

MAKING A
GREAT
PLACE



2013 Compliance Report

March 2014

About Metro

Clean air and clean water do not stop at city limits or county lines. Neither does the need for jobs, a thriving economy, and sustainable transportation and living choices for people and businesses in the region. Voters have asked Metro to help with the challenges and opportunities that affect the 25 cities and three counties in the Portland metropolitan area.

A regional approach simply makes sense when it comes to providing services, operating venues and making decisions about how the region grows. Metro works with communities to support a resilient economy, keep nature close by and respond to a changing climate. Together we're making a great place, now and for generations to come.

Stay in touch with news, stories and things to do.

www.oregonmetro.gov/connect

Metro Council President

Tom Hughes

Metro Councilors

Shirley Craddick, District 1

Carlotta Collette, District 2

Craig Dirksen, District 3

Kathryn Harrington, District 4

Sam Chase, District 5

Bob Stacey, District 6

Auditor

Suzanne Flynn

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----------|
| Executive Summary | 1 |
| Introduction | 2 |
| Overview..... | 2 |
| Urban Growth Management Functional Plan Compliance Status | 2 |
| Regional Transportation Functional Plan Compliance Status | 3 |
| Appendices A, B, C, D & E | |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Metro's Urban Growth Management Functional Plan provides tools and guidance for local jurisdictions to implement regional policies and achieve the goals set out in the region's 2040 Growth Concept. The 2013 Compliance Report summarizes the status of compliance for each city and county in the region with the Metro Code requirements included in the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan and the Regional Transportation Functional Plan. Every city and county in the region is required if necessary to change their comprehensive plans or land use regulations to come into compliance with Metro Code requirements within two years of acknowledgement by the Oregon Land Conservation and Development Commission and to remain in compliance. The information in this report confirms the strong partnerships at work in this region to implement regional and local plans.

In 2013, there were no requests for extensions of existing compliance dates for the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan. However, an extension request will be processed for the Cooper Mountain planning area. The City of Beaverton took over the planning process from Washington County in 2013.

Eleven jurisdictions had a deadline of December 31, 2013 to meet the requirements of the Regional Transportation Functional Plan. As described below and in Appendix D, three of these jurisdictions have requested extensions until 2014. Two have requested an extension to 2015. All five of these jurisdictions were found to meet one of the two criteria: 1) the city or county is making progress towards compliance; or 2) there is good cause for failure to meet the deadline for compliance. Thus all of these extensions have been granted by the Chief Operating Officer.

Six jurisdictions completed Transportation System Plan and development code updates in 2013 and are now in compliance with the RFTP: Gresham, Milwaukie, Oregon City, Tualatin, Wilsonville and Clackamas County.

Metro Code Chapter 3.07 Urban Growth Management Functional Plan and Metro Code Chapter 3.08 Regional Transportation Functional Plan – March 2014

Introduction

Metro Code 3.07.870 requires the Chief Operating Officer to submit the status of compliance by cities and counties with the requirements of the Metro Code Chapter 3.07 (Urban Growth Management Functional Plan) annually to the Metro Council. In an effort to better integrate land use and transportation requirements, this compliance report includes information on local government compliance with the Regional Transportation Functional Plan (Metro Code Chapter 3.08) as well as the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan (UGMFP).

Overview

Per the Metro Code, the Chief Operating Officer (COO) may grant an extension request if a local government meets one of two criteria: 1) the city or county is making progress towards compliance; or 2) there is good cause for failure to meet the deadline for compliance.

By statute, cities and counties have two years following the date of acknowledgement of Metro's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) dated November 24, 2011 to bring their Transportation System Plans (TSPs) into compliance with any new or changed regional requirements. However, Metro exercised its authority under the state's Transportation Planning Rule to extend city and county deadlines beyond the two-year statutory deadline. Metro consulted with each city and county to determine a reasonable timeline for this work and adopted a schedule that is part of the RTP Appendix. The deadlines are phased to take advantage of funding opportunities and the availability of local and Metro staff resources.

Appendix A summarizes the compliance status for all local governments with the requirements of the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan (UGMFP) by the end of 2013.

Appendix B shows the status of Title 11 new urban area planning for areas added to the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) since 1998.

