buses across the state. Maybe require a company to build them here as part of the bidding process? Both the Marathon facility (owned by a bus manufacturer) and Freightliner facility are adequate for such manufacture.

Craig Stephens
330 Durham St. (near the diesel Trimet bus line)
Lake Oswego OR 97034
Adding High Capacity Transit (HCT) in Tigard will NOT significantly reduce congestion now or in the future just look to Portland and the past for proof.

HCT is either Light Rail Transit (LRT) or Bus Rapid Transit (BRT). BRT means larger buses that make fewer stops in at least 50% dedicated road lanes and traffic signal priority over car lanes. Yes, that means the buses use road lanes that our cars CANNOT use.

FIRST, a 2012 Metro survey confirmed PEOPLE CHOOSE TO DRIVE 84% OF THE TIME in the Portland Metro area. That's down just 3.6% since 1994 despite $4B invested in HCT including opening the Westside MAX, Interstate Ave. MAX, Airport MAX, Interstate 205 MAX and WES Commuter Train.

Even in Portland where light rail and buses have blanketed the area only 12.1% commute by public transit. And that number is significantly inflated because 45% who commute downtown do so by public transit, but in the suburbs only 4.2% commute by public transit. According to the 2013 Tigard Survey only 15% (5.8% margin of error) of Tigard residents are employed in Downtown Portland, but buses already go to downtown frequently and along most of the proposed HCT routes. The proposed new HCT doesn't go even remotely near the largest employers in Oregon and Washington County like Intel, Nike, Tektronix, Genentech, Solarworld, St. Vincent Hospital, etc. Is anyone really going to ride HCT downtown to catch the light rail out to Hillsboro? I seriously doubt it, so most who will ride the proposed HCT already ride buses. Therefore, even THE BEST POSSIBLE OUTCOME FROM ADDING HCT WOULD BE LESS THAN A 5% INCREASE IN COMMUTING BY PUBLIC TRANSIT.

DOES THE OFTEN NEARLY EMPTY $161M WES COMMUTER TRAIN REALLY REDUCE CONGESTION? AFTER 5 YEARS OF OPERATION? At 940 riders each day, WES STILL ONLY CARRIES 78% OF THE COMMUTERS THAT TRI-MET PROJECTED ON DAY 1. Highway 99W carries over 50,000 cars a day.

SECOND, commuting only accounts for about 25% of all travel in the region, but the new HCT is not planned to go down Highway 99W, Tigard’s main business corridor. According to the 2009 City of Tigard survey 2 out of 3 Tigard residents prefer increased road capacity or roadway developments/improvements over light rail in order to address traffic congestion on 99W.

THIRD, TRI-MET HAS CUT SERVICE 4 TIMES IN 5 YEARS, including what The Oregonian called one of the most sweeping series of service cuts in its history in 2012. TRI-MET EXPECTS MORE CUTS IN 2017 AND BEYOND due to their $1.126B of UNFUNDED PENSION AND HEALTH BENEFITS. In order to maximize MAX ridership and eliminate duplicate services caused by the $1.49B Milwaukie Light Rail, TRI-MET IS ALREADY DISCUSSING ELIMINATING OR REDUCING BUS SERVICE ON 18 OF 79 LINES IN THE PORTLAND METRO AREA. The proposed $1.68B SW Corridor Plan’s HCT will also reduce Tigard bus service and move people from buses to trains forcing people to drive to catch the HCT or not even ride public transit.

FOURTH, PUBLIC TRANSIT IS SLOW AND ISN’T CLOSE TO OUR HOMES OR DESTINATIONS. HCT WILL ONLY EXACERBATE THAT DUE TO THE FORCED
REDUCTIONS IN BUS SERVICE AND ADDED HCT TRANSFERS.

Tri-Met asserts "that most people are willing to walk up to a quarter-mile to a bus stop and a half-mile to a light rail stop. Many walk much further. Most people walk or bike to transit. Less than 5% of current Tri-Met riders access the system from Park & Ride lots". How close do you live and work to the proposed HCT and far are you willing to walk in the rain to ride HCT?

To go from Tigard to Hillsboro, Tri-Met takes 89 minutes including 9 minutes of walking and 21 minutes of waiting, and that doesn't include the walk to your employer or the drive to and wait at the park and ride. So it takes nearly 4 hours roundtrip and you will be exhausted and soaking wet, but you can drive door to door in 45 minutes on the worst days. How many extra hours per day are you willing to lose to ride Tri-Met?

FIFTH, WE WILL LOSE ROAD CAPACITY TO ADD HCT. Interstate Avenue used to be a fast moving 4 lane major road used by many. Now Interstate is a useless congested slow moving 2 lane road with light rail going down it. The current Plan for HCT has major stretches of Barbur being reduced to 2 traffic lanes, and THE RESULTING TRAFFIC JAM ON BARBUR WILL BACKUP INTO TIGARD. We could also lose road capacity on Hall/Durham/72nd/Upper Boones Ferry, etc.

FINALLY, due to limited funding resources the addition of HCT will almost certainly stop the widening of Highway 217, Hall Blvd and Durham Road, and finally kill forever the Westside Bypass and I5-99W connector projects. But, any one of these road projects would probably do more to reduce congestion than adding HCT. After all Tigard's population has tripled in the last 30 years, so shouldn't road capacity go up accordingly?

Bringing HCT to Tigard will NOT significantly increase public transit ridership because transit is slow and inconvenient, and the bus service reductions that coincide with adding HCT will force people to drive to the HCT. Road capacity and road construction funds will be taken away by HCT delaying or canceling much needed road improvements and expansions. Adding HCT to Tigard won't significantly reduced congestion for the 84% who drive, but HCT just might increase congestion.
From: Fran Mason
To: Metro Climate Scenarios
Subject: Small-motor pollution
Date: Saturday, September 20, 2014 8:31:30 PM

Dear Metro-
Small-motor engines also contribute to pollution. The use of gas-powered lawnmowers and leaf-blowers needs to be addressed, as every little bit helps.
Many are looking for ways they can contribute on an individual level. The obvious is drive less and weatherize, but an educational campaign to educate regarding individual actions would be smart. Use a push mower, a rake, electric leaf-blower, unplug appliances when not in use, etc. Have a public survey on these actions!
F Mason
Comment on Climate Smart.

From: zephyr moore [mailto:salmonneedshade@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, September 22, 2014 10:53 PM
To: Clifford Higgins
Subject: Re: NOTICE: Climate Smart Communities public comment period 9/15-10/30

Dear Clifford,

All tires sold as new all are unfinished with rubber hairs and walls (together called hairs later in letter) on each tread lug and across the sidewalls. A tire on a wheel bears the weight of vehicle that erodes the pavement. The rubber hairs, of no help to traction, are the same weight as rubber tire. The weight of hairs erodes pavement.

Each tread of a tire had a hair and wall. These ripped from tire as the car travelled the first mile. So the tread you see is smooth. The petroleum based rubber hairs immediately go to storm drain, river then local ocean.

The hairs also have surface area. Every tire revolution the hairs disturb the air. Oxygen-fuel is consumed to overcome the turbulence as hair's surface area flutters each tire revolution.

The hairs have mass (Physics) so force is used to change their inertia. Because hairs are away from axle, each tire revolution the hairs move the circumference plus the cycloid. So hairs travel faster than car speed.

Rubber hairs' weight, surface area and mass (Physics) oppose all motion for the life of a tire.

To eliminate this perpetual cost of transportation, require that all tires be finished at manufacturer.

Salmon silently sip dinosaur soup because drivers use unfinished tires. W.W.S.D.?

We're all in this alone, together,

Zephyr Thoreau Moore

On Mon, Sep 15, 2014 at 5:23 PM, Clifford Higgins <Clifford.Higgins@oregonmetro.gov> wrote:

The Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project draft Climate Smart Strategy is available for public review and comment from Sept. 15 to Oct. 30, 2014.

In 2009, the Oregon Legislature required the Portland metropolitan region to reduce per capita greenhouse gas emissions from cars and small trucks by 2035. After four years of collaboration and engagement with regional partners and the public, a draft Climate Smart Strategy is ready for review.

Your voice is important
You are invited to provide feedback during the public comment period from Sept. 15 through Oct. 30, 2014.

- Take a short survey online at makeagreatplace.org on transportation and land use policies and actions that can shape our communities.

To provide more in depth feedback, visit oregonmetro.gov/draftapproach to download and review the draft approach and implementation recommendations (Regional Framework Plan amendments, toolbox of possible actions and performance monitoring approach) and provide comments in one of the following ways:

- Mail comments to Metro Planning, 600 NE Grand Ave., Portland, OR 97232
- Email comments to climatescenarios@oregonmetro.gov
- Phone in comments to 503-797-1750 or TDD 503-797-1804
- Testify at a Metro Council hearing on Oct. 30, 2014, at 600 NE Grand Ave., Portland, OR 97232 in the Council chamber

To learn more about the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project, visit oregonmetro.gov/climatescenarios.

You have received this message as a member of Metro's Planning enews interested persons list. To be removed from this list, notify trans@oregonmetro.gov.
From: <Siegel>, Scot <ssiegel@ci.oswego.or.us>
Date: Thursday, September 25, 2014 4:44 PM
To: Kim Ellis <kim.ellis@oregonmetro.gov>
Cc: "Andreades, Debra" <dandreades@ci.oswego.or.us>, "Lazenby, Scott" <slazenby@ci.oswego.or.us>, "Siegel, Scot" <ssiegel@ci.oswego.or.us>
Subject: Comment on Climate Smart Strategy

Dear Kim,

The City has reviewed the Climate Smart Communities strategy document that will be discussed at the upcoming MTAC meeting. Our reading of the document leads us to understand that it is aspirational and that the proposed policies and amendments to the Regional Framework Plan would not require local jurisdictions to amend their Comprehensive Plans, TSPs or land use regulations.

As you are aware, Lake Oswego has just completed an extensive process to update its Comprehensive Plan and TSP and is not anxious to initiate another process at this time. It is also the City's belief that the proposed amendments to the Regional Framework Plan guide Metro in its decision making but do not apply to cities as they amend their plans or codes; nor do they mandate funding for specific projects.

I would welcome a brief conversation with you if our understanding of the strategy is incorrect. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Siegel Siegel
Planning & Building Services Director
City of Lake Oswego
PO Box 369
Lake Oswego, OR 97034
tel: 503.699.7474

PUBLIC RECORDS LAW DISCLOSURE This e-mail is a public record of the City of Lake Oswego and is subject to public disclosure unless exempt from disclosure under Oregon Public Records Law. This email is subject to the State Retention Schedule.
Hi Mark-
Thanks for your email. I spoke with Chris this morning before MTAC, but also wanted to follow-up directly with you.

The public input component of the CSC strategy has been significant throughout the project and has been structured to inform both MPAC and JPACT as well the Metro Council. Ultimately, it’s the policy committees who make the recommendation to the Metro Council. That is their role, and it is their responsibility to consider public input. We have been proactively shaping the draft approach since January of this year. The documents posted for public review reflect public input from January through May (as well as previous project phases), the recommendation of MPAC and JPACT from May 30, and an analysis of that recommendation for their ability to meet the target. At this point in the process -- there are not a lot of surprises in what the draft approach represents compared to what MPAC and JPACT recommended on May 30 for testing and what the public supports (per early results from our online survey about the draft strategy).

The Oct. 30 hearing is the first evidentiary reading of the CSC ordinance the Council will consider for adoption on Dec. 18. It also coincides with the close of our formal 45-day comment period. The comments received through Oct. 30 will be provided to MPAC and JPACT for their consideration on Nov. 7 along with TPAC and MTAC’s straw proposals on the short list of priority toolbox actions and options for demonstrating the region’s commitment to implementation given the voluntary nature of the toolbox. The Nov. 7 meeting will not result in a final recommendation, but a preliminary recommendation on the overall components of the Climate Smart Strategy, the short list of toolbox actions and how to demonstrate the region’s commitment to implementation. MPAC and JPACT will be asked to make their final recommendations to the Council on Dec. 10 and 11, respectively and those will be forward to the Council for consideration on Dec. 18.

A second Metro Council hearing will be held on Dec. 18 prior to their final action – legally, comments can be submitted into the record at any time, including between Oct. 30 and Dec. 18. Any comments we receive after Oct. 30 will be added to the record and provided to the policy committees and Metro Council.

Hope this helps. Let me know if you have further questions.

Best,
Kim

---
Kim Ellis, AICP, principal transportation planner
Metro - Planning and Development Department

600 NE Grand Ave.
From: <Ottenad>, Mark <ottenad@ci.wilsonville.or.us>
Date: Monday, September 29, 2014 4:08 PM
To: Kim Ellis <kim.ellis@oregonmetro.gov>, Metro Climate Scenarios <Metro.ClimateScenarios@oregonmetro.gov>
Cc: Nancy Kraushaar <kraushaar@ci.wilsonville.or.us>, Chris Neamtsu <neamtsu@ci.wilsonville.or.us>
Subject: Climate Smart and public input

Hi Kim,

I am wondering if you can help me understand the public input component of the CSC strategy.

That is, I understand that an Oct 30 public hearing is scheduled before Metro Council on CSC and proposed Regional Framework Plan.

Then, on Nov 7 a special Joint JPACT and MPAC meeting is scheduled to “discuss public comments, potential refinements and recommended actions to the draft Climate Smart Strategy.” I presume that Metro seeks a recommendation from JPACT and MPAC for the Metro Council.

Can you help me understand the sequence of these events? That is, on the surface, it would appear that the joint meeting should occur first with a recommendation that is then all rolled into public comment for a public hearing. I am concerned that critics may indicate that the Nov 7 recommendation, if any, is ineffective since the official public hearing will have already been held.

Any info that you can help me with is appreciated so that I can answer the questions I believe will come from local government officials.

Thank you.

- Mark

Mark C. Ottenad
Public/Government Affairs Director
City of Wilsonville
29799 SW Town Center Loop East
Wilsonville, OR 97070
General: 503-682-1011
Direct: 503-570-1505
Fax: 503-682-1015
Subject: Re: Climate Smart Communities -- Scenarios  
Date: Thursday, October 2, 2014 2:08:40 PM Pacific Daylight Time  
From: Angus Duncan  
To: Kim Ellis  
CC: Bob Cortright, Tom Kloster, Peggy Morell, McFarlane, Neil, Eric Hesse

Kim,

Thank you for your customary responsiveness. I found your explanations very helpful.

It was in fact the Draft Climate Smart Strategy document I was reviewing. I still can’t find the GreenSTEP reference on page 4 (or elsewhere), but am satisfied with the understanding that Metro used GreenSTEP and its light vehicle fleet turnover assumptions. I also understand that Metro is appropriately focused on tasks that fall directly within its planning and performance responsibilities. Vehicles and fuels are a little outside of those venues. However, a citizen reading this without the STS context I bring might not understand how important to success are his vehicle and fuel choices, since this factor neither shows up as a “policy area” nor as a prior condition to the region achieving its carbon goals. I offer this not as a criticism of Metro’s planning work but as a suggestion for possibly better communicating the nature of the larger task.

