

Metro Transfer Station Configuration Study

**MODA Alternative Scoring**

|            |  | Status Quo | Operator Choice | Geographic Equity | Regulatory-Light | Regulatory-Heavy |
|------------|--|------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| ID#        | Evaluation Criteria  |            |                 |                   |                  |                  |
| <b>N/A</b> | <b>Long-term Lifecycle Cost</b>                                    |            |                 |                   |                  |                  |
| <b>1.0</b> | <b>Protect People's Health</b>                                     |            |                 |                   |                  |                  |
| 1.1        | Protect people's health  | 3.0        | 3.0             | 4.0               | 4.0              | 4.0              |
| <b>2.0</b> | <b>Protect the Environment</b>                                     |            |                 |                   |                  |                  |
| 2.1        | Life cycle GHG emissions   | 3.0        | 3.0             | 3.8               | 3.3              | 3.4              |
| 2.2        | Toxics   | 3.0        | 3.0             | 4.0               | 4.0              | 4.0              |
| 2.3        | Nuisances  | 3.0        | 3.0             | 1.7               | 2.1              | 2.1              |
| 2.4        | Non-industrial land use  | 5.0        | 5.0             | 5.0               | 5.0              | 5.0              |
| <b>3.0</b> | <b>Recognize Investment (prior and future, public and private)</b> |            |                 |                   |                  |                  |
| 3.1        | Stranded investment  | 3.9        | 2.4             | 3.6               | 3.6              | 3.9              |
| 3.2        | Potential future investment environment                            | 3.0        | 3.3             | 2.4               | 2.4              | 2.2              |
| <b>4.0</b> | <b>Adequate and Reliable Services for All</b>                      |            |                 |                   |                  |                  |
| 4.1        | Appropriate sizing   | 3.0        | 2.6             | 2.9               | 2.9              | 2.3              |
| 4.2        | Essential services   | 3.0        | 3.2             | 2.9               | 2.9              | 2.9              |
| 4.3        | Access equity  | 1.0        | 1.0             | 2.1               | 1.9              | 1.9              |
| <b>5.0</b> | <b>Maintain Commitment to SW Hierarchy</b>                         |            |                 |                   |                  |                  |
| 5.1        | Maintain commitment to SW hierarchy                                | 1.0        | 1.0             | 2.3               | 2.3              | 2.3              |
| <b>6.0</b> | <b>Flexible and Adaptable to Change</b>                            |            |                 |                   |                  |                  |
| 6.1        | Flexible and adaptable to change                                   | 3.0        | 3.0             | 2.7               | 2.7              | 2.5              |
| <b>7.0</b> | <b>Sustainable Finance</b>   |            |                 |                   |                  |                  |
| 7.1        | Fair public funding  | 3.0        | 2.2             | 3.2               | 3.2              | 3.3              |
| 7.2        | Full cost pricing  | 3.0        | 2.4             | 3.2               | 3.3              | 3.5              |