Appendix C summarizes the compliance dates for each UGMFP title.

Appendix D summarizes the compliance dates for the Regional Transportation Functional Plan (RTFP) in effect as of December 31, 2013.

Appendix E is the Annual Report on Amendments to the Employment and Industrial Areas Map dated January 1, 2014.

Urban Growth Management Functional Plan Compliance Status

Beaverton: Although the planning of Cooper Mountain new urban area has not been completed, the City of Beaverton, which took over planning efforts from Washington County in 2013, is making progress in the concept planning of that area. As stated in the

intergovernmental agreement for the Community Planning & Development Grant between the City and Metro, that planning will be done January 2015.

Lake Oswego: The City of Lake Oswego's removal of their Resource Conservation overlay protections from certain "isolated tree groves" was in violation of Title 13 protections in 2012. Metro filed an appeal with the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) regarding the approval of these comprehensive plan and zoning code changes. The parties to the LUBA appeal agreed to another 60-day extension of the schedule, which set the date for the city to submit the record to LUBA by February 15, 2013. LUBA agreed with Metro and the city is in the process of adopting changes that will comply with Title 13.

The City of Lake Oswego proposed code changes to bring the city into compliance with Title 4 in 2013. The city adopted those code changes in 2013 and has no outstanding Title 4 issues.

Regional Transportation Functional Plan Compliance Status

Eleven jurisdictions had the deadline of December 31, 2013 to meet the requirements of the Regional Transportation Functional Plan. As described below and in Appendix D, three of these jurisdictions have requested extensions until 2014. Two have requested an extension to 2015. All five of these jurisdictions were found to meet one of the two criteria: 1) the city or county is making progress towards compliance; or 2) there is good cause for failure to meet the deadline for compliance. Thus, all of these extensions were granted by the Chief Operating Officer.

Six jurisdictions completed Transportation System Plan and development code updates and are now in compliance with the RTFP: Gresham, Milwaukie, Oregon City, Tualatin, Wilsonville and Clackamas County.

Jurisdictions with 2013 deadlines that requested extensions until 2014

Forest Grove

The City of Forest Grove has made significant progress toward updating the Forest Grove TSP and compliance with the RTFP, including completing a public review draft of the updated TSP. In 2014 the city will hold adoption hearings for the TSP update as well as amendments to the city's development code. Furthermore, the city received a Community Planning & Development Grant to complete transportation planning work in the City's developing area within the UGB and the area with Urban Reserve 7B (Purdin Road Urban Reserve area). Transportation system related information developed through the CPDG project will inform the City's final TSP and development code

Lake Oswego

Several key people involved in Lake Oswego's TSP and Comprehensive Plan updates were laid off in June 2013 for budgeting reasons. This affected the progress of the TSP update work since tasks had to be reassigned to new staff.

Lake Oswego and its consulting team are in the final stages of TSP update and anticipate adopting the TSP by April 2014.

Troutdale

Troutdale prepared a draft TSP and the Planning Commission has recommended it to the City Council for approval. Adoption of Development Code amendments is tentatively scheduled for early 2014. TSP amendments will likely follow soon thereafter.

Jurisdictions with 2013 deadlines that requested extensions until 2015

Gladstone

The City of Gladstone is in the midst of re-evaluating its Master Plan which will include a 20-year look at its capital infrastructure projects (sewer, water, roads, buildings, green spaces, etc.). The transportation projects adopted will be dependent upon the cost of the storm water/water master plans which are being assessed at this time through a third party; sewer and street master plans; as well as the replacement possibility of its City Hall, Police Department, and Library.

Hillsboro

The City of Hillsboro has undertaken three separate large-scale TSP amendments in the past year and half. The three amendments are due to time-sensitive transportation infrastructure and development needs for the AmberGlen Community Plan area, the North Hillsboro Industrial area, and the South Hillsboro Community Plan area (both the North Hillsboro and South Hillsboro areas were added to the Urban Growth Boundary in 2011). The AmberGlen Community Plan area and North Hillsboro Industrial area TSP amendments were adopted in late 2012, and the South Hillsboro Community Plan area TSP amendments were recently adopted in October 2013.