I also appreciate that the document uses a “Benefits/Challenges” box for each policy area. Very helpful.

I’ll look forward to TriMet’s SEP work, which I hope will examine not just service levels but the nexus of transit service economics and an evolving urban design that enables service levels to both strengthen and extend further into medium density neighborhoods and neighborhoods dominated by low-income households.

More creative use by TriMet and transportation planners of the kinds of modeling tools that characterize some of the new people-mover services (Lyft; Uber; Car2Go) would be welcome also, as would more creative thinking by all of us about how these kinds of services can be integrated into urban transportation strategies to collective advantage.

Thanks again for your response, and for the commitment and good work you and your Metro colleagues bring every day to your important tasks.

Regards,

Angus

Angus Duncan  
President, Bonneville Environmental Foundation  
Chair, Oregon Global Warming Commission  
240 SW First Avenue  
Portland, OR 97204  
Phone 503.248.1905  
Cell 503.248.7695  
aduncan@b-e-f.org
On Oct 2, 2014, at 11:31 AM, Kim Ellis <Kim.Ellis@oregonmetro.gov> wrote:

Hi Angus-
As always, thanks for your email and comments. I'm not certain which report you reviewed – we released 4 documents for review at: oregonmetro.gov/draftapproach

- Key results (9/12/14)
- Draft Climate Smart Strategy (9/15/14)
- Draft Regional Framework Plan amendments (9/15/14)
- Draft Toolbox of Possible Actions (9/15/14)
- Draft Performance Monitoring Approach (9/15/14)

I'm assuming you reviewed the Draft Climate Smart Strategy. Page 4 of the report calls out that the draft approach assumes the fleet and technology assumptions the state used when setting our 20% reduction target. The GreenSTEP model was used to calculate the emissions reductions and other results we are reporting. We are in the process of documenting the technical details and do not have a final technical report available at this time. In the mean-time, attached is a PDF summarizing Key results of the analysis (including costs) and a PDF of the key GreenSTEP model inputs that reflect the draft approach recommended by our policy committees for testing. Page 2 of the GreenSTEP input summary shows the more detailed fleet and tech assumptions. My understanding is the electric grid transition is part of the background assumptions within GreenSTEP and as a result we used what the ODOT assumed in their STS work. Is there anything more you need on how the emissions are calculated?

As you noted, the draft approach includes significant increases in transit service as called for in our 2014 Regional Transportation Plan. This level of service also reflects what is likely needed to implement a significant portion of the Service Enhancement Plans TriMet has been developing in partnership with local governments, community organizations and businesses across the region. The SEP work is expected to be completed in the next year.

In terms of the barriers to implementation – we reference the funding barrier in many of the documents we've prepared, and view funding as the single largest barrier to achieving our adopted plans and, as a result, the GHG target. The toolbox identifies short term actions that the state, Metro, local governments and special districts can take to begin to address some of the barriers that have been identified to date, including funding. The Oregon Transportation Forum work is one state related pathway you are involved in that can help support our efforts to adequately fund transportation in our region (and state). There are also local and regional funding discussions underway that will also continue into 2015 and beyond, particularly as we move toward the next Regional Transportation Plan update.

The Metro Council and other policymakers have expressed the desire for the preferred strategy to be doable and reflect local priorities and visions for the future. I believe we have a draft approach that is a sound starting point for the region. There is a clear recognition we still have a lot to do to make those plans a reality – funding being a key piece of that. There is also a recognition that it isn’t simply redividing the existing pot of funding for a number of reasons – new funding is also needed, particularly for transit and active transportation. We will need help from many diverse interests to address this long-standing issue and hopefully make progress beginning with the 2015 Legislature.

Thanks for looking at our work and draft recommendations. Let me know if you have further questions or want to discuss further. Your insight and perspective is always welcome.

Best,

Kim
From: Angus Duncan <aduncan@b-e-f.org>
Date: Wednesday, October 1, 2014 11:10 AM
To: Kim Ellis <kim.ellis@oregonmetro.gov>
Cc: CORTRIGHT Bob <Bob.Cortright@state.or.us>
Subject: Climate Smart Communities -- Scenarios

Kim,

I did a quick read-through the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios report (09-15-14), and while I find much to agree with and applaud in its proposed (and in many cases, underway) measures, a couple of first-order questions did occur.

First, the STS analysis aiming at state T&LU targets relied heavily on vehicle fleet turnover to low emissions vehicles (and complementary turnover of power plant fleet supplying EV’s to low emissions also). Maybe I missed that chapter, or perhaps there’s a fleet turnover factor that’s assumed? Can you clarify?

There’s not a lot of discussion of barriers to realizing these outcomes. Again perhaps that’s not the purpose of this document. But is it plausible, or even an above-board assertion, to cite an achievable per cent reduction without singling out a few of the hills that will need to be climbed (e.g., funding availability and accessibility for non-roadway work; resistance to transit in outlying areas of WA and Clackamas counties)?

Is there, somewhere, the documentation of how GHG savings were calculated and attributed to measures (or packages of measures)? Again, it’s hard to evaluate the plausibility of making the goal if one can’t see and weigh a reliance, say, on a very large bump in transit service, especially in medium-density areas where transit economics are most challenging.

Of course there’s no outcome I would be happier with than a 29% reduction in Metro area T&LU GHG emissions through 2035. The strategies need to add up the carbon savings, and they need to be doable. Or we need to figure out how to influence the politics so they are doable.

Regards,

Angus

Angus Duncan
President, Bonneville Environmental Foundation
Chair, Oregon Global Warming Commission
240 SW First Avenue
We are in a Climate Melt-Down. California as dry as a bone, and those folks will start moving north en-mass. We need to turn our single-family housing stock into walkable dense multi-family settlement patterns now. We need Active Transportation Policy and Funding to support this inevitable future. We need streetcars on every avenue, just like Portland once had. No more polluting single-passenger cars should be allowed. We should not spend one more Transportation Dollar supporting these destructive out-of-date vehicles.

Bill Badrick
To: Metro Planning

From: Chris Hagerbaumer, Oregon Environmental Council

RE: Draft Climate Smart Strategy

Date: October 15, 2014

Oregon Environmental Council (OEC) thanks Metro for doing a terrific job developing a robust plan to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from cars and trucks. Yes, it was mandated, but you took the task to heart and did the due diligence with regard to research, analysis and community engagement. It's exciting and affirming that the approach relies on policies and investments you had already identified as important for the region's future. Of course, the hardest part is yet to come—securing the funds to make the needed investments and bringing all parts of the region along, but the co-benefits are so huge and the costs of inaction so great, that it's a true imperative.

OEC had the opportunity to participate in the October 1 Climate Smart Communities community leaders meeting. We second the many recommendations made there, and stress a few below:

OEC supports the **Toolbox of Possible Actions** in its entirety. Provision of transportation options (transit, pedestrian and bicycling facilities) is particularly important to us. We would also emphasize a few specific actions:

1. Restore local control of housing policies and programs. Too many lower-income residents have been pushed out of the region's core due to the fact that affordable housing policies and investments have not been implemented along with all of the strategies that have made the core more desirable (and expensive). We suggest rephrasing this action to ensure that it's about achieving housing affordability, not just restoring local control (local control works only if local decision-makers actually care about affordable housing). This needs to be a real regional conversation with real solutions that ensure housing affordability no matter where one lives in the region.

2. Use green street design, not only planting trees to support carbon sequestration and using materials that reduce infrastructure-related heat gain, but capturing,
absorbing and cleaning stormwater and making more use of pervious, rather than impervious, surface materials. These strategies will help the region save money and adapt to the unwelcome effects of climate change.

3. Fully utilize parking pricing strategies. Yes, this is a tough sell, but it's one of the most effective ways to manage demand. Parking spaces are not truly "free," and too much free parking merely subsidizes cars and car trips. In most urban areas, there's more space for cars (roads, parking lots and driveways) than humans (buildings and sidewalks), which is kind of insane. Cities should charge the fair market price for on-street parking, using the revenues to finance added public services in the metered neighborhoods. Likewise, parking minimums hurt housing affordability (as mentioned above, housing affordability is one of the most important issues to grapple with).

4. Expand the list of actions under "Demonstrate leadership on climate change." The actions listed are primarily focused on inventories, reports and plans. Yes, you will demonstrate true leadership by implementing the plan, but we suggest "evangelizing" in appropriate venues. Share your story with other metropolitan areas across the country. Be loud and proud about tackling the most pressing issue of our time. On a related note, some of the resistance to some of the tools (e.g., the current backlash against mixed-use development in downtown Lake Oswego) has to do with a lack of understanding of how these tools work, how they help the community broadly, and how everyone needs to be part of the solution. There continues to be a communication challenge about the necessity of compact urban development, not to mention climate change, which needs to be overcome. Not everyone will get on board, but more will as the merits are proved and the story is told.

With regard to the Draft Performance Monitoring Approach:

- You may have already done so, but we suggest reviewing the indicators developed for Mosaic, the value and cost informed transportation planning tool recently developed by ODOT. There may be some quantitative and qualitative indicators that would make sense to use in this process.
- Because of the importance of housing affordability, please develop an indicator related to housing affordability for the policy "Implement the 2040 Growth Concept and local adopted land use and transportation plans."
- Perhaps adopt a measurement for 20-minute neighborhoods.
- Public EV charging stations could be a measure for the policy related to fuels and vehicles.
- The measure "secure adequate funding for transportation investments" could be quite specific, e.g., 60% of transit needs met by 20XX, 75% of sidewalk infrastructure complete by 20XX, etc.

Again, thank you for your great work. OEC will be with you all the way.

Chris Hagerbaumer | Deputy Director
Oregon Environmental Council
222 NW Davis Street, Suite 309
Portland, OR 97209-3900
503.222.1963 x102
If then Metro areas really wants to control greenhouse gases from cars then there should be a major push for commuter rail between Salem and Portland. Enough people commute between these two cities (in single passenger vehicles) to support commuter transit. The vanpools and Express bus to Wilsonville do not count. They're not available to everyone, not frequent enough and get stuck in traffic.

I know ODOT is working to build a higher speed system from Eugene to Portland as part of interstate rail. But a dedicated commuter system needs to be built that has good frequency in both directions. Even in the near term converting one I-5 lane to a carpool (3+) lane with Bus Rapid Transit would help.

Otherwise, you'll never get control of the pollution.
I live in Hillsboro, Oregon and am very concerned about the air quality in our City. The fall season starts the burning of wood fireplaces and in our neighborhood a neighbor who burns "junk wood" in an unapproved burner in his man cave/uninsulated shed. Him along with a neighbor who burns wood that he stores outdoors create quite the air pollution which is visible to the naked eye. I am allergic to wood smoke as I am sure others are and it bothers me a lot even though my home has 2x6 construction and double pane windows. The smoke still manages to enter my home and I notice there is a "black" covering on things in and out of my home. Neither person "needs" to burn wood as they can well afford to use gas or electricity to heat their structures. I believe wood burning, except in rare instances, needs to be banned in this area. Our homes are equipped with proper heating devices that burn gas or run on electricity which are cleaner fuels. I have read that sitting next to a wood stove with your baby is like blowing cigarette smoke in the baby's face - just as toxic.

I also am near the Hillsboro Airport who encourages flight training and touch and go operations which entail circling my densely populated residential neighborhood almost all afternoon and into the evening. I know people who live under the flight path who experience air traffic night and day. The fixed wing training flights burn leaded fuel which is a known problem, especially to young children.

Global warming is a crisis and we are adding to the problem with burning wood. Let's be the "progressive" Oregon and ban the burning of wood and requiring flight training not be done over residential areas and stop encouraging foreign flight students to train in the US and pollute our air; China's is unsafe for humans so let's not follow in their footsteps.

If you have the power to change things, please step up and do it. It is for our health and the health of future generations.

Ruth Warren
5093 NE Stable Court
Hillsboro, Oregon 97124
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy. Bikers save the roads for essential services and those who cannot ride their bicycles.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region’s real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.
From: Naveed Bendukwala
To: Metro Climate Scenarios
Subject: Feedback on Climate Smart Communities
Date: Tuesday, October 21, 2014 10:05:56 PM

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Thanks

Naveed
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Sincerely,
Steve Couche
Reed Neighborhood
SE Portland
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region’s real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Thanks,

-Dean
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also stop road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Sincerely,
Joseph Eisenberg
17/14 NE 45th Ave
Portland OR 97213
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region’s real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Sincerely,
LeeAnne Fergason
7411 SE Knight St
Portland OR 97206
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach. I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable. I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy. I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized. The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Eric Geisler
From: Jason Gillies
To: Metro Climate Scenarios
Subject: Active Transportation
Date: Tuesday, October 21, 2014 3:27:52 PM

I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I want to see more walkable communities and safe cycling routes. Walking safely to the grocery store, local restaurant or shopping is not accessible from thousands of communities. This type of active transportation reduces vehicular use, encourages environmental stewardship and awareness, and connects people socially.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Jason Gillies
9707 SW 90th Ave.
Portland, OR 97223
From: Greenebaum, Barbara  
To: Metro Climate Scenarios  
Subject: Feedback  
Date: Tuesday, October 21, 2014 3:14:52 PM

I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy. We really need safe routes to ride where there is a shoulder or bike lane on the road. I ride the safest roads I can find but in WA Co, there are just not enough routes that are safe. I'm tired of wondering when someone talking on their cell phone and driving 20mph over the speed limit is going to run over the top of me and my bike. Before new projects are started, we need to make sure the existing ones make sense and are providing a safe place for those who want to walk, run, and bike.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways. Instead, give us more accessible and safe places to ride, run, and walk.

Thanks---

Barb Greenebaum
From: Nathan Grey
To: Metro Climate Scenarios
Subject: Prioritize active transportation in Metro’s climate plan
Date: Tuesday, October 21, 2014 6:40:20 PM

Dear policy-makers,

I have recently moved to Portland because of its many benefits and progressive policies. I am delighted to provide input to the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable with an emphasis on transit options that reduce or limit greenhouse gasses.

As a daily biker and a public health practitioner, I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region’s real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Portland is recognized throughout the world for its efforts to reduce global warming and its progressive transportation policies. Our reputation far outweighs our size. I urge you to take steps that will continue to set the bar high for our community, our nation and the world. The stakes are high. Now is not the time to take half-steps.

Sincerely,

Nathan Grey
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region’s real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Sincerely,
Rachel Hammer
Portland, OR
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I also want the region to invest far more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also make road widening and highway projects an extremely low priority. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Thank you,
Scott Hillson
scott.hillson@gmail.com
From: Kanna Hudson  
To: Metro Climate Scenarios  
Subject: Please make bikes a priority  
Date: Tuesday, October 21, 2014 3:15:27 PM

I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region’s real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Thank you!