Due to the amount of staff time and resources required to prepare these TSP amendments, the City had to its planned TSP update on hold temporarily where it would fulfill the RTFP compliance requirements. The City initially had hoped to begin the TSP update process in late 2012 with a targeted completion time of late-2013 or early-2014. The City plans to begin its TSP update process in early-2014 with a completion target date of mid-2015.

APPENDIX A

Summary of Compliance Status as of December 31, 2013 (Functional Plan effective 1/18/12)

| City/ County | Title 1 Housing Capacity | Title 3 Water Quality & Flood Management | Title 4 Industrial and other Employment Land | Title 6¹ Centers, Corridors, Station Communities & Main Streets | Title 7 Housing Choice | Title 11 Planning for New Urban Areas <small>(see Appendix B for detailed information)</small> | Title 13 Nature in Neighborhoods |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Beaverton | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | Cooper Mountain Plan not in compliance | In compliance |
| Cornelius | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance |
| Damascus | Not in compliance | Not in compliance | Not in compliance | See footnote | Not in compliance | Not in compliance | Not in compliance |
| Durham | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | Not applicable | In compliance |
| Fairview | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | Not applicable | In compliance |
| Forest Grove | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance |
| Gladstone | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | Not applicable | In compliance |
| Gresham | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance |
| Happy Valley | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance |
| Hillsboro | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance |
| Johnson City | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | Not applicable | In compliance |
| King City | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance |
| Lake Oswego | In compliance | In compliance | Pending final city action | See footnote | In compliance | Not applicable | Currently amending code to be in compliance |
| Maywood Park | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | Not applicable | In compliance |
| Milwaukie | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | Not applicable | In compliance |
| Oregon City | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | Extended to 6/30/2014 for Beaver Creek Rd and South End | In compliance |

¹ Once acknowledged by LCDC, Title 6 will be an incentive approach and only those local governments wanting a regional investment (currently defined as a new high-capacity as a new high-capacity transit line) will need to comply.

| City/ County | Title 1 Housing Capacity | Title 3 Water Quality & Flood Management | Title 4 Industrial and other Employment Land | Title 6¹ Centers, Corridors, Station Communities & Main Streets | Title 7 Housing Choice | Title 11 Planning for New Urban Areas <small>(see Appendix B for detailed information)</small> | Title 13 Nature in Neighborhoods |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Portland | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance |
| Rivergrove | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | Not applicable | In compliance |
| Sherwood | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | Area 61 extended to 12/31/21* | In compliance |
| Tigard | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | In compliance. | In compliance |
| Troutdale | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | Not applicable | In compliance |
| Tualatin | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | Basalt Creek extended to 9/30/2016 | In compliance |
| West Linn | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | Not applicable | In compliance |
| Wilsonville | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | East Wilsonville Extended to 12/31/2015; Basalt Creek extended to 9/30/2016 | In compliance |
| Wood Village | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | Not applicable | In compliance |
| Clackamas County | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | Not applicable | In compliance |
| Multnomah County | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance |
| Washington County | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | See footnote | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance |

*The City of Tualatin requested that the City of Sherwood take over concept planning for Area 61 Title 11 planning in 2012.

¹ Once acknowledged by LCDC, Title 6 will be an incentive approach and only those local governments wanting a regional investment (currently defined as a new high-capacity as a new high-capacity transit line) will need to comply.

**APPENDIX B
TITLE 11 NEW AREA PLANNING COMPLIANCE
(as of December 31, 2013)**

| Project | Lead Government(s) | Compliance | Status |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| 1998 UGB Expansion | | | |
| Rock Creek Concept Plan | Happy Valley | yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed; development on-going. |
| Pleasant Valley Concept Plan | Gresham and Portland | yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed; city annexed 524 acres and development to begin in eastern section. |
| 1999 UGB Expansion | | | |
| Witch Hazel Community Plan | Hillsboro | yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed; development on-going. |
| 2000 UGB Expansion | | | |
| Villebois Village | Wilsonville | yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed; development on-going. |
| 2002 UGB Expansion | | | |
| Springwater Community Plan | Gresham | yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed for this mostly industrial area; waiting annexation & development. |
| Damascus/Boring Concept Plan | Happy Valley | yes | HV portion: Concept plan and implementation measures completed; waiting annexation and development. |
| | Damascus | DCLD extension to June 2014; FP extension to 12/31/13; CET extension to 7/31/14 | Damascus portion: Comprehensive plan map approved, then overturned by vote; city out of compliance with DLCD order w/deadline of August 2013; city currently undergoing corrective action process of LCDC. NOTE: City out of compliance with Functional Plan extension with deadline of 12/31/13. City has a CET extension to 7/31/14. |
| | Gresham | yes | Gresham portion, called Kelley Creek Headwaters Plan, was adopted by city in 2009. |
| Park Place Master Plan | Oregon City | yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed; waiting annexation & development |
| Beavercreek Road | Oregon City | Extension to 6/30/14 | Concept plan is completed and accepted by Metro; City has put on hold adoption of the final implementing ordinances pending LUBA appeal and work load. |
| South End Road | Oregon City | Extension to 6/30/14 | City in hearings for adoption of Comp plan and code, which is substantially compliant with Metro requirements; completion expected in Feb 2014. |
| East Wilsonville (Frog Pond area) | Wilsonville | Extension to 12/31/15 | City initially completed site analysis w/private builders in 2008; currently City is evaluating and budgeting for major sewer upgrade for eastern portion of City which must be completed before planning and development of site. CDP Grant awarded in 2013; work to begin in summer 2014. |

| Project | Lead Government(s) | Compliance | Status |
|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| NW Tualatin Concept Plan (Cipole Rd & 99W) | Tualatin | yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed for this small industrial area. |
| SW Tualatin Concept Plan | Tualatin | yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed for this industrial area. |
| Brookman Concept Plan | Sherwood | yes | Concept Plan and implementation measures completed; waiting development |
| | | | |
| Study Area 59 | Sherwood | yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed; school constructed. |
| Study Area 61 (Cipole Rd | Sherwood | Extension to 12/31/2021 | Extension agreement – planning shall be completed when Urban Reserve 5A is completed, or by 12/31/2021, whichever is sooner. |
| 99W Area (near Tualatin-Sherwood Rd) | Sherwood | Yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed. |
| King City | King City | Yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed; annexed to city with portion developed as park and rest in floodplain. |
| West Bull Mountain Concept Plan | Wash County/Tigard | Extension to 12/31/14 | Concept plan adopted by County and City of Tigard; city working to finalize re-named River Terrace Community Plan and code work; expected completion July 2014. |
| Cooper Mountain area | Beaverton | Extension pending | Wash County & Beaverton signed IGA in January 2013 transferring responsibility to City; City is currently in planning process with expected completion in winter 2014 |
| Study Area 64 (14 acres north of Scholls Ferry Rd) | Beaverton | Yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed; annexed to City. |
| Study Area 69 & 71 | Hillsboro | Yes | Areas are included in South Hillsboro Area Plan. City has adopted these areas into its comprehensive plan; upon annexation, they will be zoned to comply with comp plan. |
| Study Area 77 | Cornelius | Yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed; annexed to City. |
| Forest Grove Swap | Forest Grove | Yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed; annexed to City. |
| Shute Road Concept Plan | Hillsboro | Yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed; annexed to City and portion developed with Genentech. |
| North Bethany Subarea Plan | Washington County | Yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed; annexations underway with some development occurring. |
| Bonny Slope West Concept Plan (Area 93) | Multnomah County | Extension to 6/2/21 or 2 yrs after agreement w/other govt, whichever earlier | Area has been transferred to Washington County. County will commence finalizing the plan (Mult County work) and creating code for area in spring 2014 with expected completion in August 2015. |