Sincerely,

Kanna Hudson
From: Thomas Huminski  
To: Metro Climate Scenarios  
Subject: Climate Smart Communities Draft Scenarios  
Date: Tuesday, October 21, 2014 7:52:30 PM

Dear Decision Maker,

Regarding the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios, please prioritize bicycling and walking as transportation modes. Transit is important, but active transportation is what our region needs to encourage.

I support *new, dedicated funding* for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Sincerely,
Thomas Huminski
Northeast Portland
From: Sara Jay Jensen
To: Metro Climate Scenarios
Subject: Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach
Date: Tuesday, October 21, 2014 2:32:26 PM

I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region’s real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Thanks!
Sara J.

Sara Jensen
Technical Support
Idealist.org FAQ
646.786.6886

Want to change the world? There’s a degree for that at the Idealist Grad Fairs this fall:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nodoIyyW4GI&feature=youtu.be

How’s our support? Fill out our super-short Satisfaction Survey!
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach and let you know that I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable. First, I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy. Second, I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized. Third, the Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should de-prioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Thank you for your attention,

Sandra Joos, 4259 SW Patrick Pl, Pdx, 97239
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Sincerely,
Adrienne Leverette
From: Maura McClay
To: Metro Climate Scenarios
Subject: Prioritize active transportation in Metro's climate plan
Date: Tuesday, October 21, 2014 7:00:19 PM

I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach. I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable. I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy. I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized. The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Thank you!

Tom
From: Cooper Morrow  
To: Metro Climate Scenarios  
Subject: Prioritize active transportation in Metro’s climate plan  
Date: Tuesday, October 21, 2014 3:42:58 PM  

I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region’s real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

--
Marc Moscato | Executive Director
Know Your City | 800 NW 6th Ave #331 | Portland, OR 97209
p: 971.717.7307

Know Your City engages the public in art and social justice through creative placemaking projects. Our programs and publications aim to educate people to better know their communities, and to empower them to take action.

http://knowyourcity.org
https://www.facebook.com/kycpdx
https://twitter.com/kycpdx
http://instagram.com/kycpdx
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Tanja Olson
As an avid bike commuter and occasional public transit rider, I have some feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach:

Simply put, we need dedicated funding for active transportation. It is imperative that Metro set aside the money to make things like biking, walking, and transit a priority. We need to dedicate flexible federal funding to active transportation projects.

Focusing spending on active transportation has numerous benefits: healthier populace, cleaner environment, and more bang for our buck in terms of public spending.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also remove focus from road widening and highway projects. Dedicating $20.8 billion of spending on road projects is short-sighted. We need to focus on maintaining our existing roads, not building or expanding them.

Metro needs to look to the future, not live in the past when it comes to fund allocation. Put your money where your mouth is and build infrastructure for active transportation.

Paul C Pederson
paul.c.pederson@gmail.com
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region’s real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.
Hello,

I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region’s real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Allison Plass - Graphic Design & Marketing Coordinator
MWA ARCHITECTS INC.
SAN FRANCISCO, OAKLAND, PORTLAND

direct 503 416 6125 | office 503 973 5151 | email aplass@mwaarchitects.com
To Whom it may concern:

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

We're close to an ideal prioritization. A few changes will make it better

Thank you

Allan Rudwick
228 NE Morris St, Portland OR 97212

--

Allan Rudwick
(503) 703-3910
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region’s real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Thank you for considering this issue.
-Adam Scherba, Portland, OR
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.
From: Katy Wolf
To: Metro Climate Scenarios
Subject: Active Transportation should be priority to meet climate goals
Date: Tuesday, October 21, 2014 7:59:24 PM

I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I could continue with the cut/paste but I'm sure you're going to get a lot of that.

Basically: Down with roads, fossil fuel dependency, and business as usual.

Make changes now if you want to provide any kind of livable future for the next generation.

Sincerely,
Katy Wolf
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Regards,
Jeff Barna
From: Laura Nelson  
To: Metro Climate Scenarios  
Subject: Climate Smart Communities Feedback  
Date: Wednesday, October 22, 2014 12:32:06 PM

I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.
From: Stephen Bernal  
To: Metro Climate Scenarios  
Subject: Prioritize active transportation in Metro's climate plan  
Date: Wednesday, October 22, 2014 4:28:39 AM

I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

---

Stephen Bernal  
NE Portland
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also de-prioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Dianne Ensign
Portland, OR 97219
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region’s real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Tom

Thomas L. Jeanne, MD
PGY-3 Chief Resident, Preventive Medicine
MPH Student, Epidemiology & Biostatistics
Oregon Health & Science University
Portland Veterans Affairs Medical Center
608.628.6310
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

It's time to reverse the historic prioritization given to car users.

Thanks for your consideration of this note.
Dr Matthew C Morrissey
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also de-prioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region’s real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

--

Jennifer Noll
Assistant Professor
Fariborz Maseeh Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Portland State University
503-725-3643
noll@pdx.edu
Dear Oregon Metro,

I want to express my view that expanding mass transit and active transit options while simultaneously instituting disincentives for personal vehicle commuting is the best way Oregon Metro can positively impact our community's transit carbon footprint and reduce our contribution to global climate change.

Following is a letter drafted by the BTA, which I fully support.

I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Best Regards,

Drew Stevens
R&D Engineer
Lensbaby LLC.
Lensbaby.com
p 503.278.3292
From: Heidi Welte
To: Metro Climate Scenarios
Subject: Prioritize active transportation in Metro's climate plan
Date: Wednesday, October 22, 2014 6:00:19 PM

I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach. I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable. I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy. I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized. The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.
I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

-Mac Martine
503.929.0757
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Brian Lockhart

2416 NE 43rd Avenue

Portland, OR 97213
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region’s real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

"Everything you want is just outside your comfort zone."
R. Allen
I support the region investing more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

--

Bill Vollmer
cyclinguybill@gmail.com
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region’s real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Thank you,

Stephanie Byrd
SW Portland resident
Dear Metro:

Biking and walking go hand in hand with improved public transit. So while I want the Portland region to invest more in safe biking and walking options, this has to be paired with more accessible public transit. **TriMet should be fareless to all users on all (or most) routes.** Pay for it with increased taxes or by dedicating federal funding to the project.

Short of pulling people into active transportation by opening up public transit, I would support new **dedicated funding for active transportation.** Metro should dedicate all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and use estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

I also strongly believe that The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach **should not prioritize road widening and highway projects,** as these aren't worth it from a climate perspective. If anything, they would spur the wrong kinds of growth for our region. Instead, we should maintain our current roads, use them more intelligently, and dedicate funds towards creating a more flexible, equitable transportation system.

Sincerely,

John Carr

2918 SE 67th Ave.
Portland 97206
From: Carlotta Collette
Sent: Thursday, October 30, 2014 12:41 PM
To: Craig Dirksen; Kim Ellis; Peggy Morell
Subject: Fwd: Clackamas County Commission *seriously* wants to widen highways to "reduce" GHG emissions?!?

Comment on Climate Smart.

Carlotta

Begin forwarded message:

From: Tim Davis <pdxfan@gmail.com>
Date: October 30, 2014 at 9:40:07 AM PDT
To: Carlotta Collette <Carlotta.Collette@oregonmetro.gov>
Subject: Clackamas County Commission *seriously* wants to widen highways to "reduce" GHG emissions?!?

Dear Carlotta Collette,

This is Tim Davis, and I am appalled once again by the totally backward thinking coming out of Clackamas County. Building wider roads only creates MORE congestion and exacerbates climate change!!

Please, *please* don't take their ridiculous request seriously. This report is all you need to very clearly refute their insane claim with actual science: http://www.sightline.org/wp-content/uploads/downloads/2012/02/analysis-ghg-roads.pdf

I just cannot believe that our region continues to embrace 1950s thinking that's been proven not just incorrect but incredibly harmful both to the planet and everyone living on it. Our UGB is also obscenely large, by the way; there is absolutely no way that most of the land area added to the UGB in the last round should have been included.

We need to create a PEOPLE-friendly metro area--not one that's a slave to cars and parking. If we do so, we will actually benefit ALL people, including those who get from A to B solely by driving!

Thank you so much for your consideration,

Tim
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.

Sincerely,

Timothy Holdaway

Portland, 97206
Dear Commissioners and Planners,

Please stop making the car the king. I know most people rely on a car every day. But not nearly all of those people have to use a car, they choose to do so. If we invest more in walking biking and transit, then they will be easier choices to make. If we make mega highways that make it convenient for driving then people won't have incentive to take the slow underfunded bus. Please make the right decision.

Everyday I ride the bus home. It is full with 50 people. But we get stuck in traffic. Why? Personal vehicles with 1 person in them zooming off the freeway and past us into a traffic jam. Think about how much carbon we can offset if those people had other options than a new lane on freeway. We could instead build more rapid bus and separated safe bike lanes.

I urge you to do the right thing. We the people are watching. We the people do vote. We the people will remember. We want climate justice. We want freedom from the car is king world. As a disabled veteran from the current fiasco I can tell you it isn't worth our blood. Let's get healthy and moving the old fashioned way. Let's take a walk and think about what is right for everybody.

Thanks,

Eli Patton
I would like to provide feedback on the Climate Smart Communities Draft Approach.

I support the recommended investments to make transit more frequent, reliable, accessible, and affordable.

I want the region to invest more in making biking and walking safe and convenient. Biking and walking projects are inexpensive, create jobs, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and provide many other benefits to our health, neighborhood safety, livability, and economy.

I also support new dedicated funding for active transportation. Metro should lead by example by dedicating all eligible flexible federal funding to active transportation projects and using estimated climate benefits to determine which projects are prioritized.

The Climate Smart Communities Preferred Approach should also deprioritize road widening and highway projects, as the climate benefit analysis found that these expenditures would result in a less than one percent reduction in emissions. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the region's real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining our existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.
Appendix E.

Public comment period snapshot

November 2014
Draft Climate Smart Strategy
Public comment period snapshot

Over a 45-day period from Sept. 15 to Oct. 30, 2014, Metro asked residents of the Portland metropolitan region to look to the future. As the Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project nears its December finish line for drafting an approach to reducing greenhouse gas emissions by the 2035 target set by the Oregon Legislature, it reached out to local stakeholders and the public once again as part of an ongoing three-year engagement effort.

To reach new audiences, Facebook advertising and a series of video clips promoting the public comment period were integrated with traditional methods of newspaper ads and email alerts. As a result, 2,350 people took the online survey, generating almost 12,000 comments. Social media views topped 43,000, and 90 people took the time to review drafts of the proposed strategy and offer their line-edits and recommendations for implementation.

A summary of what was heard follows highlighting key themes that emerged from responses to the questions: Would you support more investment in our transportation system? What should be considered when deciding how to implement strategies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions? What message would you most like to convey to policymakers as they finalize their recommendation on a draft Climate Smart Strategy? A full report on the results of the public comment period will be available after Nov. 12, 2014, at oregonmetro.gov/draftapproach.

What should leaders know?
The top three themes of the 1,800 messages survey respondents sent to policymakers are:

- Invest more in transit, walking & biking.
- Have a bold vision for the future.
- Spend tax dollars wisely.
Should your community and our region invest more?

When asked, “Should your community and our region invest more in seven strategies that reduce greenhouse gas emissions and build healthy, equitable communities,” survey respondents voiced broad support for more investment in the region’s transportation system. The key themes that emerged from their almost 12,000 comments were consistent with results of past stakeholder engagement efforts.

To learn more about the Climate Smart Scenarios project, visit oregonmetro.gov/climatescenarios
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Appendix F.

Summary of recommended changes as of Dec. 3, 2014

This log reflects staff recommendations that are subject to review and refinement by Metro's technical and policy advisory committees. Recommendations may be change or refined through the advisory committee and adoption process.
Climate Smart Communities Scenarios Project

Summary of Recommended Changes

The public review drafts of the Climate Smart Strategy (Exhibit A), Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B), Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) and Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D) were released for final public review from Sept. 15 to Oct. 30, 2014. The Short List of Actions for 2015 and 2016 (Exhibit E) was developed from Exhibit C by TPAC and MTAC for consideration by MPAC, JPACT and the Metro Council.

Metro's technical and policy advisory committees discussed and identified potential refinements to the public review materials at their October and November meetings. Public agencies, advocacy groups and members of the public submitted comments in writing, through Metro's website and in testimony provided at a public hearing held by the Metro Council on Oct. 30, 2014.

This document summarizes recommended changes to respond to all substantive comments received during the comment period and subsequent advisory committee discussions. New wording is shown in **bold underline**; deleted words are **bold crossed out**. Wording in unbolded underline text was included in the public review drafts of each exhibit. Amendments identified below are reflected in Exhibits A-E to Ordinance No. 14-1346A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit Comment</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Add a description of the Statewide Transportation Strategy and state fleet and technology assumptions included in the Climate Smart Strategy in the document to provide broader context of the relationship of the Climate Smart Strategy to state actions.</td>
<td>Angus Duncan, Drive Oregon</td>
<td>10/2/14, 10/28/14</td>
<td>Amend Exhibit A as requested to add a description of the Statewide Transportation Strategy and state fleet and technology assumptions included in the Climate Smart Strategy. In addition, the Toolbox of Possible Actions identifies specific actions that the state, Metro, local government and special districts are encouraged to take to support Oregon's transition to cleaner, low carbon fuels, more fuel-efficient vehicles and transit fleet upgrades.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Support state efforts to transition to cleaner, low carbon fuels, more fuel-efficient vehicles and transit fleet upgrades.</td>
<td>Oregon Health Authority</td>
<td>10/7/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit A. See also recommendation for Comment #15 in Exhibit B comments section. Comments 3 and 4 have been forward to the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) project team. The next scheduled update to the RTP will provide the forum for reviewing the plan's investment priorities within the context of updated financial assumptions, a new growth forecast, updated ODOT, TriMet and local TSP priorities, new policy guidance from the state or federal level, and the more comprehensive set of outcomes the RTP is working to achieve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Support active transportation and transit levels of investment, but deprioritize road widening and highways projects given the relative low greenhouse gas emissions reduction. Recommending $20.8 billion of spending on road projects likely overstates the regions real road funding priority, which is fixing and maintaining existing roads, not building new or expanded roads and highways.</td>
<td>BTA and 45 community members</td>
<td>10/21-10/30/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit A. See also recommendation for Comment #15 in Exhibit B comments section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Prioritize expanding transit and providing travel information and incentives to reduce VMT and encourage active modes.</td>
<td>Oregon Health Authority</td>
<td>10/7/14</td>
<td>Updated ODOT, TriMet and local TSP priorities, new policy guidance from the state or federal level, and the more comprehensive set of outcomes the RTP is working to achieve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rather than a blanket statement of prioritizing transit, local governments within transportation corridors needs to prioritize improvements. While transit may be a priority where there is a complete road network, in other locations completing road connections may be a prerequisite to transit. Simply stating that transit is a funding priority is too simplistic given the diversity and complexity of the region.</td>
<td>City of Hillsboro</td>
<td>10/30/14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments On the Climate Smart Strategy (Exhibit A)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 6  | Climate Smart Strategy (Exhibit A) | Adding High Capacity Transit (HCT) in Tigard will NOT significantly reduce congestion now or in the future. | John Smith     | 9/19/14   | *No change recommended to Exhibit A.*  
This comment has been forwarded to the Southwest Corridor project team for consideration in the planning process currently underway. SW Corridor Study recommendations will be incorporated in the Regional Transportation Plan. |
| 7  | Climate Smart Strategy (Exhibit A) | 20% by 2035 is ridiculous too slow. We should be doing 20% by 2015. The Germans have reduced their emissions by 25%. The planet is cooking. By 2035, will we even be here? How can we speed this up? Set higher reductions. | Karen Davis    | 9/19/14   | *No change recommended to Exhibit A.*  
The Climate Smart Strategy, when implemented, will result in a 29% reduction by 2035. |
| 8  | Climate Smart Strategy (Exhibit A) | Adopt and implement investments and strategies that reduce per capita VMT from 130 to less than 107 miles per week. | Oregon Health Authority | 10/7/14   | *No change needed to Exhibit A.*  
The Climate Smart Strategy as proposed is expected to achieve these VMT per capita reductions when implemented. |
| 9  | Climate Smart Strategy (Exhibit A) | Protect communities who live, work and attend school near highways and major roads through siting, design and/or mechanical systems that reduce indoor pollution. | Oregon Health Authority | 10/7/14   | *No change recommended to Exhibit A.*  
This comment has been forwarded to RTP project staff for consideration in the next scheduled plan update.  
While this is an important issue that needs to be addressed, policies and best practices should be developed through other efforts such as the Regional Transportation Plan. Noise pollution is another related issue. |
| 10 | Climate Smart Strategy (Exhibit A) | Commuter rail between Salem and Portland is needed; existing vanpools are not frequent enough and get stuck in traffic. | Mike DeBlasi   | 10/16/14  | *No change recommended to Exhibit A.*  
This strategy is identified in the Toolbox of Possible Actions (Exhibit B). The 2014 RTP and Oregon Statewide Transportation Strategy (STS) includes a policy to support expanded commuter rail and intercity transit service to neighboring communities. Analysis completed in 2010 as part of the High Capacity Transit (HCT) plan showed the Portland to Salem/Keizer area as the most promising of the commuter rail corridors evaluated. Responding to House Bill 2408, ODOT and other partners are currently developing proposals to improve the speed, frequency and reliability of passenger rail service in this corridor and beyond. Improvements are anticipated in the 2017-2020 time period. More information can be found at http://www.oregonpassengerrail.org |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Climate Smart Strategy (Exhibit A)</td>
<td>10/27/14, 10/30/14, 10/30/14</td>
<td>Amend Exhibit A as follows: Include references on the expected climate impacts in Oregon and the need for both mitigation and adaptation strategies. In addition, updates to Metro's Best Practices in Street Design handbooks in 2015 and the next RTP update present opportunities to further address climate preparation as it relates to transportation infrastructure. Staff will begin scoping the work plan for the next scheduled update to the RTP in 2015. The update is expected to occur over multiple years in order to address federal and state planning requirements and policy considerations and engagement recommendations identified through the Climate Smart Communities effort and the 2014 RTP update.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Climate Smart Strategy (Exhibit A)</td>
<td>10/22/14</td>
<td>Amend Exhibit A as follows: Clarify the transit element allows for local or supplemental service such as the South Metro Area Regional Transit (SMART) district and the GroveLink service in Forest Grove to complement regional transit service. In this example, Ride Connection partnered with TriMet and the city of Forest Grove to operate this supplemental local service. The service need was identified through TriMet's Westside Service Enhancement Plan effort and past planning by the City of Forest Grove. TriMet will continue working with local governments, businesses and other partners to develop a SEP for other parts of the region that identify and prioritize opportunities to improve bus service as well as pedestrian and bike access to transit. SEP recommendations will be addressed as part of the next update to the RTP. More information about the SEPs can be found at future.trimet.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Climate Smart Strategy (Exhibit A)</td>
<td>The Climate Smart Strategy, Toolbox, Performance Monitoring and Early actions should all be aligned to prioritize investments in transit and active transportation. These investments will have the greatest greenhouse gas emissions reductions, provide multiple social, environmental and economic benefits and have strong public support.</td>
<td>Transportation Justice Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Climate Smart Strategy (Exhibit A)</td>
<td>Maintain an emphasis on increased highway capacity as a method of reducing greenhouse gas emissions and ensure the region has the ability to continue investing in highway capacity</td>
<td>Clackamas County Board of Commissioners, City of Happy Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Climate Smart Strategy</td>
<td>Funding of the strategy needs more explanation to ensure the project meets OAR 660-044-0040(2)(i) given that the strategy relies on new investments and funding sources to meet the target. It is important for the region to not over commit funding we do not have.</td>
<td>City of Hillsboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Climate Smart Strategy</td>
<td>Concern that future funding will be directed by what supports Metro goals, not local goals. Need a better roadmap of future funding discussions and who/how priorities will be determined if region is not able to secure funding needed to implement strategy. Should not pursue new projects; focus on funding existing priorities</td>
<td>Mayor Tim Knapp, Cities of Clackamas County Dick Jones, Clackamas County Special Districts Jim Bernards, Clackamas County Commissioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Climate Smart Strategy (Exhibit A)</td>
<td>Remove greenhouse gas emissions reduction star ratings from document</td>
<td>Jim Bernards, Clackamas County Commissioner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The generalized climate benefit ratings were developed to provide qualitative information for policymakers to consider when comparing the different strategies and investments under discussion. The ODOT model used for the Climate Smart Communities analysis (and that ODOT used for their Statewide Transportation Strategy) accounts for the synergies between the policy areas and other variables, including vehicle miles traveled (VMT), fuel consumption, fleet mix, vehicle technology as well as the location of future growth.

It is important to note that the ratings are consistent with national and academic research that has been completed by others, including the University of California. The UC research, in particular, was developed in partnership with the California Air Resources Board to inform similar GHG planning work being conducted by each of California’s MPOs and reflects the most current research on this particular topic. Policy briefs are also available at: http://arb.ca.gov/cc/sb375/policies/policies.htm
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Climate Smart Strategy (Exhibit A)</td>
<td>Urban growth boundary assumptions (12,000 acres) included in the draft strategy seems overly large given the amount of time it has taken to make past expansions development-ready</td>
<td>Jeff Gudman, City of Lake Oswego</td>
<td>11/7/14</td>
<td>No change to Exhibit A recommended. This assumption was included in the 2035 growth distribution adopted by the Metro Council in 2012 by Ordinance No. 12-1292A and was used for purposes of analysis to serve as the land use assumptions to reflect “adopted local and regional land use plans.” A footnote at the bottom of Page 10 of the staff report states “The adopted 2035 growth distribution reflects locally adopted comprehensive plans and zoning as of 2010 and assumes an estimated 12,000 acres of urban growth boundary expansion by 2035. Metro’s assumption about UGB expansion is not intended as a land use decision authorizing an amendment through this ordinance. Instead, the assumption about UGB expansion is included for purposes of analysis to assure that UGB expansion – if subsequently adopted by Metro and approved by LCDC – would be consistent with regional efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Review of any UGB expansion will occur through the UGB Amendment process provided for by ORS 197.626(a) and OAR Chapter 660, Division 24.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Climate Smart Strategy (Exhibit A)</td>
<td>Strategy lacks commitment to addressing congestion and funding road projects as part of the region’s greenhouse gas emissions reduction strategy</td>
<td>Paul Savas, Clackamas County Commissioner</td>
<td>11/7/14</td>
<td>This is addressed in part in the staff recommendation on Comment #14 of this section. Additional context on the region’s approach to managing congestion is provided below in response to November 7 discussion. The region’s congestion management approach was developed in 2000, as part of the Regional Transportation Plan update, and includes all of the policies, investments and strategies recommended in the Climate Smart Strategy, including strategically adding capacity to the region’s arterial streets and highways. The Climate Smart Strategy, including nearly $21 billion to maintain and expand the existing arterial street and highway network, $12.4 billion for transit capital and service enhancements, $2 billion for active transportation and $400 million for system and demand management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Climate Smart Strategy (Exhibit A)</td>
<td>Add implementing local transportation system plans to toolbox and strategy</td>
<td>Paul Savas, Clackamas County Commissioner</td>
<td>11/7/14</td>
<td>Amend toolbox (Exhibit C) as requested and amend Exhibit A to more clearly describe that local transportation system plans (and local land use plans) are components of the Climate Smart Strategy. See also recommendation on Comment #63 in the Exhibit C section.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