| Project | Lead Government(s) | Compliance | Status |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|--|
| 2004/2005 UGB Expansion | | | |
| Damascus area | Damascus | See under 2002 above | Included with Damascus comp plan (see above) |
| Tonquin Employment Area | Sherwood | Yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed. |
| Basalt Creek/West RR Area Concept Plan | Tualatin and Wilsonville | Extension to 9/30/16 | Cities scheduled to begin planning in early 2014. Consultant selected January 2014. Work scheduled to begin in March/April 2014. |
| Project | Lead Government(s) | Compliance | Status |
| N. Holladay Concept Plan | Cornelius | Yes | Concept plan completed; implementation to be finalized after annexation to City. |
| Evergreen Concept Plan | Hillsboro | Yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed. |
| Helvetia Concept Plan | Hillsboro | Yes | Concept plan and implementation measures completed. |
| 2011 UGB Expansion | | | |
| North Hillsboro | Hillsboro | Yes | Concept planning completion due January 2014. |
| South Hillsboro | Hillsboro | Yes | Concept planning completion due January 2014. |
| South Cooper Mountain | Beaverton | Yes | Concept planning to begin in fall 2013; expected completion January 2015 |
| Roy Rogers West | Tigard | yes | Concept planning completed; comprehensive planning on going as part of the West Bull Mountain planning, also called River Terrace Community Plan, to be completed December 2014. |

**APPENDIX C
COMPLIANCE DATES FOR THE
URBAN GROWTH MANAGEMENT FUNCTIONAL PLAN**

| Functional Plan Requirement | When Local Decisions Must Comply | | |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| | Plan/Code Amendment 3.07.810(C) ¹ | Land Use Decision 3.07.810(D) ² | Adoption 3.07.810(B) ³ |
| Title 1: Adopt minimum dwelling unit density (3.07.120.B) | 12/21/2013 | 12/21/2013 | 12/21/2014 |
| Title 1: Allow accessory dwelling unit in SFD zones (3.07.120.G) <i>(provision included in previous version of Metro Code as 3.07.140.C)</i> | 12/8/2000 | | 12/8/2002 |
| Title 3: Adopt model ordinance or equivalent and map or equivalent (3.07.330.A) | 12/8/2000 | | 12/8/2002 |
| Title 3: Floodplain management performance standards (3.07.340.A) | 12/8/2000 | 12/8/2001 | 12/8/2002 |
| Title 3: Water quality performance standards (3.07.340.B) | 12/8/2000 | 12/8/2001 | 12/8/2002 |

¹ After one year following acknowledgment of a UGMFP requirement, cities and counties that amend their plans and land use regulations shall make such amendments in compliance with the new functional plan requirement.

² A city or county that has not yet amended its plan to comply with a UGMFP requirement must, following one year after acknowledgement of the requirement (the date noted), apply the requirement directly to land use decisions

³ Cities and counties must amend their plans to comply with a new UGMFP requirement within two years after acknowledgement of the requirement (the date noted)

| Functional Plan Requirement | When Local Decisions Must Comply | | |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| | Plan/Code Amendment 3.07.810(C) ¹ | Land Use Decision 3.07.810(D) ² | Adoption 3.07.810(B) ³ |
| Title 3: Erosion control performance standards (3.07.340.C) | 12/8/2000 | 12/8/2001 | 12/8/2002 |
| Title 4: Limit uses in Regionally Significant Industrial Areas (3.07.420) | 7/22/2005 | 7/22/2006 | 7/22/2007 |
| Title 4: Prohibit schools, places of assembly larger than 20,000 square feet, or parks intended to serve people other than those working or residing in the area in Regional Significant Industrial Areas (3.07.420D) | 12/21/2013 | 12/21/2013 | 12/21/2014 |
| Title 4: Limit uses in Industrial Areas (3.07.430) | 7/22/2005 | 7/22/2006 | 7/22/2007 |
| Title 4: Limit uses in Employment Areas (3.07.440) | 7/22/2005 | 7/22/2006 | 7/22/2007 |
| Title 6: (Title 6 applies only to those local governments seeking a regional investment or seeking eligibility for lower mobility standards and trip generation rates) | | | |
| Title 7: Adopt strategies and measures to increase housing opportunities (3.07.730) | | | 6/30/2004 |
| Title 8: Compliance Procedures (45-day notice to Metro for amendments to a comprehensive plan or land use regulation) (3.07.820) | 2/14/2003 | | |