End of comments and recommended changes to Exhibit A
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 1, page 2, Objective 1.1.4 - revise to read &quot;Incent and encourage elimination of unnecessary barriers to compact, mixed-use, pedestrian-friendly and transit-supportive development within Centers, Corridors, Station Communities and Main Streets.&quot;</td>
<td>Mayor Neeley, MPAC member</td>
<td>10/22/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 1, page 2, Objective 1.1.4 - revise to read &quot;Encourage elimination of unnecessary barriers to compact, mixed-use, pedestrian- and bicycle-friendly and transit-supportive development within Centers, Corridors, Station Communities and Main Streets.&quot; for consistency with 2014 RTP policy language.</td>
<td>Metro staff</td>
<td>10/22/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 1, page 3, Objective 1.10.(c)(ii) - revise to read &quot;Makes biking and walking the most convenient and safe and enjoyable transportation choices for short trips, encourages transit use and reduces auto dependence and related greenhouse gas emissions&quot; for consistency with 2014 RTP policy language.</td>
<td>Metro staff</td>
<td>10/22/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 1, page 3, Objective 1.10.(c)(iii) - revise to read &quot;Provides access to neighborhood and community parks, trails, walkways, bikeways and other recreation and cultural areas and public facilities&quot; for consistency with 2014 RTP policy language.</td>
<td>Metro staff</td>
<td>10/22/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 1, page 3, Objective 1.10.(c)(iii) - revise to read &quot;Provides access to neighborhood and community parks, trails, schools, and walkways, and other recreation and cultural areas and public facilities&quot; to acknowledge the importance of providing access to schools.</td>
<td>Ruth Adkins, MPAC member</td>
<td>10/22/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 2, page 3, revise 6th bullet to read, &quot;Provide access to more and better choices for travel in this region and serve special access needs for all people, including youth, elderly, seniors and disabled people with disabilities and low incomes,&quot; for consistency with 2014 RTP policy language.</td>
<td>Metro staff</td>
<td>10/22/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 2, page 3, revise 10th bullet to read, &quot;Make walking and bicycling the most safe and convenient, safe and enjoyable transportation choices for short trips,&quot; for consistency with 2014 RTP policy language.</td>
<td>Metro staff</td>
<td>10/22/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 2, page 3, revise 11th bullet to read, &quot;Limit dependence on any single mode of driving alone and increase biking, walking, carpooling and vanpooling and use of transit,&quot; to provide more clarity.</td>
<td>Metro staff</td>
<td>10/22/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 2, page 4, revise objective 2.1 to read, &quot;Provide for reliable and efficient multi-modal local, regional, interstate and intrastate travel and market area access through a seamless and well-connected system of throughways, arterial streets, freight services, transit services and bicycle and pedestrian facilities.&quot; to recognize importance of local travel and accessibility.</td>
<td>Metro staff</td>
<td>10/22/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 2, page 5, revise objective 3.3 to read, &quot;Provide affordable and equitable access to travel choices and serve the needs of all people and businesses, including people with low incomes, children, youth, elders, and people with disabilities, to connect with jobs, education, services, recreation, social and cultural activities.&quot; for consistency with 2014 RTP policy language.</td>
<td>Metro staff</td>
<td>10/22/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 2, Page 8, Objective 11.1 - Delete last bullet on demonstrating leadership on climate change given it is repetitive with the goal statement.</td>
<td>MTAC</td>
<td>10/15/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 2, Page 8, Objective 11.1 - Delete reference to “regional plans and functional plans adopted by the Metro Council for local governments” because this is already defined in Chapter 8 (Implementation) of the RFP.</td>
<td>MTAC</td>
<td>10/15/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 2, Page 8, Objective 11.1 - Add reference to alternative fuel vehicles and fueling stations as part of supporting Oregon’s transition to cleaner, low carbon fuels and more fuel efficient vehicle technologies.</td>
<td>MTAC</td>
<td>10/15/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 2, Page 8, Objective 11.1 - Revise sub-bullet listed under 3rd bullet to read &quot;Making biking, walking, the safest and most convenient, safe and enjoyable transportation choices for short trips and for all ages and abilities by completing gaps and addressing deficiencies in the region’s pedestrian and bicycle networks of sidewalks and bike paths that connect people to their jobs, schools, and other destinations,&quot; for consistency with 2014 RTP policy language.</td>
<td>Metro staff</td>
<td>10/22/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 2, Page 8, Objective 11.2 - Policy language should be more direct and aspirational about linkages between the policies that reduce greenhouse gas emissions and Metro funding, such as the Community Development Grant Program and Regional Flexible Fund Allocation (RFFA) process. Use GHG emissions reduction as a filter for awarding funding to demonstrate leadership on climate change.</td>
<td>Community leaders meeting, MTAC, 1000 Friends of Oregon</td>
<td>10/1/14, 10/15/14, 10/22/14</td>
<td>No change to Exhibit B recommended. This comment has been forwarded to the Metro staff responsible for the Community Development Grant Program (CDPG) and Regional Flexible Fund Allocation (RFFA) processes. Chapter 8 of the Framework Plan provides language linking policies and funding. Specifically Section 8.2.1 states that “In formulating the Regional Funding and Fiscal Policies, the following should be considered: (a) General regional funding and fiscal policies which support implementation of this Plan and related functional plans including but not limited to a policy requiring Metro, in approving or commenting on the expenditure of regional, state, and federal monies in the metropolitan area, to give priority to programs, projects and expenditures that support implementation if this Plan and related functional plans unless there are compelling reasons to do otherwise.” Additionally, the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program 2015-18 Report states “Efforts currently being undertaken at the federal level and in the… region will become policy frameworks to provide direction for future cycles of the MTIP.” Climate Smart Communities is identified as one of the policy frameworks and “The development of the next MTIP cycle will incorporate recommended strategies from the Climate Smart Communities project.” JPACT and the Metro Council provide policy direction for prioritizing allocation of the federal flexible funds at the beginning of each RFFA cycle. The next CBDG cycle and RFFA cycle (and policy update) will begin in 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 2, Page 9, Objective 11.2 – delete bullet with reference to the Oregon Modeling Steering Committee because this seems to be unnecessary detail for a policy document.</td>
<td>MTAC</td>
<td>10/15/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments</td>
<td>Chapter 2, Page 9, Objective 11.3 – add reference to Toolbox of Possible Actions in policy statement and delete sub-bullets listing examples of possible actions because the actions are voluntary and could appear to be defacto priorities or criteria for funding eligibility. In addition, the level of policy detail for Goal 11 is much greater than other Chapter 2 goals and objectives. Add language to the Regional Framework Plan amendments to more clearly articulate the ability to &quot;locally tailor&quot; implementation tools identified in the Toolbox of Possible Actions.</td>
<td>MTAC members, Clackamas County Board of Commissioners, City of Hillsboro, City of Happy Valley, TPAC, MTAC</td>
<td>10/15/14, 10/22/14, 10/30/14, 11/19/14, 11/21/14</td>
<td>Amend Exhibit B, Objective 11.2 and 11.3 as follows: Objective 11.9 Metro Actions Take actions to implement the regional strategy to meet adopted targets for reducing greenhouse gas emissions from light-duty vehicle travel, such as: i. Maintain and periodically update a toolbox of possible actions and encourage local, state and federal governments and special districts to implement the toolbox actions in locally tailored ways. ii. Work with local, state and federal governments, community and business leaders and organizations, and special districts to implement the strategy, including securing adequate funding for transportation and other investments needed to implement the strategy. iii. Provide technical assistance, best practices and grant funding to local governments and other business and community partners to encourage and support implementation of the strategy. iv. Report on the potential light-duty vehicle greenhouse gas emissions impacts of Metro’s major land use and RTP policy and investment decisions to determine whether they help the region meet adopted targets for reducing greenhouse gas emissions. v. Monitor and measure the progress of local and regional efforts to meet adopted targets for reducing greenhouse gas emissions from light-duty vehicle travel as described in Chapter 7 of the Regional Framework Plan, report the results to the region and state on a periodic basis, and guide the consideration of revision or replacement of the policies and actions, if performance so indicates, as part of scheduled updates to the Regional Transportation Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments</td>
<td>Chapter 2, Page 9, Objective 11.3 – add reference to safe routes to school programs to list of possible actions.</td>
<td>Ruth Adkins, MPAC member</td>
<td>10/22/14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments</td>
<td>Chapter 2, Page 9, Objective 11.3 – retain but shorten the list of example actions and revise the language to read, “Encourage local, state and federal governments and special districts to take actions recommended in the Toolbox of Possible Actionsregional climate strategy to help meet adopted targets for reducing greenhouse gas emissions from light vehicle travel, including such as...”</td>
<td>MPAC members</td>
<td>10/22/14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For context, Chapter 2 of the Framework Plan reflects the goals and objectives included in Chapter 2 of the Regional Transportation Plan exactly, which provides less policy detail than other
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 7 (Management), page 8, to incorporate performance measures recommended to be tracked every two years as part of required reporting that responds to ORS 197.301. OAR 660-044-0040 requires that the preferred scenario include performance measures. The preferred scenario is to be adopted as part of the Regional Framework Plan, and, as a result, performance measures also need to be “adopted” as part of the Regional Framework Plan.</td>
<td>Metro staff in consultation with DLCD staff</td>
<td>10/23/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested. See recommendation on comment #21 on Exhibit B in this section. Performance measures recommended to be added to Section 7.8.4 are: vehicle miles traveled; motor vehicles, pedestrian and bicycle fatalities and serious injury crashes; transit revenue hours; transit ridership; access to transit; travel time and reliability; and air quality. Other performance measures, including greenhouse gas emissions, are recommended to be reported as part of scheduled updates to the Regional Transportation Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Delete Objective 11.4 in Exhibit B and add to Chapter 7 (Management), Page 8, to add new objective that reads &quot;Monitor the following performance measures for Chapter 1 and 2 of this Plan as part of scheduled updates to the Regional Transportation Plan: (a) light duty vehicle greenhouse gas emissions; (b) household transportation/housing cost burden; (c) registered light duty vehicles by fuel/energy source; (d) workforce participation in commuter programs; (e) household participation in individualized marketing programs; (f) bike and pedestrian travel; (g) bikeways, sidewalks and trails completed.&quot;</td>
<td>Metro staff in consultation with DLCD staff</td>
<td>10/23/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested. In addition amend policy 7.8.6 to read as follows: 7.8.6 Take corrective actions if anticipated progress is found to be lacking or if Metro goal and policies need adjustment—in order to allow adjustments—soon after any problem arises and so that relatively stable conditions can be maintained.&quot; Measures not currently monitored as part of federally-required RTP updates will be incorporated into the plan as part of the next scheduled update (due in 2018) in coordination with other performance measure updates needed to address federal MAP-21 requirements related to performance-based long-range transportation planning. In addition, this is a more appropriate location to direct monitoring and reporting on the progress of local and regional efforts to meet adopted targets for reducing greenhouse gas emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 2, Page 9, Objective 11.3 - require, rather than encourage, climate responsive actions listed.</td>
<td>Oregon American Planning Association</td>
<td>10/29/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Existing Metro functional plans, first adopted in 1996, already identify land use and transportation actions that local governments must implement that will help implement the Climate Smart Strategy. As noted, implementation of the Toolbox of Possible Actions does not mandate adoption of any particular policy or action and instead was developed with the recognition that existing city and county plans for creating great communities are the foundation for reaching the state target. Implementation actions in the toolbox are encouraged and allow local flexibility in how, when and where different actions may be applied, recognizing that some tools and actions may work better in some locations than others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Chapter 1, larger issues of community design and jobs/housing balance appear unaddressed in the Regional Framework Plan. Opportunities for housing near job rich locations is important to reduce commute distances and demand on the region's roadways.</td>
<td>City of Wilsonville</td>
<td>10/30/14</td>
<td>Amend Exhibit B, Chapter 1, page 10, Policy 1.10.1, as follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;iv) Reinforces nodal, mixed-use, neighborhood-oriented community designs to provide walkable access to a mix of destinations to support meeting daily needs, such as jobs, education, shopping, services, transit and recreation, social and cultural activities.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Amend Framework Plan, Chapter 1, page 4, Policy 1.3.2(c) as follows: Allow affordable housing, particularly in Centers and Corridors and other areas well-served with public services and frequent transit service.&quot;</td>
<td>Staff recommendatio n on Comment #4 in Exhibit C section</td>
<td>10/30/14</td>
<td>Amend as recommended.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Too much detail included in the Chapter 2 Regional Framework Plan amendments, compared to existing goals and objectives Remove the toolbox sub-bullets listed in Chapter 2, Policy 11.3</td>
<td>Susie Lahsene, Port of Portland Paul Savas, Clackamas County Commissioner</td>
<td>11/7/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested by re-drafting Goal 11 to better fit with structure of existing goals and objectives, remove the toolbox sub-bullets and further amend Objective 11.10 Partner Actions to include language to reflect the living document expectations for the “Toolbox of Possible Actions” and expectations it will be updated to reflect new information and approaches. See also recommendations on Comments #17-19 in this section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Policy language not strong enough on influence of land use on transportation and importance of jobs/housing balance as a greenhouse gas emissions reduction strategy</td>
<td>Mayor Tim Knapp, Cities of Clackamas County Mayor Doug Neeley, City of Oregon City</td>
<td>11/7/14</td>
<td>This is addressed in part in the staff recommendation on Comment #23 in this section as follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amend Exhibit B, Chapter 1, page 10, Policy 1.10.1, as follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;iv) Reinforces nodal, mixed-use, neighborhood-oriented community designs to provide walkable access to a mix of destinations to support meeting daily needs, such as jobs, education, shopping, services, transit and recreation, social and cultural activities.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In addition, other Framework Plan policies currently address jobs/housing balance, including Chapter 1, Policy 1.4.2, that were not included in the public review document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Balance the number and wage level of jobs within each subregion with housing cost and availability within that subregion. Strategies are to be coordinated with the planning and implementation activities of this element with Policy 1.3, Housing Choices and Opportunities and Policy 1.8, Developed Urban Land.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Language needs to call out incentivizing the kind of development needed to support implementation</td>
<td>Mayor Doug Neeley, City of Oregon City</td>
<td>11/7/14</td>
<td>This is addressed in the recommendation on Comment #1 on in this section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B)</td>
<td>Amend Policy 1.7.5(a) and (d) of Chapter 1 of the Regional Framework Plan to reflect that planning for new urban areas can also help further the region’s efforts in reducing greenhouse gas emissions:</td>
<td>Metro staff</td>
<td>11/14/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested. See recommendation on Comment #58 in the Exhibit C section of this document.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;a. Help achieve livable communities and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;d. Determine the general urban land uses, key local and regional multi-modal transportation facilities and prospective components of the regional system of parks, natural areas...&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 29 | Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B) | | Metro staff | 11/14/14 | Amend page 1 of Chapter 1 and Chapter 2 of the Regional Framework Plan to add the following sentence:  
"The policies in this chapter are also a key component of the regional strategy to reduce per capita greenhouse gas emissions from light-duty vehicles."  
This change further clarifies that the existing (and amended) policies in this Plan are a key part of the region's strategy for meeting OAR 660-044. |
| 30 | Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B) | Objective 1.10.1(c ), Chapter 2, page 3 (10th bullet) and Objective 11.4 – change making biking and walking the “most convenient . . .” (which is a bit of a stretch), to “more convenient . . .” (same where it says “safest,” change to “safer”). | City of Hillsboro, City of Beaverton | 11/24/14, 11/24/14 | No further changes recommended as this language reflects policy language adopted in the 2014 RTP. See also staff recommendation on Comments #3, #7 and #14. |
| 31 | Regional Framework Plan Amendments (Exhibit B) | Page 6, Chapter 1, Policy 1.4.2 This policy appears to be a bit of an overreach. What mechanism is at the region's disposal to directly “balance the number and wage level of jobs within each sub-region” Consider adding: "Promote policies that seek to" balance the number.. | City of Beaverton | 11/24/14 | No change recommended. This is existing policy language and the proposed change goes beyond the scope of the Climate Smart Strategy implementation. This comment has been forwarded to long-range land use planning staff for consideration as part of future updates to the Regional Framework Plan. |

End of comments and recommended changes to Exhibit B
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit Comment</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) Page 1, implement 2040 Growth Concept and local adopted plans, under Metro actions, add an action that calls out that 2018 RTP update will be a tool to implement the Climate Smart Strategy.</td>
<td>1000 Friends of Oregon</td>
<td>10/22/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested. This is also called out in the legislation adopting the Climate Smart Strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) Page 1, implement 2040 Growth Concept and local adopted plans policy, revise language &quot;Restore local control of housing policies and programs&quot; to ensure that it's about achieving housing affordability, not just restoring local control. Be explicit about need for removal of statewide ban on inclusionary zoning.</td>
<td>Community leaders meeting, Oregon Environmental Council, 1000 Friends of Oregon, Coalition for a Livable Future, Transportation Justice Alliance</td>
<td>10/1/14, 10/15/14, 10/22/14, 10/30/14, 10/30/14</td>
<td>Amend toolbox actions as follows: &quot;Restore local control of housing policies and programs to ensure local communities have a full range of tools available to meet the housing needs of all residents and income levels and expand opportunities for households of modest means to live closer to work, services and transit.&quot; This change will be reflected in Metro, local government and special district actions. In addition, Policy 1.3.5 in Chapter 1 of the Regional Framework Plan encourages local governments to consider a range of tools and strategies to achieve affordable housing goals, including a voluntary inclusionary zoning policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) Page 1, implement 2040 Growth Concept and local adopted plans policy, too broad of a spectrum of policies have been identified in some toolbox actions. The Climate Smart Strategy should not be used as a cure all for any perceived shortcomings in the land use regulatory system - for example connection to brownfield redevelopment and removal of statewide ban on inclusionary zoning.</td>
<td>City of Hillsboro</td>
<td>10/30/14</td>
<td>No change to Exhibit C recommended. Chapter 1 of Regional Framework Plan (Policy 1.3) includes these types of policies as ways to support implementing the 2040 Growth Concept - a key component of the Climate Smart Strategy. The toolbox actions identified are intended to support these existing policies and addresses implementation issues that have been consistently raised by community stakeholders throughout the Climate Smart Communities effort.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 1, implement 2040 Growth Concept and local adopted plans policy, under Metro actions, add new action to leverage Metro and the region's public investments to maintain and create affordable housing in transit-served areas.</td>
<td>1000 Friends of Oregon</td>
<td>10/22/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 1, implement 2040 Growth Concept and local adopted plans policy, under Metro actions, add new action to support increased funding for affordable housing, particularly along frequent transit lines.</td>
<td>Coalition for a Livable Future, Transportation Justice Alliance</td>
<td>10/30/14, 10/30/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 1, implement 2040 Growth Concept and local adopted plans policy, under Metro actions, add new action &quot;Ensure major investments in transit and other community development projects are accompanied with policies that protect against economic displacement of lower-income residents.&quot;</td>
<td>1000 Friends of Oregon</td>
<td>10/22/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 2, implement 2040 Growth Concept and local adopted plans policy, under Metro actions, add an action to implement the 2040 Growth Concept's Climate Smart Strategies in the 2018 RTP.</td>
<td>Safe Routes to School National Partnership TPAC, MTAC</td>
<td>10/28/14, 11/19/14, 11/21/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 2, implement 2040 Growth Concept and local adopted plans policy, under Metro actions, add an action to provide guidance to cities and counties on location of new schools, services, shopping and other health promoting resources and community destinations close to neighborhoods.</td>
<td>Safe Routes to School National Partnership</td>
<td>10/28/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 1, implement 2040 Growth Concept and local adopted plans policy, under Metro actions, revise 2nd near-term bullet to read &quot;Expand on-going technical assistance and grant funding to local governments, developers and others to advance implementation of local land use plans, and incorporate...&quot;</td>
<td>Metro staff</td>
<td>10/24/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 2, transit policy, revise last sub-bullet under development of TriMet SEPs to read, &quot;Consider Use ridership demographics in service planning.&quot; This revision should be reflected in bullet under local government and special district actions.</td>
<td>Community leaders meeting and 1000 Friends of Oregon</td>
<td>10/1/14, 10/22/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 2, transit policy, under Metro actions, move &quot;Research and develop best practices to support equitable growth and development...&quot; to immediate time period.</td>
<td>Community leaders meeting, 1000 Friends of Oregon</td>
<td>10/1/14, 10/22/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 2, transit policy, under Metro actions, immediate term, delete 2nd bullet &quot;Consider local funding mechanism(s) for local and regional transit service.&quot; This is already listed under the first action.</td>
<td>City of Hillsboro</td>
<td>10/30/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 2, transit policy, under Metro actions, add an action to implement the transit actions in the Climate Smart Strategy in the 2018 RTP.</td>
<td>1000 Friends of Oregon, Safe Routes to School National Partnership</td>
<td>10/22/14, 10/28/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Convert school bus and transit fleets to electric and/or natural gas buses to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and youth exposure to diesel and other emissions from existing fleets.</td>
<td>Craig Stephens, City of Wilsonville</td>
<td>9/18/14, 10/30/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 2, transit policy, add new actions: &quot;Fund reduced fare programs and service improvements for transit dependent communities such as youth, older adults, people with disabilities and low-income families. Expand and sustain Youth Pass program, including expanding routes and frequency along school corridors.&quot;</td>
<td>Safe Routes to School National Partnership</td>
<td>10/28/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 16 | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)                       | Page 2, transit policy, add the following new actions to recognize the emissions reductions can come from electric transit vehicles or other low carbon alternative fuels: "Support transit partners in seeking federal grant funds for electric buses;" "Seek increased state funding for electric buses;" and "Increased funding flexibility to allow for greater upfront capital spending on electric buses if those expenses are offset by operating savings." | Drive Oregon, City of Wilsonville         | 10/28/14, 10/30/14 | Amend to add the following new actions given that some transit vehicles do weigh less than 10,000 pounds:  
  "Support transit partners in seeking federal grant funds for electric and other low-carbon alternative fuel buses;"  
  "Seek increased state funding for electric and other low-carbon alternative fuel buses;" and  
  "Seek increased funding flexibility to allow for greater upfront capital spending on electric and other low-carbon alternative fuel buses if those expenses are offset by operating savings." |
| 17 | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)                       | Pages 3 and 4, expand bullets on using green street design to not only call out planting trees to support carbon sequestration and using materials that reduce infrastructure-related heat gain. Add reference to green street designs for capturing, absorbing and cleaning stormwater and making more use of pervious, rather than impervious, surface materials. These strategies will help the region save money and adapt to the unwelcome effects of climate change. | Oregon Environmental Council, Urban Greenspaces Institute, Coalition for a Livable Future | 10/15/14, 10/27/14, 10/30/14 | No change to Exhibit C recommended. These benefits are important for the reasons stated. This comment has been forwarded to the Metro staff responsible for updating the region's best practices handbooks for street design with a recommendation to link the broader stormwater benefits of green street designs to climate adaptation strategies that will complement the greenhouse gas emissions reduction strategies identified through this project. The handbooks are scheduled to be updated in the 2015-16 time period. The update is listed as an immediate action in Exhibit C. |
| 18 | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)                       | Page 3, biking and walking policy, add new immediate action for local governments - "Complete an inventory of sidewalk/bike lane gaps to help prioritize where limited funding could best be directed to encourage multi-modal movement." | City of Hillsboro                         | 9/24/14       | Amend as follows:  
  "Review community inventory of sidewalk and bike lane gaps and deficiencies to help prioritize where limited funding could best be directed to encourage multi-modal movement."  
  The Transportation Planning Rule and Regional Transportation Functional Plan already require local governments to complete an inventory of bicycle and pedestrian facilities as part of their adopted local transportation system plan. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 19 | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) | Page 3, biking and walking policy, under Metro actions, add an action to implement the bicycle and pedestrian actions in the Climate Smart Strategy in the 2018 RTP. | 1000 Friends of Oregon | 10/22/14 | "Amend as requested as follows:
Add a new action under demonstrate Climate leadership that reads "Review and evaluate Climate Smart Strategy investments and actions for adoption in the 2018 RTP."
This amendments reflects the overall strategy will be further implemented through the 2018 RTP update as part of the process and in coordination with other policy considerations to be addressed as part of the update. |
| 20 | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) | Page 3, biking and walking policy, add new Metro action: "Complete a region-wide active transportation needs assessment, including needs around schools and access to transit." | National Safe Routes to School Partnership | 10/28/14 | Amend as follows:
add Metro action (near term) that reads, "Update the Regional Active Transportation Plan needs assessment in the 2018 RTP."
add cities and counties action (near term) "Conduct needs assessments for schools and access to transit during updates to TSPs and other plans." |
| 21 | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) | Page 3, biking and walking policy, add new Metro action: "Build a diverse coalition working together to build and monitor local and state commitment to implement and fund the Regional Active Transportation Plan, including Safe Routes to Schools and Safe Routes to Transit." | National Safe Routes to School Partnership | 10/28/14 | Amend as follows, under Metro actions:
"Build and monitor local and state commitment to implement the Active Transportation Plan, and Safe Routes to Schools and Safe Routes to Transit."
Monitoring would occur through periodic updates to the Regional Transportation Plan. Funding active transportation is addressed in a separate action in the funding portion of the toolbox. |
| 22 | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) | Page 3, biking and walking policy, add new actions to recognize potential role of electric bikes in the future: "Simplify and clarify policy on e-bike use of bike lanes and other infrastructure;" "Clarify that e-bikes are part of the region's active transportation strategy;" and "Fund pilot project to test the efficacy of e-bikes in attracting new riders." | Drive Oregon | 10/28/14 | Amend as follows:
"Simplify and clarify policy on e-bike use of bike lanes and other infrastructure;" "Clarify that e-bikes are part of the region's active transportation strategy." and "Partner with Portland State University to develop a pilot project to test the efficacy of e-bikes in attracting new riders." |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 23 | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)                       | Page 3, biking and walking policy, under Metro actions, add an action to prioritize or commit regional flexible funds to active transportation.                                                                 | 1000 Friends of Oregon, John Carr, National Safe Routes to School Partnership, Coalition for a Livable Future | 10/22/14, 10/27/14, 10/28/14, 10/30/14 | **No change recommended to Exhibit C. See also recommendation on Comment #15 in the Exhibit B section.**
|    |                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                               |                 | This comment has been forwarded to the Metro staff responsible for the Regional Flexible Fund Allocation (RFFA) process. JPACT and the Metro Council provide policy direction for prioritizing allocation of the federal flexible funds at the beginning of each RFFA cycle. The next RFFA cycle (and policy update) will begin in 2015. |
| 24 | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)                       | Page 3, biking and walking policy, under Metro actions, add an action to use the Climate Smart Strategy as a filter for evaluating individual transportation projects to construct or widen major roads and arterials.            | 1000 Friends of Oregon, National Safe Routes to School Partnership                             | 10/22/14, 10/28/14 | **No change recommended to Exhibit C. See also recommendation on Comment #15 in the Exhibit B section.**
|    |                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                               |                 | Metro does not apply a single filter to individual projects included in the Regional Transportation Plan, and most RTP projects are locally-funded and reflect locally adopted investment priorities. Adoption of the Climate Smart Strategy will incorporate reducing greenhouse gas emissions from light duty vehicles in system-level regional transportation planning and investment decisions. |
| 25 | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)                       | Page 3, biking and walking policy, include the following actions to support increased physical activity: integrate multi-modal designs in road improvement and maintenance to support all users, implement complete streets strategies and complete the active transportation network. | Oregon Health Authority                                                                      | 10/7/14         | **No change recommended to Exhibit C.**
|    |                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                               |                 | The draft toolbox currently identifies these actions.                             |
| 26 | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)                       | Page 4, streets and highways policy, under Metro actions, delete first bullet under "Build a diverse coalition" as ensuring adequate funding for local maintenance is a local responsibility, not a Metro responsibility. | City of Hillsboro                                                                            | 10/30/14        | **Amend as requested. See also recommendation on Comment #12 in this section.**
<p>|    |                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                               |                 | This amendment also applies to other references of local funding under Metro actions on Page 2, transit.                        |
| 27 | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)                       | Page 4, streets and highways policy, add &quot;Adopt a vision zero strategy to eliminate all traffic fatalities&quot; for each partner (e.g., state, Metro, local governments and special districts) to be consistent with reference in bike and pedestrian policy actions on page 3. | Community leaders meeting, Safe Routes to School Partnership                                   | 10/1/14, 10/28/14 | <strong>Amend as requested under the near-term actions (2017-2020), recognizing time will be needed to understand policy and fiscal implications of this type of strategy.</strong> |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit Comment</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) Page 4, streets and highways policy, page 5, use technology policy and provide travel information and incentives policy, and page 6 parking policy, under Metro actions, add an action to implement the actions and investments identified for these policy areas in the Climate Smart Strategy in the 2018 RTP: &quot;Implement the Climate Smart Communities Strategy streets and highways investments and actions in the 2018 RTP;&quot; &quot;Implement the Climate Smart Communities Strategy transportation system management investments and actions in the 2018 RTP;&quot; and &quot;Implement the Climate Smart Communities Strategy transportation demand management investments and actions in the 2018 RTP&quot;</td>
<td>Metro staff</td>
<td>10/24/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested as follows: Add a new action under demonstrate Climate leadership that reads &quot;Review and evaluate Climate Smart Strategy investments and actions for adoption in the 2018 RTP.&quot; This amendment reflects the overall strategy will be further implemented through the 2018 RTP update as part of the process and in coordination with other policy considerations to be addressed as part of the update.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) Page 5, using technology policy, add a new immediate term local government action to help implement the draft approach: &quot;Complete an inventory of the installed intelligent transportation systems (ITS) along arterials to help prioritize areas where limited funding could best be directed to increase roadway performance.&quot;</td>
<td>City of Hillsboro</td>
<td>9/24/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) Page 5, using technology policy, add new actions for all partners to recognize expanding role of ITS in the future: &quot;Pursue opportunities and funding for pilot projects that help establish the region as a living laboratory for sustainable and multi-modal ITS.&quot; &quot;Seek opportunities to leverage Oregon's road user fee pilot project to provide additional services to participating drivers;&quot; and &quot;Develop a pilot project to test wireless charging of electric vehicles, ideally encompassing both transit vehicles and passenger cars.&quot;</td>
<td>Drive Oregon</td>
<td>10/28/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) Page 5, providing information and incentives policy, add new actions to integrate promotion of efficient vehicles and fuel choices in the promotion of other travel options:</td>
<td>Drive Oregon</td>
<td>10/28/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested as follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Clarify that e-bikes are part of the regional toolkit of travel options;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Encourage regional carsharing services to increase their use of electric vehicles and other clean fuel alternatives;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Integrate promotion of workplace charging into employer-based outreach programs that encourage transit, walking, bicycling and carpooling;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Integrate education about vehicle and fuel efficiency into public awareness strategies such as eco-driving promotion.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) Page 5, provide information and incentives, add new action to commit a larger portion of funds to expand travel options that will include grade-school populations and school staff through education and encouragement programs such as Safe Routes to School.</td>
<td>Safe Routes to School National Partnership</td>
<td>10/28/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See also recommendation on Comment #15 in the Exhibit B section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This comment has been forwarded to the Metro staff responsible for the Regional Flexible Fund Allocation (RFFA) process and ODOT staff responsible for Connect Oregon and the STIP process. JPACT and the Metro Council provide policy direction for prioritizing allocation of the federal flexible funds at the beginning of each RFFA cycle. The next RFFA cycle (and policy update) will begin in 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) Page 5, provide information and incentives, add new action to link completion of transportation and parking demand management initiatives to scoring criteria for infrastructure funding opportunities, e.g., regional flexible funds, ConnectOregon, and the Oregon Statewide Transportation Improvement Program.</td>
<td>Safe Routes to School National Partnership</td>
<td>10/28/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See also recommendation on Comment #15 in the Exhibit B section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The toolbox already includes separate actions to link system and transportation demand management to capital investments. In addition, this comment has been forwarded to the Metro staff responsible for the Regional Flexible Fund Allocation (RFFA) process and ODOT staff responsible for Connect Oregon and the STIP process. JPACT and the Metro Council provide policy direction for prioritizing allocation of the federal flexible funds at the beginning of each RFFA cycle. The next RFFA cycle (and policy update) will begin in 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 34 | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) | Page 5, provide information and incentives, add new action on integrating use of new people mover services (Lyft, Uber, Car2Go) into urban transportation strategies. | Angus Duncan | 10/2/14 | Amend as follows:  
add new action "Integrate promotion of carsharing and new people mover services into employer-based outreach programs that encourage transit, walking, bicycling and carpooling;"  
add new action "Integrate education about carsharing programs into public awareness strategies." |
| 35 | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) | Page 6, parking policy, fully utilize parking pricing strategies. Parking spaces are not truly "free, and pricing is one of the most effective ways to manage demand. Cities should charge the fair market price for on-street parking, using the revenues to finance added public services in the metered neighborhoods. Likewise, parking minimums hurt housing affordability. | Oregon Environmental Council | 10/15/14 | No change recommended to Exhibit C. See also recommendations on Comments #36 and #37 in this section. 
The draft toolbox currently identifies an action to research and update regional parking policies to reflect the range of parking approaches available for different types of development. The existing action is recommended to moved to the 2015-16 time period to inform the 2018 RTP update. |
| 36 | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) | Page 6, parking policy, under Metro actions, move the "near-term" action to research and update regional parking policies to "Immediate" time period. It will take time to complete the research and conduct pilot projects to inform the 2018 RTP update. | 1000 Friends of Oregon | 10/22/14 | Amend as requested with the following change:  
move immediate action to "discuss priced parking as a revenue source" to list of near-term actions as this should be informed by the parking research conducted in the "Immediate" time period.  
See also recommendations on Comments #35 and #37 in this section. |
| 37 | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) | Page 6, parking policy, under Metro actions, add a new action to link providing different parking policies in mixed-use transit corridors and centers with maintaining and providing affordable housing (e.g., recoup some of the private savings from providing fewer parking spaces in a development served by frequent transit service and use the savings to provide for or preserve affordable housing in the corridor)." | 1000 Friends of Oregon | 10/22/14 | Amend as follows:  
add "and linking parking policies in mixed-use transit corridors and centers with maintaining and providing affordable housing."  
See also recommendations on Comments #35 and #36 in this section. |
<p>| 38 | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) | Page 6, parking policy, under Metro actions, move near-term action to &quot;expand on-going technical assistance to local governments and others...&quot; to immediate term. | Metro staff | 10/24/14 | Amend as requested. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 7, support Oregon's transition to cleaner, low carbon fuels and more fuel efficient vehicles, move near-term action on updating development codes to encourage the installation of electric vehicle charging stations to immediate time period and revise as follows, &quot;Update development codes to streamline/incentivize/encourage the installation of electric vehicle charging stations and infrastructure, particularly in new buildings.&quot;</td>
<td>Technical work group member</td>
<td>10/9/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 5, parking policy, add new actions to integrate electric vehicles in parking plans and policies: &quot;Join the Workplace Charging Challenge as a partner;&quot; &quot;Develop and support pilot projects and model planning approaches to encourage highly visible charging infrastructure on-street and in the public right-of-way;&quot; &quot;Develop and support &quot;charging oases&quot; with multiple chargers, modeled on the Electric Avenue project at Portland State University;&quot; &quot;Support efforts to future proof new developments, particularly multi-family housing and large parking lots, by installing conduit for future charging of at least 20% of parking spaces, similar to standards in Hawaii, California and elsewhere.&quot;</td>
<td>Drive Oregon</td>
<td>10/28/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested, with the last action to read as follows: &quot;Support efforts in new developments (particularly multi-family housing and large parking lots) by installing conduit for future charging of 20% or more parking spaces (see similar standards in Hawaii and California).&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 5, parking policy, add a new Metro action: &quot;Convene regional transportation and planning officials to develop strategies for developing cost-effective charging infrastructure that also reinforces regional planning goals.&quot;</td>
<td>Drive Oregon</td>
<td>10/28/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 5, Support Oregon's transition to cleaner fuels and more fuel efficient vehicles policy, add new Metro actions: &quot;Increase Metro fleet use of electric vehicles, including non-passenger cars (e-bikes and utility vehicles);&quot; &quot;Expand availability of charging at Metro venues (Oregon Zoo, Expo Center, Convention Center, P5, etc.).&quot;</td>
<td>Drive Oregon</td>
<td>10/28/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 5, Support Oregon's transition to cleaner fuels and more fuel efficient vehicles policy, add new actions for all partners: &quot;Support renewal of Oregon's tax credits for charging stations and other alternative fueling infrastructure;&quot; &quot;Support legislation being promoted by Drive Oregon and the Energize Oregon Coalition to create a purchase rebate for electric vehicles;&quot; and &quot;Join Drive Oregon an Energize Oregon Coalition as a member organization and participate as an active partner in promoting electric vehicle readiness and deployment.&quot;</td>
<td>Drive Oregon</td>
<td>10/28/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 5, Support Oregon's transition to cleaner fuels and more fuel efficient vehicles policy, it is important to keep the region's options open to new technological advancements beyond what the state assumed in the setting the region's target. Periodic review is needed.</td>
<td>City of Hillsboro</td>
<td>10/30/14</td>
<td>Amend to include a new state action as follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Review the state greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, including assumptions related to fleet and technology advancements.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This reflects OAR 660-044-0035, which directs LCDC and state agencies (e.g., DEQ, ODOT, DOE and DLCD) to periodically review the targets. The first review is due by June 1, 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Updated fleet and technology information will be accounted for in future analysis to determine whether the region is on track with meeting state targets for greenhouse gas emissions reduction. The next update to the RTP (due in 2018) will reflect the updated information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 6, funding policy, Metro should use its leadership and role as the region’s MPO to support and seek opportunities to advocate for new, dedicated funding mechanisms for active transportation and transit and leverage local, regional, state and federal funding to achieve local visions that align with region’s desired outcomes.</td>
<td>Safe Routes to School National Partnership</td>
<td>10/28/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit C. These actions are already identified on page 6 of the toolbox.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 6, funding policy, under Metro actions, to include an action to prioritize active transportation and transit for funding.</td>
<td>Coalition for a Livable Future</td>
<td>10/30/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit C. See also recommendation on Comment #15 in the Exhibit B section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 6, funding policy, under Metro actions, to include an action to increase funding for active transportation through the Regional Flexible Fund Allocation process.</td>
<td>Coalition for a Livable Future</td>
<td>10/30/14</td>
<td>This comment has been forwarded to the Metro staff responsible for the Regional Flexible Fund Allocation (RFFA) process and ODOT staff responsible for Connect Oregon and the STIP process. JPACT and the Metro Council provide policy direction for prioritizing allocation of the federal flexible funds at the beginning of each RFFA cycle. The next RFFA cycle (and policy update) will begin in 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 6, funding policy, under Metro actions, focus efforts on any funding coalition on federal and state funds. Funding strategies should not include a regional tax or jeopardize local funding sources, such as the sources Washington County and its cities have developed to serve existing communities and new growth areas.</td>
<td>City of Hillsboro</td>
<td>10/30/14</td>
<td>See recommendation on comment #26 in this section for recommended change.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The intent of the actions in this section is for Metro and others to work together to secure adequate funding to implement adopted plans, recognizing it will take a combination of local, regional, state and federal funding sources. Metro has and continues to support maintaining local options for funding; as documented in past state and federal legislative agendas adopted by the Metro Council and JPACT. Funding efforts undertaken by Washington County and its cities are a model for other communities, and also present an opportunity for the region to show federal and state partners the efforts to fund transportation needs locally.