| Functional Plan Requirement | When Local Decisions Must Comply | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Plan/Code Amendment 3.07.810(C) ¹ | Land Use Decision 3.07.810(D) ² | Adoption 3.07.810(B) ³ |
| Title 11: Develop a concept plan for urban reserve prior to its addition to the UGB (3.07.1110) | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Title 11: Prepare a comprehensive plan and zoning provisions for territory added to the UGB (3.07.1120) | 12/8/2000 | 12/8/2001 | 2 years after the effective date of the ordinance adding land to the UGB unless the ordinance provides a later date |
| Title 11: Interim protection for areas added to the UGB (3.07.1130) <i>(provision included in previous version of Metro Code as 3.07.1110)</i> | 12/8/2000 | 12/8/2001 | 12/8/2002 |
| Title 12: Provide access to parks by walking, bicycling, and transit (3.07.1240.B) | | | 7/7/2005 |
| Title 13: Adopt local maps of Habitat Conservation Areas consistent with Metro-identified HCAs (3.07.1330.B) | 12/28/2005 | 1/5/2008 | 1/5/2009 |
| Title 13: Develop a two-step review process (Clear & Objective and Discretionary) for development proposals in protected HCAs (3.07.1330.C & D) | 12/28/2005 | 1/5/2008 | 1/5/2009 |
| Title 13: Adopt provisions to remove barriers to, and encourage the use of, habitat-friendly development practices (3.07.1330.E) | 12/28/2005 | 1/5/2008 | 1/5/2009 |

APPENDIX D

Summary of Compliance Status

(Regional Transportation Functional Plan in effect as of 12/31/12)

| Jurisdiction | Title 1 Transportation System Design | Title 2 Development and Update of Transportation System Plans | Title 3 Transportation Project Development | Title 4 Regional Parking Management | Title 5 Amendment of Comprehensive Plans |
|---------------------|---|--|---|--|---|
| Beaverton | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance |
| Cornelius | 12/31/16 | 12/31/16 | 12/31/16 | 12/31/16 | 12/31/16 |
| Damascus | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 |
| Durham | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt |
| Fairview | 12/31/15 | 12/31/15 | 12/31/15 | 12/31/15 | 12/31/15 |
| Forest Grove | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 |
| Gladstone | 12/31/15 | 12/31/15 | 12/31/15 | 12/31/15 | 12/31/15 |
| Gresham | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance |
| Happy Valley | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 |
| Hillsboro | 12/31/15 | 12/31/15 | 12/31/15 | 12/31/15 | 12/31/15 |
| Johnson City | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt |
| King City | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt |
| Lake Oswego | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 |
| Maywood Park | Recommending exemption | Recommending exemption | Recommending exemption | Recommending exemption | Recommending exemption |
| Milwaukie | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance |
| Oregon City | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance |
| Portland | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 |
| Rivergrove | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt | Exempt |
| Sherwood | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 |
| Tigard | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance |
| Troutdale | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 |
| Tualatin | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance |
| West Linn | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 |
| Wilsonville | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance |
| Wood Village | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 |
| Clackamas County | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance | In compliance |
| Multnomah County | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 |
| Washington County | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 | 12/31/14 |

Date shown in table is the deadline for compliance with the Regional Transportation Functional Plan (RTFP). Note – a city or county that has not yet amended its plan to comply with the RTFP must, following one year after RTFP acknowledgement, apply the RTFP directly to land use decisions.



Metro | Memo

Date: January 1, 2014
To: Metro Council, MPAC
From: Martha Bennett, Chief Operating Officer
Subject: 2013 annual report on amendments to the Employment and Industrial Areas Map

Background

Title 4 (Industrial and Other Employment Areas) of the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan seeks to improve the region's economy by protecting a supply of sites for employment by limiting the types and scale of non-industrial uses in Regionally Significant Industrial Areas, Industrial Areas, and Employment Areas. Those areas are depicted on the Employment and Industrial Areas Map.

Title 4 sets forth several avenues for amending the map, either through a Metro Council ordinance or through an executive order, depending on the circumstances. Title 4 requires that, by January 31 of each year, Metro's Chief Operating Officer submit a written report to the Council and MPAC on the cumulative effects on employment land in the region of amendments to the Employment and Industrial Areas Map during the preceding year. This memo constitutes the report for 2013.

Title 4 map amendments in 2013

There were no amendments made to the Title 4 map in 2013.

Chief Operating Officer recommendations for 2014

Staff does not, at this time, recommend changes to Title 4 policies.