The next RTP update will include updating the region's funding strategy, considering any new actions taken at the local, state and federal levels.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit Comment</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Community leaders meeting and Oregon Environmental Council</td>
<td>10/1/14, 10/15/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 50  | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)                                                                                      | 1000 Friends of Oregon, National Safe Routes to School Partnership, Coalition for a Livable Future | 10/22/14, 10/28/14, 10/30/14 | Amend as follows: "Evaluate Metro's major land use and RTP policy and investment decisions to determine whether they help the region meet adopted targets for reducing greenhouse gas emissions."  
See also recommendation on comments #20 and #21 in Exhibit B section. |
| 51  | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)                                                                                      | Coalition for a Livable Future                                              | 10/30/14          | Amend as follows:  
Add a new action under demonstrate Climate leadership that reads "Review and evaluate Climate Smart Strategy investments and actions for adoption in the 2018 RTP."  
This amendment reflects the overall strategy will be further implemented through the 2018 RTP update as part of the process and in coordination with other policy considerations to be addressed as part of the update. |
| 52  | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)                                                                                      | Fran Mason                                                                 | 9/20/14           | No change recommended to Exhibit C.  
These sources of emissions are outside of the scope of the Climate Smart Strategy. |
| 53  | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)                                                                                      | Zephyr Moore                                                               | 9/22/14           | No change recommended to Exhibit C.  
This is beyond the scope of the project. |
<p>| 54  | Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)                                                                                      | City of Hillsboro                                                          | 9/24/14           | Amend as requested.                                                            |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit Comment</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) Ban wood burning and touch-and-go flight training at the Hillsboro airport to reduce exposure to particulates and leaded fuel emissions.</td>
<td>Gary and Ruth Warren</td>
<td>10/20/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit C. These sources of emissions are outside of the scope of the Climate Smart Strategy. The comments have been forwarded to City of Hillsboro staff for their consideration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) Do not adopt the toolbox as part of Ordinance 14-1346 to allow for more discussion and refinement of the toolbox using the technical work group. In addition, include an analysis and discussion of how the Toolbox of Possible Actions relates to the Statewide Transportation Strategy. The 8th and 9th clauses on page 3 of the draft ordinance should be amended to reflect such an effort, and the 4th &quot;be it ordained&quot; on Page 5 should be reworded as follows &quot;Metro Council directs staff to provide opportunities for further review and refinement of the Toolbox of Actions by local governments, ODOT, TriMet and other stakeholders.&quot;</td>
<td>City of Hillsboro</td>
<td>10/30/14</td>
<td>Amend the 4th &quot;be it ordained&quot; in the draft ordinance as follows: &quot;Metro Council directs staff to provide opportunities for further review and refinement of the Toolbox of Actions by local governments, ODOT, TriMet and other stakeholders as part of the RTP update.&quot; Consultation with DLCD and ODOT staff have confirmed the toolbox is a necessary component of the adoption package. The toolbox contains policies and strategies intended to achieve the target and is, therefore, a necessary part of the overall preferred strategy for meeting the target under OAR-660-0040(3)(c). The toolbox does not mandate local adoption of any particular policy or action, and serves as a starting point for the region to begin implementation of the CSC strategy. As such, the toolbox reflects near-term actions that can be taken in the next 5 years, recognizing that medium and longer term actions will be identified through the next scheduled update to the RTP. Staff has recommended refinements to the toolbox to respond to specific comments received during the comment period. Adoption of the toolbox directs staff to include the toolbox in the RTP appendix as a starting point for further refinement during the next RTP update. Adoption of the toolbox in Ordinance 14-1346 directs staff to incorporate the toolbox into the technical appendix of the RTP, recognizing more work is needed during the RTP update to identify medium and longer-term implementation actions. A comparison of the STS and toolbox will be developed at that time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) Define unfamiliar terms in the toolbox, such as Vision Zero Strategy and EcoRule, to provide more clarity on the actions being recommended.</td>
<td>City of Hillsboro</td>
<td>10/30/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested. Include a glossary of terms, using the glossary in Exhibit A as a starting point.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The toolbox should also have an action to develop new urban areas in ways that further the region’s efforts in achieving greenhouse gas emissions reductions, such as planning for complete communities with walking, biking and transit options as part of concept planning to reduce or eliminate vehicle trips for every day needs (e.g., shopping, school, recreation).

City of Hillsboro 10/30/14

Amend as requested.

In addition, amend Policy 1.7.5(a) and (d) of Chapter 1 of the Regional Framework Plan as follows:

"a. Help achieve livable communities and reduce greenhouse gas emissions."

"d. Determine the general urban land uses, key local and regional multi-modal transportation facilities and prospective components of the regional system of parks, natural areas..."

Add language to the toolbox to more clearly articulate the ability to "locally tailor" implementation tools.

Clackamas County Board of Commissioners, City of Hillsboro, City of Happy Valley 10/22/14, 10/30/14, 10/30/14

Amend as requested.

Remove the toolbox from the adoption package, adopt by separate resolution and/or delay adoption to allow more time for review and refinement.

Mayor Willey, City of Hillsboro, Keith Mays, Washington County Citizen, Mayor Tim Knapp, Cities of Clackamas County, Marilyn McWilliams, Washington County Special Districts, Lise Glancy, Port of Portland, Jeff Gudman, City of Lake Oswego 11/7/14

This is addressed in part in the staff recommendation on Comment #56 in this section.

To address comments provided at the Nov. 7 joint MPAC/JPACT meeting, staff recommends the following additional changes to the clauses on page 4 of the ordinance:

WHEREAS, while the toolbox provides an advisory menu of possible actions and does not mandate adoption of require local governments, special districts, or state agencies to adopt any particular policy or action; and

WHEREAS, MPAC and JPACT recommend the toolbox be a living document subject to further review and refinement by local governments, ODOT, TriMet and other stakeholders as part of scheduled updates to the RTP to reflect new information and approaches to reducing greenhouse gas emissions; and

WHEREAS, MPAC and JPACT agree updates to local comprehensive plans and development regulations, transit agency plans, port district plans and regional growth management and transportation plans present continuing opportunities to consider implementing the actions recommended in the toolbox of possible actions that can be locally tailored ways; and
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit Comment</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) Draft toolbox introduction does not adequately convey the flexibility and local control intended for the toolbox. The toolbox should be adopted with language that more strongly conveys it is a flexible, living document that can be updated and refined as we learn more.</td>
<td>Ruth Adkins, Portland Public Schools</td>
<td>11/7/14</td>
<td>This comment was addressed in part in staff recommendation on Comment #56 in this section. Based on November 7 discussion, staff also recommends the following changes be made: Amend toolbox introduction to better reflect language included in ordinance adopting the Climate Smart Strategy and supporting staff report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) Add glossary to toolbox to improve clarity</td>
<td>Jim Bernards, Clackamas County Commissioner</td>
<td>11/7/14</td>
<td>This comment was addressed in the staff recommendation on Comment #58 on in this section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) Add implementing local transportation system plans to toolbox and strategy</td>
<td>Paul Savas, Clackamas County Commissioner</td>
<td>11/7/14</td>
<td>Amend toolbox as requested and amend Exhibit A to more clearly describe that local transportation system plans (and local land use plans) are components of the Climate Smart Strategy. See also recommendation on comment #20 in the Exhibit A section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C) Revise page 2, Metro Actions: &quot;Leverage Metro’s and the region’s public investments to maintain and create affordable housing options . . . “ We previously expressed concern previously that linking affordable housing to climate smart was overly broad. Limiting Metro’s actions in this area to what’s within Metro’s control will help alleviate concerns.</td>
<td>City of Hillsboro</td>
<td>11/24/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 5, “Adopt a Vision Zero strategy” – as indicated in our October 30 letter, this tool needs evaluation of the monetary implications. Specifically, the definition provided indicates this can include, among other actions, “improved engineering, operation and design.” What is the cost of this strategy? Who is paying? A better near-term action would be to discuss the implications, costs and benefits of this strategy, including how the implementations measure may already be accounted for in locally adopted plans. We also have a question as to who is best to adopt the strategy.</td>
<td>City of Hillsboro</td>
<td>11/24/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Add the following definitions to the glossary: Workplace charging challenge, Oregon Zero Emission Vehicle Program, Drive Oregon, Energize Oregon Coalition</td>
<td>City of Hillsboro</td>
<td>11/24/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>Page 9, secure adequate funding for transportation investments, add a new action that reads &quot;Seek and advocate for funding the adopted RTP&quot; recognizing the intent is to seek and advocate for funding aligned with the adopted State RTP for transit and system and demand management strategies and the federal-financially constrained RTP for roads and active transportation.</td>
<td>TPAC</td>
<td>11/24/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Toolbox of Possible Actions (2015-20) (Exhibit C)</td>
<td>One of the three Early Actions TPAC will be discussing is to advocate for increased funding for all transportation modes and well over half of the recommended investments in the draft approach are road projects that will not help the region reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Given the technical analysis that shows that investments in transit and active transportation have the greatest climate benefit, the recognized multiple social, environmental, and economic benefits of improving our transit and active transportation systems, and the strong support that the public has shown in elevating transit and active transportation above the other strategies – the Approach, Toolbox, Performance Monitoring, and Early Actions should all be aligned to prioritize investments in transit and active transportation. We support the language of Early Action #3.</td>
<td>Transportation Justice Alliance</td>
<td>10/30/14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One of the three Early Actions TPAC will be discussing is to advocate for increased funding for all transportation modes and well over half of the recommended investments in the draft approach are road projects that will not help the region reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Given the technical analysis that shows investments in transit and active transportation have the greatest climate benefit, the recognized multiple social, environmental, and economic benefits of improving our transit and active transportation systems, and the strong support that the public has shown in elevating transit and active transportation above the other strategies – the Approach, Toolbox, Performance Monitoring, and Early Actions should all be aligned to prioritize investments in transit and active transportation. We support the language of Early Action #3. We would like to see similar language that makes clear the necessity to prioritize greenhouse gas emissions-reducing projects, and recommend that Metro convene an oversight committee made up of transportation, land use, public health, environmental, and social justice advocates and professionals.

The Climate Smart Strategy will be further implemented through the next update to the Regional Transportation Plan, which will consider the relative greenhouse gas emissions reduction potential of investments and other fiscal, economic, public health, environmental and equity outcomes to inform prioritizing investments.

See also the recommendation on Comments #13, 14, 17 in the Exhibit A section and Comment #18 in the Exhibit D section for additional context and explanation.

End of comments and recommended changes to Exhibit C
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D)</td>
<td>Use model assumptions or outputs for 2035 to define targets for purposes of monitoring and assessing whether key elements of the Climate Smart Strategy are being implemented.</td>
<td>Metro staff in consultation with DLCD staff</td>
<td>10/24/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested. The measure and target will be reviewed as part of the next scheduled update to the RTP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D)</td>
<td>The performance monitoring should explicitly include measurement of equity outcomes. For example, share of low-income households near transit.</td>
<td>Safe Routes to School National Partnership</td>
<td>10/28/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested. The measure and target will be reviewed as part of the next scheduled update to the RTP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D)</td>
<td>Ensure social equity and health goals are considered when prioritizing investments by explicitly and transparently addressing how investments link low-income and other vulnerable households to health-promoting resources.</td>
<td>Oregon Health Authority</td>
<td>10/7/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit D. See also recommendation on Comments #4 and #5 in this section. This project underscored the significant public health, economic and equity benefits of actions and investments that reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Metro's Equity Strategy (currently under development) and the Climate Smart Strategy Health Impact Assessment and recommendations will inform how future regional planning efforts (including RTP updates) will consider equity and public health.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D)</td>
<td>Maximize health benefits by monitoring key health indicators, expanding partnerships that promote health and developing tools to support the consideration of health impacts in future land use and transportation decisions throughout the region.</td>
<td>Oregon Health Authority</td>
<td>10/7/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit D. This comment has been forwarded to the Metro staff responsible for Metro's Equity Strategy (currently under development). The process has identified potential health indicators for Metro and other partners to monitor given the link between health and social equity. A baseline report and performance measures recommendations are expected in 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D)</td>
<td>ODOT and Metro should continue working with other State and regional partners, such as the Oregon Modeling Steering Committee and Health and Transportation Subcommittee of the OMSC, to develop tools to support assessments that measure the impact future plans have on air quality, safety, active transportation and climate change.</td>
<td>Oregon Health Authority</td>
<td>10/7/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit D; however amend Exhibit C, Toolbox of Possible Actions, as follows: &quot;Continue participating in the Oregon Modeling Steering Committee Health and Transportation Subcommittee to make recommendations to ODOT on tools and methods to support future health assessments by local, regional and state partners.&quot; This would be a new action for the State and for Metro. The work will continue in 2015 and 2016.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D)</td>
<td>Page 1, add transit ridership as a measure. Transit revenue hours only tells part of the story.</td>
<td>Community leaders meeting</td>
<td>10/1/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested. This measure is currently reported every two years by Metro in response to ORS 197.301 and as part of federally-required updates to the RTP. The measure and target will be reviewed as part of the next scheduled update to the RTP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D)</td>
<td>Page 1, add a transit affordability measure, such as tracking transit fares over time compared to inflation.</td>
<td>Community leaders meeting, Transportation Justice Alliance</td>
<td>10/1/14, 10/30/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested. The measure and target will be reviewed as part of the next scheduled update to the RTP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D)</td>
<td>Page 1, add household housing/transportation cost burden measure to monitor housing and transportation affordability in the region and link it to a goal to reduce the percentage of cost-burdened households, by increasing affordable housing, in transit centers and corridors.</td>
<td>Community leaders meeting, 1000 Friends of Oregon, Oregon Environmental Council, Coalition for a Livable Future, Transportation Justice Alliance</td>
<td>10/1/14, 10/15/14, 10/22/14, 10/30/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested. Chapter 1, Objective 1.3.3 of the Regional Framework Plan includes a policy to reduce the share of housing and transportation cost-burdened households. This measure is currently reported as part of scheduled updates to the RTP and the Urban Growth Report. The RTP also identifies a target to reduce the percentage of cost-burdened households. The measure and target will be reviewed as part of the next scheduled update to the RTP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D)</td>
<td>Add daily pedestrian and bicycle miles traveled or time measure, and set a target of meeting or exceeding 1.8 miles walked and 3.4 miles cycled per person per week by 2035 as projected in the Draft Approach to emphasize the health benefits. The largest public health benefits come from increases in active transportation distance and/or time.</td>
<td>Community leaders meeting, Oregon Health Authority, 1000 Friends of Oregon</td>
<td>10/1/14, 10/7/14, 10/22/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit D. Average daily miles of bicycle and pedestrian travel is already proposed as a measure, using model outputs to establish a 2010 baseline and 2035 target for daily bicycle and pedestrian miles traveled. This measure will be reported as part of federally-required updates to the RTP (currently every four years). The measure and target will be reviewed as part of the next federally-required update to the RTP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D)</td>
<td>Add a measure to track regional ambient concentrations of PM 2.5 and set target to reduce to 6.41 ug/m3 or below as projected in the draft Approach analysis.</td>
<td>Oregon Health Authority, 1000 Friends of Oregon</td>
<td>10/7/14, 10/22/14</td>
<td>Amend as requested to use model outputs to monitor for PM 2.5 as part of monitoring approach. This measure is currently reported every two years by Metro in response to ORS 197.301 and scheduled updates to the RTP as part of the region's air quality conformity analysis. The measure and target will be reviewed as part of the next scheduled update to the RTP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D) Revise target for fatalities and serious injury crashes for all modes to be zero by 2035.</td>
<td>Community leaders meeting, National Safe Routes to School Partnership</td>
<td>10/1/14, 10/28/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit D. The target reflects targets adopted in the 2014 RTP, which calls for reducing serious and severe injury crashes by 50 percent from 2010 levels. The adopted target will be reviewed as part of the next scheduled update to the RTP in 2016-18 and the Regional Transportation Safety Action Plan in 2015-16.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D) Add specific actions that Metro will take to incent, reward success and penalize failure in achieving progress toward meeting the adopted Climate Smart Strategy.</td>
<td>1000 Friends of Oregon, National Safe Routes to School Partnership</td>
<td>10/22/14, 10/28/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit D. See also recommendation on comment #21 in Exhibit B section. The performance monitoring approach calls for Metro to report identified performance measures to DLCD and the region to inform policymakers on the region's progress toward implementing the Climate Smart Strategy. Chapter 7 (Management), Action 7.8.6 of the Regional Framework Plan calls for Metro to &quot;Take corrective actions if anticipated progress is found to be lacking or if Metro goals or policies need adjustment...&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D) Set benchmark dates for evaluating progress on the immediate and near-term actions and a commitment to take appropriate steps, if necessary, to maintain progress towards the target GHG reduction.</td>
<td>1000 Friends of Oregon, National Safe Routes to School Partnership</td>
<td>10/22/14, 10/28/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit D. See also Comment 12 in this section and comments 20-21 in Exhibit B section. The performance monitoring approach calls for Metro to report identified performance measures to DLCD and the region every 2-4 years to inform policymakers on the region's progress toward implementing the Climate Smart Strategy. Chapter 7 (Management), Action 7.8.6 of the Regional Framework Plan calls for Metro to &quot;Take corrective actions if anticipated progress is found to be lacking or if Metro goals or policies need adjustment...&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Source(s)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D)</td>
<td>Review the indicators developed for Mosaic, the value and cost informed transportation planning tool recently developed by ODOT, to determine whether any of the quantitative and qualitative indicators are appropriate to use.</td>
<td>Oregon Environmental Council</td>
<td>10/15/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit D. Staff reviewed the Mosaic indicators, some of which are still under development by ODOT. Several Mosaic indicators are already included in the performance monitoring approach. All of the measures and recommended targets will be reviewed, and possibly refined, as part of the next federally-required update to the RTP. The next update will also address MAP-21 performance-based planning provisions and recommendations from Metro’s Equity Strategy initiative. Staff will review the Mosaic indicators again at that time to determine whether additional indicators may be appropriate to use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D)</td>
<td>Page 3, add public EV charging stations as measure for the policy related to Oregon’s transition to cleaner fuels and more fuel-efficient vehicles</td>
<td>Oregon Environmental Council</td>
<td>10/15/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit D. Tracking the share of light duty vehicles registered in Oregon that are electric or plug-in hybrid electric is a more direct measure of Oregon’s transition to more fuel efficient vehicle technologies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D)</td>
<td>Page 1, adopt a measure for 20-minute neighborhood for the policy “Implement the 2040 Growth Concept and local adopted land use and transportation plans.”</td>
<td>Oregon Environmental Council</td>
<td>10/15/14</td>
<td>Amend as follows: Add a new measure to track the share of households living in areas with relatively good, walkable access to a mix of destinations that support a range of daily needs (e.g., jobs, retail and commercial services, transit, parks, schools). GreenSTEP estimated 26% of the region’s households lived in these types of areas in 2010, and that the share of households would grow to 37% by 2035. The measure and target will be reviewed as part of the next scheduled update to the RTP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D)</td>
<td>Page 3, develop a more specific measure for the policy area “secure adequate funding for transportation investments,” such as e.g., 60% of transit needs met by 20XX, 75% of sidewalk infrastructure complete by 20XX.</td>
<td>Community leaders meeting, Oregon Environmental Council</td>
<td>10/1/14, 10/15/14</td>
<td>No change recommended to Exhibit D. The performance monitoring approach includes measures to track system completeness. In addition, the next update to the Regional Transportation Plan (due in 2018) will update financial assumptions and define performance measures to track implementation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Climate Smart Strategy will be implemented through existing regional planning and decision-making processes, including RTP updates, RFFA processes, growth management decisions and corridor planning, as well as through local and state planning and decision-making processes, rather than a specific Climate Smart implementation program. Through its planning processes, in coordination with its Equity Strategy (currently under development), Metro is committed to continue to improve its engagement practices to ensure more diverse perspectives – especially those of traditionally underrepresented communities – are meaningfully engaged in regional planning, decision-making, and on-going implementation activities.

Future public engagement processes will be developed in coordination with Metro’s diversity, equity and inclusion program and Metro’s existing advisory committees, and follow the best practices and processes set out in Metro’s Public Engagement Guide.

Staff will begin scoping the work plan and engagement process for the next scheduled update to the RTP in 2015. Consideration will be given to the type of committee to provide oversight of engagement, technical and policy work, including use of existing advisory committees. The update is expected to occur over multiple years in order to address federal and state planning requirements and policy considerations and engagement recommendations identified through the Climate Smart Communities effort and the 2014 RTP update.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D)</td>
<td>Add measure to track congestion</td>
<td>Paul Savas, Clackamas County Commissioner</td>
<td>11/7/14</td>
<td>Amend Exhibit A to add definition of travel time reliability and amend Exhibit D to include travel time and reliability as part of the monitoring approach. The draft performance monitoring approach includes travel time reliability in regional mobility corridors, which complements other system performance measures identified in the Regional Transportation Plan and that are also used to regularly update the Regional Mobility Atlas to meet federally-required reporting and monitoring of the region's congestion management process. The Regional Mobility Atlas will be updated as part of the next RTP update. The 2010 atlas can be viewed online at <a href="http://www.oregonmetro.gov/mobility-corridors-atlas">www.oregonmetro.gov/mobility-corridors-atlas</a>. No change to Exhibit D recommended. The proposed performance measures are intended to track regional progress towards meeting greenhouse gas reduction goals. While jobs/housing balance is important from the perspective of local community design, staff believes that cities are best positioned to decide how to produce more housing or jobs in their communities. Consequently, staff does not recommend a change to the proposed regional performance monitoring approach. Cities and counties may wish to track local jobs/housing balance to inform their efforts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mayor Tim Knapp, Cities of Clackamas County** | 11/7/14 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Performance Monitoring Approach (Exhibit D)</td>
<td>New measure 1a is relevant to the regional target for reduction of greenhouse gas emissions from light duty vehicles. Living in a walkable, mixed use area will provide the opportunity to meet daily needs without driving. However, the proposed targets of 1b and 1c – increasing percentage of infill development while decreasing development in vacant lands, respectively – do not necessarily contribute to the region’s ability to meet targets, as all infill/new development is created equal. For the following considerations, we propose reverting back to tracking v. setting specific targets: Development in newly added areas to the UGB (e.g. vacant land) can be developed in a manner to create walkable/bikeable, complete communities. This would have a more positive impact than infill in areas that are not well served by transit or active transportation. The assumptions in the modeling are not backed up by policy. As we’ve heard from around the region, a few jurisdictions would like to see more effort on jobs/housing balance. Before targets are set, the region needs to have an engaged policy discussion. Similarly, 1d should revert to tracking with no target. One of the policy questions for 2015 to inform Metro’s growth management decision is what is the correct assumption for new urban areas. As is being recognized by raising that question, assuming high density housing in new urban areas may not be realistic nor may it meet housing type demand.</td>
<td>city of Hillsboro</td>
<td>11/24/14</td>
<td>Amend as follows: (1) Add new measure for share of households living in mixed-use development as this is a key planning element of the Climate Smart Strategy. The other land use measures identified reflect planning assumptions of the adopted 2012 growth distribution used for purposes of analyzing the Climate Smart Strategy and will continue to be monitored as part of ongoing reporting required by ORS 197.301. (2) Amend Exhibit D to further explain the following: (a) the 2035 targets and planning assumptions identified in the table are performance monitoring targets (not policy targets) (b) together the measures and performance monitoring targets reflect planning assumptions and/or desired outcomes for key elements of the Climate Smart Strategy; (c) monitoring and assessment will occur through scheduled updates to the RTP, Urban Growth Report and reporting in response to ORS 197.301 and OR. 197.296; (d) if the assessment finds the region is deviating significantly from the Climate Smart Strategy assumptions/ performance monitoring target, then Metro will work with local, regional and state partners to consider the revision or replacement of policies, strategies and actions to ensure the region remains on track with meeting the per capita greenhouse gas emissions reduction target; and (e) the measures and targets will be reviewed and potentially refined prior to being incorporated in the RTP as part of the next scheduled RTP update. In addition, at the Dec. 3 MTAC meeting, DLCD staff indicated the performance monitoring approach must include a measure and performance monitoring target for each of the key elements recommended in the Climate Smart Strategy. As part of addressing the above amendments and DLCD staff comments, update the table in Exhibit D to add the key planning assumptions included in the Climate Smart Strategy for arterial and freeway delay reduction and parking management and add “parking management” to the list of measures identified in Section 7.8.6 of the Chapter 7 of the RFP amendments as a measure that will be monitored</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MTAC and TPAC Recommendation on Comments Received

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Exhibit</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exhibit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**End of comments and recommended changes to Exhibit D**

**Comments on Short List of Actions (Exhibit F)**

1. **Short List of Actions for 2015 and 2016**
   - Add congestion reduction as a potential demonstration project in the short list of actions
   - Paul Savas, Clackamas County Commissioner
   - 11/7/14
   - No change to Exhibit F recommended, however recommend amending Exhibit C (Toolbox) to acknowledge geometric designs and smaller scale improvements to address intersection bottlenecks in combination with other strategies can help address delay and associated greenhouse gas emissions. See also recommendation on Comment #14 and #19 on Exhibit A.

**End of comments on Short List of Actions (Exhibit E)**
Appendix G.

Verbatim comments from online survey

Questions 1-7
Appendix H.

Verbatim comments from online survey

Question 8